## AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE WASTEWATER UNDER THE NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM AND THE ARKANSAS WATER AND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL ACT

In accordance with the provisions of the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Ark. Code Ann. 8-4-101 et seq.), and the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq.),

Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation John L. McClellan Generating Station

is authorized to discharge once-through cooling water, wastewaters from low volume waste sources (consisting of demineralization regeneration, floor drains, and boiler blowdown), and metal cleaning waste (consisting of air pre-heater wash), from a facility located as follows: 1625 Bradley Ferry Road, Camden, AR 71701, take Hwy. 79 South from Fordyce, turn left onto Bradley Ferry Road near Camden in Ouachita County, Arkansas. The applicant's mailing address is: P.O. Box 187, Camden, AR 71701.

Latitude: 33° 33' 52.81" N; Longitude: 92° 47' 39.37" W

to receiving waters named:

the Ouachita River in Segment 2D of the Ouachita River Basin.

The outfalls are located at the following coordinates:

Outfall 001: Latitude: 33° 33' 53.3" N; Longitude: 92° 47' 24.2" W Outfall 003: Latitude: 33° 33' 56.84" N; Longitude: 92° 47' 31.24" W

Discharge shall be in accordance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements, and other conditions set forth in this permit. Per Part III.D.10, the permittee must re-apply 180 days prior to the expiration date below for permit coverage to continue beyond the expiration date.

A Response to Comments is attached.

Effective Date: 1<sup>st</sup> Minor Modification Effective Date: 2<sup>nd</sup> Minor Modification Effective Date: 3<sup>rd</sup> Minor Modification Effective Date: Expiration Date: July 1, 2016 August 22, 2016 August 29, 2016 October 3, 2016 June 30, 2021

Caleb J. Osborne Associate Director, Office of Water Quality Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality

3<sup>rd</sup> Minor Modification Issue Date

#### PART I PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

SECTION A1. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS: OUTFALL 001 - once-through cooling water.

During the period beginning on the effective date and lasting until the date of expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge from Outfall 001. Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below as well as Parts II and III. See Part IV for all definitions and calculations.

Effluent Characteristics	Discharge Limitations			Monitoring Requirements		
	Mas	88	Concent	ration		
	(lbs/day,	unless	(mg/l, u	inless	Frequency	Sample Type
	otherwise s	pecified)	otherwise s	pecified)		
	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	Daily		
	Avg.	Max	Avg.	Max		
Flow	N/A	N/A	Report, MGD	Report, MGD	once/day	totalizing meter
Temperature	N/A	N/A	108°F (Ins	st. Max.)	continuous	recorder
Free Available Chlorine (FAC) <sup>1</sup>	8.6	21.4	0.2	0.5	once/day <sup>1</sup>	grab <sup>1</sup>
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) <sup>1</sup>	N/A	8.6	N/A	0.2	once/day <sup>1</sup>	grab <sup>1</sup>
Total Recoverable Copper <sup>2</sup>	9.23	18.53	17.99 μg/l	36.10 µg/l	once/month	composite
pH	N/A	N/A	<u>Minimum</u> 6.0 s.u.	Maximum 9.0 s.u.	once/month	grab

<sup>1</sup> Monitoring for FAC and TRC is waived during this permit term based on 40 CFR 122.44(a)(2). See Part II.9.

<sup>2</sup> See Part II.7, Metals Condition.

There shall be no discharge of distinctly visible solids, scum, or foam of a persistent nature, nor shall there be any formation of slime, bottom deposits, or sludge banks. There shall be no visible sheen as defined in Part IV of this permit.

Samples and measurements taken as required herein shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge during the entire monitoring period. The Total Recoverable Copper and pH samples, and the flow measurement, must be taken immediately after the condensers in the plant basement. Temperature measurement shall be performed at the outfall.

**SECTION A2. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:** OUTFALL 003 - wastewaters from low volume waste sources (consisting of demineralization regeneration, floor drains, and boiler blowdown), and metal cleaning waste (consisting of air pre-heater wash)

During the period beginning on the effective date and lasting until the date of expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge from Outfall 003. Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below as well as Parts II and III. See Part IV for all definitions and calculations.

Effluent Characteristics	Discharge Limitations			Monitoring Requirements		
	MassConcentration(lbs/day, unless(mg/l, unlessotherwise specified)otherwise specified)		unless	Frequency	Sample Type	
	Monthly Avg.	Daily Max	Monthly Avg.	Daily Max		
Flow	N/A	N/A	Report, MGD	Report, MGD	once/week <sup>1</sup>	estimate
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	407.8	1359.4	30.0	100.0	once/month <sup>1</sup>	grab
Total Copper	2.56	5.14	214.8 μg/l	431.1 μg/l	once/month <sup>1</sup>	grab
Total Iron	11.9	11.9	1.0	1.0	once/month <sup>1</sup>	grab
Oil and Grease (O&G)	135.9	203.9	10.0	15.0	once/month <sup>1</sup>	grab
pH	N/A	N/A	Minimum 6.0 s.u.	<u>Maximum</u> 9.0 s.u.	once/month <sup>1</sup>	grab

<sup>1</sup> When discharging.

There shall be no discharge of distinctly visible solids, scum, or foam of a persistent nature, nor shall there be any formation of slime, bottom deposits, or sludge banks. There shall be no visible sheen as defined in Part IV of this permit.

Samples and measurements taken as required herein shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge during the entire monitoring period. Samples shall be taken after final treatment at the outfall.

