STATEMENT OF BASIS

For the issuance of Draft Air Permit # 2305-AOP-R6 AFIN: 47-00991

1. PERMITTING AUTHORITY:

Arkansas Division of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317

2. APPLICANT:

Big River Steel LLC 2027 E. State Hwy 198 Osceola, Arkansas 72370

3. PERMIT WRITER:

Jesse Smith

4. NAICS DESCRIPTION AND CODE:

NAICS Description:Iron and Steel Mills and Ferroalloy ManufacturingNAICS Code:33111

5. ALL SUBMITTALS:

The following is a list of ALL permit applications included in this permit revision.

Date of Application	Type of Application (New, Renewal, Modification, Deminimis/Minor Mod, or Administrative Amendment)	Short Description of Any Changes That Would Be Considered New or Modified Emissions
8/20/2019	Modification	New sources, updated BACT limits, increased throughput in some sources

6. **REVIEWER'S NOTES**:

Big River Steel, LLC owns and operates a steel mill located at 2027 E. State Hwy 198 in Osceola, AR. This permitting modification makes the following changes to the existing permit:

• Adds the following new sources to the permit: Lime Injector Burner I and II (SN-01A and SN-02A), Caster #1 and #2 (SN-14 and SN-15), Tunnel Furnace Shuttle Zone (SN-21C), Emergency Generator 10 and 11 (SN-67D and SN-67E), four emergency water pumps (SN-104A through SN-104D), and an EAF I/II Lime Injection System (SN-103).

Permit #: 2305-AOP-R6 AFIN: 47-00991 Page 2 of 9

- Updated BACT for some existing sources and included BACT analysis for new sources.
- Increased throughput for EAF II and LMF II (SN-02) to match the rates of EAF I and LMF I (SN-01).
- Increased PM/PM₁₀/PM_{2.5} emissions from the tunnel furnaces (SN-20 and SN-21) due to an emission factor change.
- Increased the power rating of Emergency Generators 5 through 9 (SN-66, SN-67, SN-67A, SN-67B, and SN-67C) from 2000 kW each to 2700 kW each.
- Increased TDS limits for all permitted cooling tower sources by four times. The cooling towers require four passes, but the permit was currently limiting the TDS to the amount required in one pass. Emissions of cooling tower sources updated as well with this change.
- Increased throughput for the Carbon Injection Receiving system (SN-84) from 49,210 tons/year to 79,204 tons/year.
- Increased throughput to the slag handling operations (SN-95, SN-96, and SN-99B) from 488,980 tons/year to 650,000 tons/year.
- Added Air Products Cooling Towers #1 and #2 to the insignificant activities list.
- Some other miscellaneous changes to permit condition wording and error corrections.

The permitted emission changes for this permitting action are as follows: Increase of 29.7 tpy PM, increase of 29.0 tpy PM₁₀, increase of 28.6 tpy PM_{2.5}, increase of 31.2 tpy SO₂, increase of 17.4 tpy VOC, increase of 381.6 tpy CO, increase of 102.9 tpy NO_X, increase of 0.100079 tpy Lead, increase of 113,771 tpy CO₂e, and an increase of 0.7 tpy H₂SO₄.

7. COMPLIANCE STATUS:

The following summarizes the current compliance of the facility including active/pending enforcement actions and recent compliance activities and issues.

The facility has a currently open Consent Administrative order.

8. PSD/GHG APPLICABILITY:

a) Did the facility undergo PSD review in this permit (i.e., BACT, Modeling, etc.)? Y If yes, were GHG emission increases significant? N

- b) Is the facility categorized as a major source for PSD? Y
- Single pollutant \geq 100 tpy and on the list of 28 or single pollutant \geq 250 tpy and not on list

9. SOURCE AND POLLUTANT SPECIFIC REGULATORY APPLICABILITY:

Source	Pollutant	Regulation (NSPS, NESHAP or PSD)
01 and 02	Particulate	NSPS AAa
01 and 02	HAPs	MACT YYYYY
All Boilers	None	NSPS Dc
SN 53	VOC	NSPS TT
All	NO _x , CO, PM, PM ₁₀ , PM _{2.5} , SO ₂ , VOC, lead, and greenhouse gasses.	PSD
Generators	Criteria and HAPs	NSPS IIII, and MACT ZZZZ
100	НАР	NESHAP CCCCCC

10. PERMIT SHIELD – TITLE V PERMITS ONLY:

Did the facility request a permit shield in this application? N

11. EMISSION CHANGES AND FEE CALCULATION:

See emission change and fee calculation spreadsheet in Appendix A.

