

STATEMENT OF BASIS

For the issuance of Draft Air Permit # 1986-AR-7 AFIN: 04-00540

1. PERMITTING AUTHORITY:

Division of Environmental Quality
5301 Northshore Drive
North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317

2. APPLICANT:

Cobb-Vantress, Inc. - Siloam Springs Feed Mill
2125 Country Club Road
Siloam Springs, Arkansas 72761

3. PERMIT WRITER:

Kyle Crane

4. NAICS DESCRIPTION AND CODE:

NAICS Description: Other Animal Food Manufacturing
NAICS Code: 311119

5. ALL SUBMITTALS:

The following is a list of ALL permit applications included in this permit revision.

Date of Application	Type of Application (New, Renewal, Modification, Deminimis/Minor Mod, or Administrative Amendment)	Short Description of Any Changes That Would Be Considered New or Modified Emissions
5/24/2021	Deminimis	Allow the interchangeable use of an additional formaldehyde solution at a higher application rate and update emissions

6. REVIEWER'S NOTES:

Tyson Foods, Inc. owns a feed mill located at 2125 Country Club Road in Siloam Springs and it is operated by its wholly owned subsidiary, Cobb-Vantress. This de minimis modification allows for the interchangeable use of an additional formaldehyde solution (Kemin Sal CURB) at a slightly higher application rate and updates related emissions. The permit's general conditions were also updated. Annual permitted emissions increase

by 1.1 tons per year (tpy) of VOC and decrease by 1.20 tpy of Methanol with this modification.

7. COMPLIANCE STATUS:

The following summarizes the current compliance of the facility including active/pending enforcement actions and recent compliance activities and issues.

The facility was last inspected on February 4, 2020 and was found to be in compliance. EPA ECHO shows “No Violation Identified” for Clean Air Act compliance.

8. PSD/GHG APPLICABILITY:

a) Did the facility undergo PSD review in this permit (i.e., BACT, Modeling, etc.)? N
If yes, were GHG emission increases significant? N

b) Is the facility categorized as a major source for PSD? N

- *Single pollutant ≥ 100 tpy and on the list of 28 or single pollutant ≥ 250 tpy and not on list*

If yes for 8(b), explain why this permit modification is not PSD.

9. SOURCE AND POLLUTANT SPECIFIC REGULATORY APPLICABILITY:

Source	Pollutant	Regulation (NSPS, NESHAP or PSD)
SN-07	NO _x , SO ₂ (recordkeeping only)	NSPS Subpart Dc
Facility	Manganese	NESHAP DDDDDDD

10. UNCONSTRUCTED SOURCES:

Unconstructed Source	Permit Approval Date	Extension Requested Date	Extension Approval Date	If Greater than 18 Months without Approval, List Reason for Continued Inclusion in Permit
N/A				

11. PERMIT SHIELD – TITLE V PERMITS ONLY:

Did the facility request a permit shield in this application? N

(Note - permit shields are not allowed to be added, but existing ones can remain, for minor modification applications or any Regulation 18 requirement.)

If yes, are applicable requirements included and specifically identified in the permit? N
If not, explain why.

For any requested inapplicable regulation in the permit shield, explain the reason why it is not applicable in the table below.

Source	Inapplicable Regulation	Reason
N/A		

12. COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE MONITORING (CAM) – TITLE V PERMITS ONLY:

List sources potentially subject to CAM because they use a control device to achieve compliance and have pre-control emissions of at least 100 percent of the major source level. List the pollutant of concern and a brief summary of the CAM plan (temperature monitoring, CEMs, opacity monitoring, etc.) and frequency requirements of § 64.

Source	Pollutant Controlled	Cite Exemption or CAM Plan Monitoring and Frequency
N/A		

13. EMISSION CHANGES AND FEE CALCULATION:

See emission change and fee calculation spreadsheet in Appendix A.