Permit Number: AR0000841 AFIN: 52-00055 Page 1 of Part IB

# SECTION B. PERMIT COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE

None.

# PART II OTHER CONDITIONS

- 1. The operator of this wastewater treatment facility shall be a Basic Industrial licensed by the State of Arkansas in accordance with APCEC Regulation No. 3.
- 2. In accordance with 40 CFR Parts 122.62 (a)(2) and 124.5, this permit may be reopened for modification or revocation and/or reissuance to require additional monitoring and/or effluent limitations when new information is received that actual or potential exceedance of State water quality criteria and/or narrative criteria are determined to be the result of the permittee's discharge(s) to a relevant water body or a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) is established or revised for the water body that was not available at the time of the permit issuance that would have justified the application of different permit conditions at the time of permit issuance.
- 3. Other Specified Monitoring Requirements

The permittee may use alternative appropriate monitoring methods and analytical instruments other than as specified in Part I Section A of the permit without a major permit modification under the following conditions:

- The monitoring and analytical instruments are consistent with accepted scientific practices.
- The requests shall be submitted in writing to the Permits Branch of the Office of Water Quality of the ADEQ for use of the alternate method or instrument.
- The method and/or instrument is in compliance with 40 CFR Part 136 or approved in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136.5.
- All associated devices are installed, calibrated, and maintained to insure the accuracy of the measurements and are consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. The calibration and maintenance shall be performed as part of the permittee's laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance program.

Upon written approval of the alternative monitoring method and/or analytical instruments, these methods or instruments must be consistently utilized throughout the monitoring period. ADEQ must be notified in writing and the permittee must receive written approval from ADEQ if the permittee decides to return to the original permit monitoring requirements.

- 4. Best Management Practices (BMPs), as defined in Part IV.6, must be implemented for the facility to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the State from stormwater runoff, spills or leaks, and/or waste disposal. The permittee must amend the BMPs whenever there is a change in the facility or a change in the operation of the facility.
- 5. There shall be no discharge of polychlorinated biphenyl compounds.

- 6. The term low volume waste sources means, taken collectively as if from one source, wastewater from all sources except those for which specific limitations are otherwise established in 40 CFR Part 423. Low volume wastes sources include, but are not limited to: wastewaters from wet scrubber air pollution control systems, ion exchange water treatment system, water treatment evaporator blowdown, laboratory and sampling streams, boiler blowdown, floor drains, cooling tower basin cleaning wastes, and recirculating house service water systems. Sanitary and air conditioning wastes are not included.
- 7. The permittee may use any EPA approved method based on 40 CFR Part 136 provided the MQL for the chosen method is equal to or less than what has been specified in chart below:

Pollutant	MQL (µg/l)
Total Recoverable Copper	0.5

The permittee may develop a matrix specific method detection limit (MDL) in accordance with Appendix B of 40 CFR Part 136. For any pollutant for which the permittee determines a site specific MDL, the permittee shall send to ADEQ, NPDES Permits Branch, a report containing QA/QC documentation, analytical results, and calculations necessary to demonstrate that a site specific MDL was correctly calculated. A site specific minimum quantification level (MQL) shall be determined in accordance with the following calculation:

$$MQL = 3.3 \times MDL$$

Upon written approval by Permits Branch, the site specific MQL may be utilized by the permittee for all future Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) calculations and reporting requirements.

8. Free Available Chlorine and Total Residual Chlorine

The terms Free Available Chlorine (FAC) and Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) shall mean the value obtained using one of the methods listed in 40 CFR 136.3(a), Table IB, Parameter 17 and Parameter 17A, respectively.

Neither FAC, nor TRC, may be discharged from any unit for more than two hours in any one day and not more than one unit in any plant may discharge FAC or TRC at any one time.

9. The monitoring requirements for Free Available Chlorine and Total Residual Chlorine at all outfalls are waived during this permit term based on 40 CFR 122.44(a)(2). This waiver is only valid for the term of this permit. The permittee must request this monitoring waiver when applying for a reissued permit. The monitoring waiver request must be accompanied by a signed statement that certifies under penalty of law that the facility does not use chlorination in the cooling water. The signed certification shall include the statements specified in 40 CFR Part 122.22(d).

10. Prohibition on the Use of Chlorine

Chlorine may not be added to the once-through cooling water.

11. For the purpose of this permit, daily temperature discharge is defined as the flow weighted average temperature (FWAT) and shall be monitored and recorded on a daily basis in accordance with Part III of this permit. FWAT shall be calculated at equal time intervals not greater than two hours. The method of calculating FWAT is as follows:

FWAT =  $\Sigma$  (Inst. Flow x Inst. Temp.) /  $\Sigma$  (Inst. Flow)

- 12. The permittee is required to use the side-stream spray pond only when needed to comply with the temperature limitation set forth in this permit. Not using the side-stream spray pond for treatment of the once-through cooling water which discharges through Outfall 001 does not constitute a bypass for the purpose of compliance with Part III.B.4 of this permit.
- 13. The permittee must operate the cooling water intake structure based on the current design and location to minimize impingement mortality and entrainment of aquatic organisms. This will meet the site-specific Interim BTA Standards for Impingement Mortality and Entrainment as established by the Director in accordance with 40 CFR 125.98(b)(5).