12. AMBIENT AIR EVALUATIONS:

The following are results for ambient air evaluations or modeling.

a) NAAQS

Based upon the information submitted with the application for this permitting action, all regulated air pollutants for this project are below EPA's Significant Impact Levels and result in what is considered an insignificant change except for $PM_{2.5}$ and NO_X . Further screening shows that both the $PM_{2.5}$ and NO_X modeling results in insignificant changes. Therefore, previous modeling performed for this facility is still representative.

b) Non-Criteria Pollutants:

Non-Criteria Pollutant evaluation is based on permit 2305-AOP-R0 results as all HAP emission rates have either remained the same or not increased to a level that would significantly impact previous modelling results.

1st Tier Screening (PAER)

Estimated hourly emissions from the following sources were compared to the Presumptively Acceptable Emission Rate (PAER) for each compound. The Department has deemed the PAER to be the product, in lb/hr, of 0.11 and the Threshold Limit Value

Permit #: 2305-AOP-R6 AFIN: 47-00991 Page 4 of 9

Pollutant	TLV (mg/m ³)	$PAER (lb/hr) = 0.11 \times TLV$	Proposed lb/hr	Pass?
Formaldehyde	15	1.65	0.1236	Yes
Arsenic	0.01	0.0011	0.0043	No
Cadmium	0.01	0.0011	0.00583	No
HCl	3	0.33	1.0	No
Manganese	0.2	0.022	0.161	No
Mercury	0.01	0.0011	0.061	No

(mg/m³), as listed by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH).

2nd Tier Screening (PAIL)

AERMOD air dispersion modeling was performed on the estimated hourly emissions from the following sources, in order to predict ambient concentrations beyond the property boundary. The Presumptively Acceptable Impact Level (PAIL) for each compound has been deemed by the Department to be one one-hundredth of the Threshold Limit Value as listed by the ACGIH.

Pollutant	PAIL $(\mu g/m^3) = 1/100$ of Threshold Limit Value	Modeled Concentration $(\mu g/m^3)$	Pass?
Arsenic	0.1	0.049	Yes
Cadmium	0.1	0.0003	Yes
HCl	30	0.0007	Yes
Manganese	2	0.012	Yes
Mercury	0.1	0.0043	Yes

c) H₂S Modeling:

A.C.A. §8-3-103 requires hydrogen sulfide emissions to meet specific ambient standards. Many sources are exempt from this regulation, refer to the Arkansas Code for details.

Is the facility exempt from the H ₂ S Standards	Y
If exempt, explain: No H ₂ S emissions	

Permit #: 2305-AOP-R6 AFIN: 47-00991 Page 5 of 9

Pollutant	Threshold value	Modeled Concentration (ppb)	Pass?
	20 parts per million (5-minute average*)	0	Y
H_2S	80 parts per billion (8-hour average) residential area	0	Y
	100 parts per billion (8-hour average) nonresidential area	0	Y

*To determine the 5-minute average use the following equation

 $Cp = Cm \left(t_m/t_p\right)^{0.2}$ where

 $\begin{array}{l} Cp = 5 \text{-minute average concentration} \\ Cm = 1 \text{-hour average concentration} \\ t_m = \ 60 \ \text{minutes} \\ t_p = 5 \ \text{minutes} \end{array}$

13. CALCULATIONS:

SN	Emission Factor Source (AP-42, testing, etc.)	Emission Factor (lb/ton, lb/hr, etc.)	Control Equipment	Control Equipment Efficiency	Comments
All	All criteria pollutants based on BACT limits				
01 and 02 HAPs	AP-42	Varied	Baghouse	99%+	
Natural Gas HAPs	AP-42	Varied	None		
Pickling Lines HCl	Manufacturer Estimates	Varied	Scrubbers		
100	TANKS 4.0 software				

14. TESTING REQUIREMENTS:

The permit requires testing of the following sources.