14. AMBIENT AIR EVALUATIONS:

The following are results for ambient air evaluations or modeling.

a) NAAQS

A NAAQS evaluation is not required under the Arkansas State Implementation Plan, National Ambient Air Quality Standards, Infrastructure SIPs and NAAQS SIP per Ark. Code Ann. § 8-4-318, dated March 2017 and the DEQ Air Permit Screening Modeling Instructions.

b) Non-Criteria Pollutants:

The non-criteria pollutants listed below were evaluated. Based on Department procedures for review of non-criteria pollutants, emissions of all other non-criteria pollutants are below thresholds of concern.

1st Tier Screening (PAER)

Estimated hourly emissions from the following sources were compared to the Presumptively Acceptable Emission Rate (PAER) for each compound. The Department has deemed the PAER to be the product, in lb/hr, of 0.11 and the Threshold Limit Value (mg/m³), as listed by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH).

Pollutant	TLV (mg/m ³)	PAER (lb/hr) = 0.11 × TLV	Proposed lb/hr	Pass?
Formaldehyde	0.37	0.0407	0.40	No
Manganese	0.02	0.0022	0.005	No
Methanol	262.09	28.83	0.40	Yes

2nd Tier Screening (PAIL)

AERMOD air dispersion modeling was performed on the estimated hourly emissions from the following sources, in order to predict ambient concentrations beyond the property boundary. The Presumptively Acceptable Impact Level (PAIL) for each compound has been deemed by the Department to be one one-hundredth of the Threshold Limit Value as listed by the ACGIH.

Pollutant	PAIL (µg/m ³) = 1/100 of Threshold Limit Value	Modeled Concentration (µg/m ³)	Pass?
Formaldehyde	15	9.12	Yes
Manganese	0.2	0.07048	Yes

*There was no increase of modeled pollutants for Permit #1986-AR-7, so new modeling was not performed

c) H₂S Modeling:

A.C.A. §8-3-103 requires hydrogen sulfide emissions to meet specific ambient standards. Many sources are exempt from this regulation, refer to the Arkansas Code for details.

Is the facility exempt from the H₂S Standards Y

If exempt, explain: The facility does not emit H₂S

15. CALCULATIONS:

SN	Emission Factor Source (AP-42, testing, etc.)	Emission Factor (lb/ton, lb/hr, etc.)	Control Equipment	Control Equipment Efficiency	Comments
01	AP-42	PM – 0.17 lb/ton PM ₁₀ – 0.025 lb/ton	Baghouse	90%	Emission factors are controlled
02		PM/PM ₁₀ – 0.02 gr/dscf	Baghouse	90%	Emission factors are controlled
03		PM/PM ₁₀ – 0.02	Baghouse	90%	Emission factors

SN	Emission Factor Source (AP-42, testing, etc.)	Emission Factor (lb/ton, lb/hr, etc.)	Control Equipment	Control Equipment Efficiency	Comments
		gr/dscf			are controlled
04		PM/PM ₁₀ – 0.012 lb/ton	Baghouse	90%	Emission factors are controlled
05		PM – 0.15 lb/ton PM ₁₀ – 0.075 lb/ton	Cyclone		Emission factors are controlled 0.075% of total feed is trace mineral and 24% is manganese
06		PM – 0.0033 lb/ton PM ₁₀ – 0.0008 lb/ton	None	N/A	Emission factors are uncontrolled 0.075% of total feed is trace mineral and 24% is manganese
07		PM ₁₀ – 7.6 lb/10 ⁶ ft ³ SO ₂ – 0.6 lb/10 ⁶ ft ³ VOC – 5.5 lb/10 ⁶ ft ³ CO – 84 lb/10 ⁶ ft ³ NO _x – 100 lb/10 ⁶ ft ³ Total HAP – 1.88 lb/10 ⁶ ft ³	None	N/A	Emission factors are uncontrolled
08		PM – 0.061 lb/ton PM ₁₀ – 0.034 lb/ton	None	N/A	Emission factors are uncontrolled 0.075% of total feed is trace mineral and 24% is manganese
09	Manufacturer Data	PM/ PM ₁₀ – 0.00015 lb/ton Mn – 0.626 lb/ton	Baghouse on vacuum exhaust	99.93%	
Facility	Testing	Formaldehyde – 0.5% released	None	N/A	6 lb/ton Termin 8 6.5 lb/ton Sal CURB

16. TESTING REQUIREMENTS:

The permit requires testing of the following sources.