The permittee shall submit all data and information required by 40 CFR 122.21(r)(2)-(6), and (8) by December 31, 2016. The data and information shall be sufficient to show compliance with the requirements for Best Technology Available (BTA) Standards for Impingement Mortality in accordance with 40 CFR 125.94(c), and BTA Standards for Entrainment in accordance with 40 CFR 125.94(d).

Upon review of the submitted data and information, the Department may re-open the permit for modification, in accordance with Part II.2.

- 14. Nothing in this permit authorizes take for the purposes of a facility's compliance with the Endangered Species Act.
- 15. Any discharge other than from the permitted Outfall is not authorized under this permit and shall be reported to ADEQ as a noncompliance event within 24 hours of occurrence.

## PART III STANDARD CONDITIONS

# **SECTION A – GENERAL CONDITIONS**

## 1. Duty to Comply

The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the federal Clean Water Act and the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; and/or for denial of a permit renewal application. Any values reported in the required Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) which are in excess of an effluent limitation specified in Part I shall constitute evidence of violation of such effluent limitation and of this permit.

## 2. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions

The Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act provides that any person who violates any provisions of a permit issued under the Act shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to imprisonment for not more than one (1) year, or a fine of not more than twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) or by both such fine and imprisonment for each day of such violation. Any person who violates any provision of a permit issued under the Act may also be subject to civil penalty in such amount as the court shall find appropriate, not to exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each day of such violation. The fact that any such violation may constitute a misdemeanor shall not be a bar to the maintenance of such civil action.

## 3. Permit Actions

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause including, but not limited to the following:

- A. Violation of any terms or conditions of this permit.
- B. Obtaining this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully all relevant facts.
- C. A change in any conditions that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge.
- D. A determination that the permitted activity endangers human health or the environment and can only be regulated to acceptable levels by permit modification or termination.
- E. Failure of the permittee to comply with the provisions of APCEC Regulation No. 9 (Permit fees) as required by Part III.A.11 herein.

The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any permit condition.

# 4. <u>Toxic Pollutants</u>

Notwithstanding Part III.A.3, if any toxic effluent standard or prohibition (including any schedule of compliance specified in such effluent standard or prohibition) is promulgated under APCEC Regulation No. 2, as amended, or Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for a toxic pollutant which is present in the discharge and that standard or prohibition is more stringent than any limitations on the pollutant in this permit, this permit shall be modified or revoked and reissued to conform to the toxic effluent standards or prohibition and the permittee so notified.

The permittee shall comply with effluent standards, narrative criteria, or prohibitions established under APCEC Regulation No. 2, as amended, or Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants within the time provided in the regulations that establish those standards or prohibitions, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

# 5. <u>Civil and Criminal Liability</u>

Except as provided in permit conditions for "Bypass of Treatment Facilities" (Part III.B.4), and "Upset" (Part III.B.5), nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the permittee from civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance. Any false or materially misleading representation or concealment of information required to be reported by the provisions of this permit or applicable state and federal statues or regulations which defeats the regulatory purposes of the permit may subject the permittee to criminal enforcement pursuant to the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Ark. Code Ann. § 8-4-101 et seq.).

# 6. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject to under Section 311 of the Clean Water Act.

# 7. <u>State Laws</u>

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable State law or regulation under authority preserved by Section 510 of the Clean Water Act.

# 8. Property Rights

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of Federal, State, or local laws or regulations.

# 9. <u>Severability</u>

The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit, or the application of any provisions of this permit to any circumstance is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

# 10. Applicable Federal, State or Local Requirements

Permittees are responsible for compliance with all applicable terms and conditions of this permit. Receipt of this permit does not relieve any operator of the responsibility to comply with any other applicable federal such as endangered species, state or local statute, ordinance or regulation.

# 11. Permit Fees

The permittee shall comply with all applicable permit fee requirements (i.e., including annual permit fees following the initial permit fee that will be invoiced every year the permit is active) for wastewater discharge permits as described in APCEC Regulation No. 9 (Regulation for the Fee System for Environmental Permits). Failure to promptly remit all required fees shall be grounds for the Director to initiate action to terminate this permit under the provisions of 40 CFR Parts 122.64 and 124.5(d), as adopted in APCEC Regulation No. 6 and the provisions of APCEC Regulation No. 8.

# SECTION B – OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF POLLUTION CONTROLS

## 1. <u>Proper Operation and Maintenance</u>

- A. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.
- B. The permittee shall provide an adequate operating staff which is duly qualified to carryout operation, maintenance, and testing functions required to insure compliance with the conditions of this permit.

## 2. <u>Need to Halt or Reduce not a Defense</u>

It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit. Upon reduction, loss, or failure of the treatment facility, the permittee shall, to the extent necessary to maintain compliance with its permit, control production or discharges or both until the facility is restored or an alternative method of treatment is provided. This requirement applies, for example, when the primary source of power for the treatment facility is reduced, is lost, or alternate power supply fails.

# 3. <u>Duty to Mitigate</u>

The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment or the water receiving the discharge.

# 4. <u>Bypass of Treatment Facilities</u>

A. Bypass not exceeding limitation

The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of Parts III.B.4.b and 4.c.

## B. Notice

- 1. Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least ten days before the date of the bypass.
- 2. Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Part III.D.6 (24-hour notice).
- C. Prohibition of bypass
  - 1. Bypass is prohibited and the Director may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
    - (a) Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage.
    - (b) There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if the permittee could have installed adequate backup equipment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal or preventive maintenance.
    - (c) The permittee submitted notices as required by Part III.B.4.b.
  - 2. The Director may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Director determines that it will meet the three conditions listed above in Part III.B.4.c(1).