SN	Pollutants	Test Method	Test Interval	Justification
01 and 02	PM, PM ₁₀ , PM _{2.5} ,	5D and 201 or 201A	Initial and annual	NSPS and PSD limit verification
01 and 02	AAa required	None specified	Initial and annual	NSPS

SN	Pollutants	Test Method	Test Interval	Justification
	information (fan motor amps, etc.)			requirement
01 and 02	NO _x , SO ₂ , CO, CO ₂ , VOC	7E, 6C, 3A, 10, 25A	Semi annually	To verify compliance with BACT emission rates
01 and 02	Lead	12	Annually	To verify BACT limits
04, 22, 26, 27, 101	PM _{2.5} , CO, NO _x	202, 10, 7E	Initial and 5 years	Verification of BACT emission limits
03	Flare design	40 CFR 60.18(b) through (f)	Initial only	To verify flare is design is capable of achieving BACT limits
03	CO_2	Material analysis	Semi Annually	To show compliance with BACT limits
39 51, 58, 60 53 54-56	$PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}	202, 10, and 7E	Initial	To show compliance with BACT limits
53	VOC	25A	Initial	NSPS TT Requirement
Cooling Towers	TDS	TDS testing	6 months	Verification of BACT limits
Pickling Line Scrubbers	HCl	26	Initial	Verification of permit limits and ensure facility is not a Major Source of HAPs

15. MONITORING OR CEMS:

The permittee must monitor the following parameters with CEMS or other monitoring equipment (temperature, pressure differential, etc.)

SN	Parameter or Pollutant to be Monitored	Method (CEM, Pressure Gauge, etc.)	Frequency	Report (Y/N)
01 and 02	AAa required monitoring	Fan amps, damper positions, etc.	Vary according to reading	Y
52	RTO temperature	Thermocouple	Continuous (3hr averages)	Y

Permit #: 2305-AOP-R6 AFIN: 47-00991 Page 7 of 9

16. RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS:

The following are items (such as throughput, fuel usage, VOC content, etc.) that must be tracked and recorded.

SN	Recorded Item	Permit Limit	Frequency	Report (Y/N)
01 and 02	AAa Records	None	Vary	Y
01 and 02	YYYYY Records	None	Vary	Y
03	Degasser steel throughput	1,500,000 tons per 12 months	Monthly	Y
52	Subpart TT Records	None	Vary	Y
Emergency Engines	Hours of operation	100	Monthly	Y
Cooling Towers	TDS readings	Vary per tower	Semi annually	Y
82, 84, 86, 88, 90, 103	Materials received	175,830 79,204 175,830 680,000 680,000 210,240	Monthly	Y
Slag Handling	Tons of slag	650,000	Monthly	Y
100	Gasoline Throughput	Less than 10,000 gallons per month	Monthly	Y

17. OPACITY:

SN	Opacity	Justification for limit	Compliance Mechanism
01 and 02	3%	NSPS/BACT	Daily observations
01 and 02 Meltshop	6%	NSPS/BACT	Daily observations
All natural gas	5%	BACT/Department	Combustion of natural
burners	3%	Guidance	gas only
91	01 50/		Weekly Observation
91	5%	Guidance	weekiy Observation
Rolling Mill sources	5%	BACT/Department	Weekly Observation
Koning will sources	3%	Guidance	on building

18. DELETED CONDITIONS:

Former SC Justification for removal

Former SC	Justification for removal
#4 and #5	The permittee already must calculate actual emissions using throughput, and therefore the throughput limit is unnecessary.
58	Testing condition unneeded as facility has increased those limits being tested to AP-42 emissions factors

19. GROUP A INSIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES:

The following is a list of Insignificant Activities including revisions by this permit.