SN	Pollutants	Test Method	Test Interval	Justification
N/A				

17. MONITORING OR CEMS:

The permittee must monitor the following parameters with CEMS or other monitoring equipment (temperature, pressure differential, etc.)

SN	Parameter or Pollutant to be Monitored	Method (CEM, Pressure Gauge, etc.)	Frequency	Report (Y/N)
N/A				

18. RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS:

The following are items (such as throughput, fuel usage, VOC content, etc.) that must be tracked and recorded.

SN	Recorded Item	Permit Limit	Frequency	Report (Y/N)
Facility	Finished Feed	200,000 tons per 12 month period	Monthly	N
07	Gas Fuel Usage	None Subpart Dc Requirement	Monthly	N
Facility	Formaldehyde Usage	141,705 gallons 37% aqueous solution per 12 month period	Monthly	N
Facility	Subpart DDDDDDD Notifications, Annual Compliance Certifications, Monthly inspections of device used to reduce fugitive emissions from bulk loading, Quarterly	N/A	As Required by Subpart	As Required by Subpart

SN	Recorded Item	Permit Limit	Frequency	Report (Y/N)
	inspections of cyclone, Weekly visual inspection of cyclone §63.11624(c)			

19. OPACITY:

SN	Opacity	Justification for limit	Compliance Mechanism
01, 02, 03, 04, 08, 09	10%	Department Guidance	Control Equipment Operation
05, 06	20%	Department Guidance	Control Equipment Operation
07	5%	Department Guidance	Natural Gas Fuel

20. DELETED CONDITIONS:

Former SC	Justification for removal
	None

21. GROUP A INSIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES:

The following is a list of Insignificant Activities including revisions by this permit.

Source Name	Group A Category	Emissions (tpy)							
		PM/PM ₁₀	SO ₂	VOC	CO	NO _x	HAPs		
							Single	Total	
Sal CURB/Termin 8 Tank	A-3			2.79				2.79	2.79

22. VOIDED, SUPERSEDED, OR SUBSUMED PERMITS:

The following is a list of all active permits voided/superseded/subsumed by the issuance of this permit.

Permit #
1986-AR-6

APPENDIX A – EMISSION CHANGES AND FEE CALCULATION

Fee Calculation for Minor Source

Revised 03-11-16

Facility Name: Cobb-Vantress, Inc. -
 Siloam Springs Feed Mill
 Permit Number: 1986-AR-7
 AFIN: 04-00540

			Old Permit	New Permit
\$/ton factor	23.93	Permit Predominant Air Contaminant	17.9	17.9
Minimum Fee \$	400	Net Predominant Air Contaminant Increase	0	
Minimum Initial Fee \$	500			
Check if Administrative Amendment	<input type="checkbox"/>	Permit Fee \$	400	
		Annual Chargeable Emissions (tpy)	17.9	

Pollutant (tpy)	Old Permit	New Permit	Change
PM	17.9	17.9	0
PM ₁₀	10.2	10.2	0
PM _{2.5}	0	0	0
SO ₂	0.1	0.1	0
VOC	3.2	4.3	1.1
CO	3.7	3.7	0
NO _x	4.7	4.7	0
Formaldehyde	1.2	1.2	0
Manganese	0.009	0.009	0
Methanol	2.8	1.6	-1.2
Total HAP	4.009	2.899	-1.11