# 5. <u>Upset Conditions</u>

- A. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of Part III.B.5.b of this section are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
- B. Conditions necessary for demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
  - 1. An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the specific cause(s) of the upset.
  - 2. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated.
  - 3. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required by Part III.D.6.
  - 4. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required by Part III.B.3.
- C. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

# 6. <u>Removed Substances</u>

- A. Solids, sludges, filter backwash, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment or control of wastewaters shall be disposed of in a manner such as to prevent any pollutant from such materials from entering waters of the State. The Permittee must comply with all applicable state and Federal regulations governing the disposal of sludge, including but not limited to 40 CFR Part 503, 40 CFR Part 257, and 40 CFR Part 258.
- B. Any changes to the permittee's disposal practices described in Part II of the permit will require at least 180 days prior notice to the Director to allow time for additional permitting. Please note that the 180 day notification requirement may be waived if additional permitting is not required for the change.

# 7. <u>Power Failure</u>

The permittee is responsible for maintaining adequate safeguards to prevent the discharge of untreated or inadequately treated wastes during electrical power failure either by means of alternate power sources, standby generators, or retention of inadequately treated effluent.

# SECTION C – MONITORING AND RECORDS

# 1. <u>Representative Sampling</u>

Samples and measurements taken as required herein shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge during the entire monitoring period. All samples shall be taken at the monitoring points specified in this permit and, unless otherwise specified, before the effluent joins or is diluted by any other waste stream, body of water, or substance. Monitoring points shall not be changed without notification to and the approval of the Director. Intermittent discharge shall be monitored.

## 2. Flow Measurement

Appropriate flow measurement devices and methods consistent with accepted scientific practices shall be selected and used to insure the accuracy and reliability of measurements of the volume of monitored discharges. The devices shall be installed, calibrated, and maintained to insure the accuracy of the measurements are consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. Devices selected shall be capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviation of less than +/- 10% from true discharge rates throughout the range of expected discharge volumes and shall be installed at the monitoring point of the discharge.

## Calculated Flow Measurement

For calculated flow measurements that are performed in accordance with either the permit requirements or a Department approved method (i.e., as allowed under Part II.3), the +/- 10% accuracy requirement described above is waived. This waiver is only applicable when the method used for calculation of the flow has been reviewed and approved by the Department.

# 3. <u>Monitoring Procedures</u>

Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit. The permittee shall calibrate and perform maintenance procedures on all monitoring and analytical instrumentation at intervals frequent enough to insure accuracy of measurements and shall insure that both calibration and maintenance activities will be conducted. An adequate analytical quality control program, including the analysis of sufficient standards, spikes, and duplicate samples to insure the accuracy of all required analytical results shall be maintained by the permittee or designated commercial laboratory. At a minimum, spikes and duplicate samples are to be analyzed on 10% of the samples.

# 4. <u>Penalties for Tampering</u>

The Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate, any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under the Act shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to imprisonment for not more than one (1) year or a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) or by both such fine and imprisonment.

# 5. <u>Reporting of Monitoring Results</u>

Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form provided by the Department or other form/method approved in writing by the Department (e.g., electronic submittal of DMR once approved). Monitoring results obtained during the previous monitoring period shall be summarized and reported on a DMR form postmarked no later than the 25<sup>th</sup> day of the month or submitted electronically by 6:00 p.m. of the 25<sup>th</sup>, following the completed reporting period beginning on the effective date of the permit. When mailing the DMRs, duplicate copies of the forms signed and certified as required by Part III.D.11 and all other reports required by Part III.D, shall be submitted to the Director at the following address:

Enforcement Branch Office of Water Quality Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

If permittee uses outside laboratory facilities for sampling and/or analysis, the name and address of the contract laboratory shall be included on the DMR.

# 6. Additional Monitoring by the Permittee

If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this permit, using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136 or as specified in this permit, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR. Such increased frequency shall also be indicated on the DMR.

## 7. <u>Retention of Records</u>

The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit for a period of at least 3 years from the date of the sample, measurement, report, or application. This period may be extended by request of the Director at any time.

## 8. <u>Record Contents</u>

Records and monitoring information shall include:

- A. The date, exact place, time and methods of sampling or measurements, and preservatives used, if any.
- B. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements.
- C. The date(s) and time analyses were performed.
- D. The individual(s) who performed the analyses.
- E. The analytical techniques or methods used.
- F. The measurements and results of such analyses.

# 9. <u>Inspection and Entry</u>

The permittee shall allow the Director, or an authorized representative, upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

- A. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit.
- B. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit.
- C. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit.
- D. Sample, inspect, or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Clean Water Act, any substances or parameters at any location.

# **SECTION D – REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

# 1. Planned Changes

The Permittee shall give notice to the Director as soon as possible but no later than 180 days prior to any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility [40 CFR 122.41(l)]. Notice is required only when:

- A. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for new sources at 40 CFR 122.29(b).
- B. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants subject to effluent limitations in the permit, or to the notification requirements under 40 CFR 122.42(b).

## 2. Anticipated Noncompliance

The permittee shall give advance notice to the Director of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.

# 3. <u>Transfers</u>

The permit is nontransferable to any person except after notice to the Director. The Director may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Act.

# 4. Monitoring Reports

Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals and in the form specified in Part III.C.5. **Discharge Monitoring Reports must be submitted** <u>even</u> when <u>no</u> discharge occurs during the reporting period.