Course	Group A		Emissions (tpy)							
Source Name	Category	PM/PM ₁₀	SO ₂	VOC	СО	NO _x	HAPs		Lead	
	eurogery	1111/111110	502		00	I to x	Single	Total		
Water Bath Vaporizer	A-1	0.30	0.02	0.22	4.37	2.39	2.90 E-03	0.004	1.93 E-06	
Tundish Dryer	A-1	0.30	0.02	0.21	1.46	3.19	2.90 E-03	0.004	1.93 E-05	
Continuous Galvanizing Line Dryer	A-1	0.20	0.02	0.15	2.99	4.26	2.00 E-03	0.003	1.33 E-05	
Reformer Furnace (PHG830)	A-1	0.34	0.01	0.25	0.14	0.38	0.003	0.003	-	
Laboratory Test Furnace	A-1	6.7 E-04	5.2 E- 05	4.8 E-04	0.008	0.009	1.60 E-04	1.60 E-04	-	
Diesel Fuel Tanks	A-3	-	-	0.004	-	-	-	-	-	
Engine Oil Tank	A-3	-	-	1.3 E-05	-	-	-	-	-	
Steel Cutting	A-7	0.4	-	-	-	-	0.001	0.002	-	
Induced Draft Mechanical Cooling Tower	A-13	0.56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HCL Storage Tanks	A-13	-	-	-	-	-	0.02	0.02	-	
Air Products Cooling Towers #1	A-13	1.48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

and #2					

20. VOIDED, SUPERSEDED, OR SUBSUMED PERMITS:

The following is a list of all active permits voided/superseded/subsumed by the issuance of this permit.

Permit #
2305-AOP-R5

APPENDIX A – EMISSION CHANGES AND FEE CALCULATION

Fee Calculation for Major Source

Big River Steel LLC Permit #: 2305-AOP-R6 AFIN: 47-00991

\$/ton factor	23.93	Annual Chargeable Emissions (tpy)	2292.8814
Permit Type	Modification	Permit Fee \$	4340.9265
Minor Modification Fee \$ Minimum Modification Fee \$ Renewal with Minor Modification \$ Check if Facility Holds an Active Minor Source or Minor Source General Permit If Hold Active Permit, Amt of Last Annual Air Permit Invoice \$ Total Permit Fee Chargeable Emissions (tpy) Initial Title V Permit Fee Chargeable Emissions (tpy)	500 1000 500		

HAPs not included in VOC or PM:

Chlorine, Hydrazine, HCl, HF, Methyl Chloroform, Methylene Chloride, Phosphine, Tetrachloroethylene, Titanium Tetrachloride

Air Contaminants:

All air contaminants are chargeable unless they are included in other totals (e.g., H2SO4 in condensible PM, H2S in TRS, etc.)

Revised 03-11-16

Pollutant (tpy)	Check if Chargeable Emission	Old Permit	New Permit	Change in Emissions	Permit Fee Chargeable Emissions	Annual Chargeable Emissions
PM		288.5	318.2	29.7		
PM_{10}		392.2	421.2	29	29	421.2
PM _{2.5}		386.2	414.8	28.6		
SO ₂		369.6	400.8	31.2	31.2	400.8
VOC		212	229.4	17.4	17.4	229.4
СО		4347.3	4728.9	381.6		
NO _X		1131.9	1234.8	102.9	102.9	1234.8
Lead	✓	1.063778	1.1638574	0.1000794	0.1000794	1.1638574

Pollutant (tpy)	Check if Chargeable Emission	Old Permit	New Permit	Change in Emissions	Permit Fee Chargeable Emissions	Annual Chargeable Emissions
Arsenic	>	0.014496	0.015514	0.001018	0.001018	0.015514
Cadmium		0.019614	0.021156	0.001542		
Formaldehyde		0.49846	0.5323	0.03384		
HCl	✓	3.5	3.5	0	0	3.5
Manganese		0.717005	0.803095	0.08609		
Mercury	✓	0.302105	0.402033	0.099928	0.099928	0.402033
H ₂ SO ₄	✓	0.9	1.6	0.7	0.7	1.6