# 5. <u>Compliance Schedule</u>

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. Any reports of noncompliance shall include the cause of noncompliance, any remedial actions taken, and the probability of meeting the next scheduled requirement.

# 6. <u>Twenty-four Hour Report</u>

- A. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Any information shall be provided orally within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. A written submission shall also be provided within 5 days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain the following information:
  - 1. A description of the noncompliance and its cause.
  - 2. The period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue. and
  - 3. Steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.
- B. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours:
  - 1. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
  - 2. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
  - 3. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Director in Part I of the permit to be reported within 24 hours to the Enforcement Branch of the Office of Water Quality of the ADEQ.
- C. The Director may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis if the oral report has been received within 24 hours to the Enforcement Branch of the Office of Water Quality of the ADEQ.

# 7. Other Noncompliance

The permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under Parts III.D.4, 5, and 6, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed at Part III.D.6.

# 8. <u>Changes in Discharge of Toxic Substances for Industrial Dischargers</u>

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as he/she knows or has reason to believe:

A. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge on a routine or frequent basis of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the "notification levels" described in 40 CFR Part 122.42(a)(1).

B. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in any discharge on a non-routine or infrequent basis of a toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the "notification levels" described in 40 CFR Part 122.42(a)(2).

# 9. <u>Duty to Provide Information</u>

The permittee shall furnish to the Director, within a reasonable time, any information which the Director may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Director, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit. Information shall be submitted in the form, manner and time frame requested by the Director.

# 10. Duty to Reapply

If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit. The complete application shall be submitted at least 180 days before the expiration date of this permit. The Director may grant permission to submit an application less than 180 days in advance but no later than the permit expiration date. Continuation of expiring permits shall be governed by regulations promulgated in APCEC Regulation No. 6.

## 11. <u>Signatory Requirements</u>

All applications, reports, or information submitted to the Director shall be signed and certified as follows:

- A. All **permit applications** shall be signed as follows:
  - 1. For a corporation: by a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this section, a responsible corporate officer means:
    - (a) A president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy or decision-making functions for the corporation.
    - (b) The manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operation facilities, provided: the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.

- 2. For a partnership or sole proprietorship: by a general partner or proprietor, respectively.
- 3. For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency, by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this section, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes:
  - (a) The chief executive officer of the agency.
  - (b) A senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency.
- B. All **reports** required by the permit and **other information** requested by the Director shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
  - 1. The authorization is made in writing by a person described above.
  - 2. The authorization specified either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity, such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, or position of equivalent responsibility. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position).
  - 3. The written authorization is submitted to the Director.
- C. Certification. Any person signing a document under this section shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

# 12. Availability of Reports

Except for data determined to be confidential under 40 CFR Part 2 and APCEC Regulation No. 6, all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the Department of Environmental Quality. As required by the Regulations, the name and address of any permit applicant or permittee, permit applications, permits, and effluent data shall not be considered confidential.

# 13. <u>Penalties for Falsification of Reports</u>

The Arkansas Air and Water Pollution Control Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained under this permit shall be subject to civil penalties specified in Part III.A.2 and/or criminal penalties under the authority of the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Ark. Code Ann. § 8-4-101 et seq.).

# 14. Other Information

Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Director, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

# PART IV DEFINITIONS

All definitions contained in Section 502 of the Clean Water Act and 40 CFR 122.2 shall apply to this permit and are incorporated herein by reference. Additional definitions of words or phrases used in this permit are as follows:

- 1. "Act" means the Clean Water Act, Public Law 95-217 (33.U.S.C. 1251 et seq.) as amended.
- 2. "Administrator" means the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- 3. "APCEC" means the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission.
- 4. **"Applicable effluent standards and limitations"** means all State and Federal effluent standards and limitations to which a discharge is subject under the Act, including, but not limited to, effluent limitations, standards of performance, toxic effluent standards and prohibitions, and pretreatment standards.
- 5. "Applicable water quality standards" means all water quality standards to which a discharge is subject under the federal Clean Water Act and which has been (a) approved or permitted to remain in effect by the Administrator following submission to the Administrator pursuant to Section 303(a) of the Act, or (b) promulgated by the Director pursuant to Section 303(b) or 303(c) of the Act, and standards promulgated under (APCEC) Regulation No. 2, as amended.
- 6. **"Best Management Practices (BMPs)"** are activities, practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices designed to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the State. BMPs also include treatment technologies, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw sewage. BMPs may include structural devices or nonstructural practices.
- 7. "Bypass" As defined at 122.41(m).
- 8. **"Composite sample"** is a mixture of grab samples collected at the same sampling point at different times, formed either by continuous sampling or by mixing a minimum of 4 effluent portions collected at equal time intervals (but not closer than one hour apart) during operational hours, within the 24-hour period, and combined proportional to flow or a sample collected at more frequent intervals proportional to flow over the 24-hour period.
- 9. **"Daily Discharge"** means the discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling.
  - A. **Mass Calculations**: For pollutants with limitations expressed in terms of mass, the "daily discharge" is calculated as the total mass of pollutant discharged over the sampling day.
  - B. **Concentration Calculations**: For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the "daily discharge" is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.
- 10. **"Daily Maximum"** discharge limitation means the highest allowable "daily discharge" during the calendar month. The 7-day average for Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB) or E-Coli is the geometric mean of the values of all effluent samples collected during the calendar week in colonies per 100 ml.
- 11. "Department" means the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ).
- 12. "Director" means the Director of the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality.
- 13. "Dissolved oxygen limit" shall be defined as follows:

- A. When limited in the permit as a minimum monthly average, shall mean the lowest acceptable monthly average value, determined by averaging all samples taken during the calendar month.
- B. When limited in the permit as an instantaneous minimum value, shall mean that no value measured during the reporting period may fall below the stated value.
- 14. **"E-Coli"** a sample consists of one effluent grab portion collected during a 24-hour period at peak loads. For E-Coli, report the monthly average as a 30-day geometric mean in colonies per 100 ml.
- 15. **"Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB)**" a sample consists of one effluent grab portion collected during a 24-hour period at peak loads. For Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB) report the monthly average as a 30-day geometric mean in colonies per 100 ml.
- 16. **"Grab sample"** means an individual sample collected in less than 15 minutes in conjunction with an instantaneous flow measurement.
- 17. **"Industrial User**" means a nondomestic discharger, as identified in 40 CFR Part 403, introducing pollutants to a POTW.
- 18. **"Instantaneous flow measurement"** means the flow measured during the minimum time required for the flow-measuring device or method to produce a result in that instance. To the extent practical, instantaneous flow measurements coincide with the collection of any grab samples required for the same sampling period so that together the samples and flow are representative of the discharge during that sampling period.
- 19. **"Instantaneous Maximum"** when limited in the permit as an instantaneous maximum value, shall mean that no value measured during the reporting period may fall above the stated value.
- 20. **"Instantaneous Minimum"** an instantaneous minimum value, shall mean that no value measured during the reporting period may fall below the stated value.
- 21. **"Monthly average"** means the highest allowable average of "daily discharges" over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all "daily discharges" measured during a calendar month divided by the number of "daily discharges" measured during that month. For Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB) or E-Coli, report the monthly average.

# 22. "Monitoring and Reporting"

When a permit becomes effective, monitoring requirements are of the immediate period of the permit effective date. Where the monitoring requirement for an effluent characteristic is monthly or more frequently, the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) shall be submitted by the 25<sup>th</sup> of the month following the sampling. Where the monitoring requirement for an effluent characteristic is Quarterly, Semi-Annual, Annual, or Yearly, the DMR shall be submitted by the 25<sup>th</sup> of the month following the monitoring period end date.

# A. MONTHLY:

is defined as a calendar month or any portion of a calendar month for monitoring requirement frequency of once/month or more frequently.

# B. **BI-MONTHLY:**

is defined as two (2) calendar months or any portion of 2 calendar months for monitoring requirement frequency of once/2 months or more frequently.

# C. QUARTERLY:

1. is defined as a **fixed calendar quarter** or any part of the fixed calendar quarter for a non-seasonal effluent characteristic with a measurement frequency of once/quarter.

Fixed calendar quarters are: January through March, April through June, July through September, and October through December.

2. is defined as a **fixed three month period** (or any part of the fixed three month period) of or dependent upon the seasons specified in the permit for a seasonal effluent characteristic with a monitoring requirement frequency of once/quarter that does not coincide with the fixed calendar quarter. Seasonal calendar quarters are: May through July, August through October, November through January, and February through April.

# D. SEMI-ANNUAL:

is defined as the fixed time periods January through June, and July through December (or any portion thereof) for an effluent characteristic with a measurement frequency of once/6 months or twice/year.

# E. ANNUAL or YEARLY:

is defined as a fixed calendar year or any portion of the fixed calendar year for an effluent characteristic or parameter with a measurement frequency of once/year. A calendar year is January through December, or any portion thereof.

23. "National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System" means the national program for issuing, modifying, revoking and reissuing, terminating, monitoring and enforcing permits, and imposing and enforcing pretreatment requirements under Sections 307, 402, 318, and 405 of the Clean Water Act.

# 24. "**POTW**" means a Publicly Owned Treatment Works.

# 25. "Reduction of CBOD5/BOD5 and TSS in mg/l Formula"

((Influent – Effluent) / Influent) x 100

- 26. **"Severe property damage"** means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in products.
- 27. "Sewage sludge" means the solids, residues, and precipitate separated from or created in sewage by the unit processes at a POTW. Sewage as used in this definition means any wastes, including wastes from humans, households, commercial establishments, industries, and stormwater runoff that are discharged to or otherwise enter a POTW.
- 28. **"7-day average"** Also known as "average weekly" means the highest allowable average of "daily discharges" over a calendar week, calculated as the sum of all "daily discharges" measured during a calendar week divided by the number of "daily discharges" measured during that week.
- 29. "Treatment works" means any devices and systems used in storage, treatment, recycling, and reclamation of municipal sewage and industrial wastes, of a liquid nature to implement section 201 of the Act, or necessary to recycle reuse water at the most economic cost over the estimated life of the works, including intercepting sewers, sewage collection systems, pumping, power and other equipment, and alterations thereof; elements essential to provide a reliable recycled supply such as standby treatment units and clear well facilities, and any works, including site acquisition of the land that will be an integral part of the treatment process or is used for ultimate disposal of residues resulting from such treatment.

# **30. Units of Measure:**

"MGD" shall mean million gallons per day.

"mg/l" shall mean milligrams per liter or parts per million (ppm).

"µg/l" shall mean micrograms per liter or parts per billion (ppb).

"cfs" shall mean cubic feet per second.

"ppm" shall mean parts per million.

"s.u." shall mean standard units.

- 31. "Upset" means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. Any upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless of improper operations.
- 32. **"Visible sheen"** means the presence of a film or sheen upon or a discoloration of the surface of the discharge. A sheen can also be from a thin glistening layer of oil on the surface of the discharge.
- 33. "Weekday" means Monday Friday.

#### **Final Statement of Basis**

This Statement of Basis is for information and justification of the permit limits only. Please note that it is not enforceable. This permitting decision is for renewal of the discharge Permit Number AR0000841 with Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Facility Identification Number (AFIN) 52-00055 to discharge to Waters of the State.

## 1. PERMITTING AUTHORITY.

The issuing office is:

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317

#### 2. APPLICANT.

The applicant's mailing address is:

Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation John L. McClellan Generating Station P.O. Box 194208 Little Rock, AR 72119 The facility address is:

Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation John L. McClellan Generating Station 1625 Bradley Ferry Road Camden, AR 71701

#### 3. PREPARED BY.

The permit was prepared by:

Guy Lester Staff Engineer Permits Branch Office of Water Quality (501) 682-0023 Email: <u>lester@adeq.state.ar.us</u>

## 4. PERMIT ACTIVITY.

Previous Permit Effective Date: Previous Permit Modification Date: Previous Permit Expiration Date: August 1, 2010 December 1, 2013 July 31, 2015

The permittee submitted a permit renewal application on February 3, 2015, and additional information was received on February 9, 2015, May 5, 2015, June 16, 2015, June 19, 2015, June 30, 2015, and July 28, 2015. The current discharge permit is reissued for a 5-year term in accordance with regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 122.46(a).

# DOCUMENT ABBREVIATIONS

In the document that follows, various abbreviations are used. They are as follows:

BAT - best available technology economically achievable

BCT - best conventional pollutant control technology

BMP - best management practice

BOD<sub>5</sub> - five-day biochemical oxygen demand

BPJ - best professional judgment

BPT - best practicable control technology currently available

CBOD<sub>5</sub> - carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand

CD - critical dilution

CFR - Code of Federal Regulations

cfs - cubic feet per second

COD - chemical oxygen demand

COE - United States Corp of Engineers

CPP - continuing planning process

CWA - Clean Water Act

DMR - discharge monitoring report

DO - dissolved oxygen

ELG - effluent limitation guidelines

EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency

ESA - Endangered Species Act

FCB - fecal coliform bacteria

gpm - gallons per minute

MGD - million gallons per day

MQL - minimum quantification level

NAICS - North American Industry Classification System

NH3-N - ammonia nitrogen

 $NO_3 + NO_2 - N$  - nitrate + nitrite nitrogen

NPDES - National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

O&G - oil and grease

Reg. 2 - APCEC Regulation No. 2

Reg. 6 - APCEC Regulation No. 6

Reg. 8 - APCEC Regulation No. 8

Reg. 9 - APCEC Regulation No. 9

RP - reasonable potential

SIC - standard industrial classification

TDS - total dissolved solids

TMDL - total maximum daily load

TP - total phosphorus

TRC - total residual chlorine

TSS - total suspended solids

UAA - use attainability analysis

USF&WS - United States Fish and Wildlife Service

USGS - United States Geological Survey

WET - Whole effluent toxicity WQMP - water quality management plan WQS - Water Quality standards WWTP - wastewater treatment plant

# Compliance and Enforcement History:

Compliance and Enforcement History for this facility can be reviewed by using the following web link:

http://www.adeq.state.ar.us/downloads/WebDatabases/PermitsOnline/NPDES/PermitInforma tion/AR0000841\_Compliance%20Review\_20150609.pdf

# 5. SIGNIFICANT CHANGES FROM THE PREVIOUSLY ISSUED PERMIT.

The permittee is responsible for carefully reading the permit in detail and becoming familiar with all of the changes therein:

- 1. The wastestream description has been corrected to describe air pre-heater wash as a metal cleaning waste, and the other wastestream descriptions have been revised to match the language of 40 CFR 423. See Section 11.E below for details.
- 2. All of the effluent limits have been corrected to reference at least the nearest tenth to ensure the required accuracy in reporting.
- 3. Mass limitations for Copper, TSS, and O&G have changed based on the change in average discharge flows. See Section 11.C. 1 below for details.
- 4. TRC and FAC limits have been added to Part IA, Section A1. See Section 11.E.1 below for details.
- 5. Total Copper and Total Iron limits have been added to Outfall 003. See Section 11.E.2.b.iii below for details.
- 6. Part II.8 has been added to include the definition of TRC and FAC based on the current approved list of test methods in 40 CFR Part 136.
- 7. The requirement to monitor the Upstream Temperature of the receiving stream, the calculated Downstream Temperature limit, and the calculated Upstream / Downstream Temperature Difference limit for Outfall 001 have been deleted from the permit. Parts II.10 and II.11 have also been deleted from the permit. See Section 11.B below for details.
- 8. The monitoring and reporting requirements for Total Recoverable Zinc have been deleted from Outfall 001 and Outfall 003. See Sections 7.A and 11.G below for details.
- 9. Part II.9 has been added because the permittee requested, and met the conditions for, a waiver of monitoring and reporting requirments for FAC and TRC in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(a)(2).
- 10. Part II.10 has been added to ensure compliance with the conditions for waiving monitoring and reporting requirements for FAC and TRC.
- 11. Part II.13 has been added to require the permittee gather data and information for submittal with the next permit renewal application based on the requirements of 40 CFR 122.21(r)(1)-(6), and (8) and 40 CFR 125.94(c).
- 12. Part II.14 has been added to the permit, in accordance with 40 CFR 122.98(b)(1).

13. Part II.15 has been added to the permit to clarify that any discharge from the facility other than through the permitted outfall is not authorized by the permit and must be reported within 24 hours.

# 6. RECEIVING STREAM SEGMENT AND DISCHARGE LOCATION.

The outfalls are located at the following coordinates based on Google Earth using WGS84:

Outfall 001: Latitude: 33° 33' 53.3" N; Longitude: 92° 47' 24.2" W Outfall 003: Latitude: 33° 33' 56.84" N; Longitude: 92° 47' 31.24" W

The receiving waters named:

the Ouachita River in Segment 2D of the Ouachita River Basin. The receiving stream with USGS Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) of 08040102 and Reach #001 is a Water of the State classified for primary and secondary contact recreation, raw water source for domestic (public and private), industrial, and agricultural water supplies, propagation of desirable species of fish and other aquatic life, and other compatible uses.

# 7. 303(d) LIST, ENDANGERED SPECIES, AND ANTI-DEGRADATION CONSIDERATIONS.

## A. 303(d) List:

The receiving stream is not listed on the 2008 303(d) list. However, approximately 2 miles from the discharge point, HUC+Reach 08040201+005 of the Ouachita River is listed on the 2008 303(d) list as impaired for Copper and Zinc in Category 5d, which means that additional information is required to confirm the impairment.

The Department has received new information indicating that the water quality standard (WQS) for Zinc are now being met. The draft 2012 and 2014 303(d) lists submitted to the EPA by the Department delist this segment for Zinc. Reasonable potential (RP) analyses were performed and show that the discharges from Outfall 001 and Outfall 003 do not show RP to violate the WQS for Zinc. Therefore, the monitoring and reporting requirements for Zinc have been deleted from Outfall 001 and Outfall 003 in the permit. The RP analyses show that the discharge from Outfall 001 shows RP to violate the WQS for Copper. Permit limitations for Copper at Outfall 001 are being continued from the previous permit. No further permit action for Copper is required. See Section 11.G below for details concerning the RP analyses.

## B. Endangered Species:

No comments on the application were received from the USF&WS during the 60-day review period required by 40 CFR 125.98(h). The draft permit and Statement of Basis were sent to the USF&WS for review during the public comment period.

The Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission notified ADEQ that the following species of conservation concern are known to occur in the White River at or within five miles downstream of the outfall:

Lampsilis abrupta, pink mucket – federal concern (endangered) Pleurobema rubrum, pyramid pigtoe – state concern Pleurobema sintoxia, Round Pigtoe-state concern Ptychobranchus occidentalis, Ouachita kidneyshell – state concern Truncilla donaciformis, Fawnsfoot-state concern

The permit has been written to ensure that all water quality standards (WQS) are maintained in the receiving stream. WQS are designed, in part, to provide for the protection and propagation of all aquatic life.

# C. Anti-Degradation:

The limitations and requirements set forth in this permit for discharge into waters of the State are consistent with the Antidegradation Policy and all other applicable water quality standards found in APC&EC Regulation No. 2.

# 8. OUTFALL, TREATMENT PROCESS DESCRIPTION, AND FACILITY CONSTRUCTION.

The following is a description of the facility described in the application:

A. Average Flows: (highest monthly average flows of the past two years)

Outfall 001: 61.54 MGD Outfall 003: 1.43 MGD

B. Type of Treatment:

Outfall 001: (optional) side-stream spray cooling pond Outfall 003: settling pond and neutralization

C. Discharge Description:

Outfall 001: once-through cooling water Outfall 003: wastewaters from low volume waste sources (consisting of demineralization regeneration, floor drains, and boiler blowdown), and metal cleaning waste (consisting of air pre-heater wash)

- D. Facility Status: This facility was evaluated using the NPDES Permit Rating Worksheet (MRAT) to determine the correct permitting status. Since the facility's MRAT score of 60 is less than 80, this facility is classified as a Minor industrial.
- E. Facility Construction: This permit does not authorize or approve the construction or modification of any part of the treatment system or facilities. Approval for such construction must be by permit issued under Reg. 6.202.

# 9. ACTIVITY.

Under the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code of 4911 or North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code of 221112, the applicant's activities are the operation of steam electric power generating station.

# 10. SOLIDS PRACTICES.

No solids are generated at this facility.

# 11. DEVELOPMENT AND BASIS FOR PERMIT CONDITIONS.

The Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality has determined to issue a permit for the discharge described in the application. Permit requirements are based on federal regulations (40 CFR Parts 122, 124, and Subchapter N), the National Pretreatment Regulation in 40 CFR Part 403 and regulations promulgated pursuant to the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Ark. Code Ann. 8-4-101 et. seq.). All of the information contained in the application, including all of the submitted effluent testing data, was reviewed to determine the need for effluent limits and other permit requirements.

The following is an explanation of the derivation of the conditions of the permit and the reasons for them or, in the case of notices of intent to deny or terminate, reasons suggesting the decisions as required under 40 CFR Part 124.7.

## Technology-Based Versus Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations And Conditions

Following regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 122.44, the permit limits are based on either technology-based effluent limits pursuant to 40 CFR Part 122.44 (a) or on State water quality standards and requirements pursuant to 40 CFR Part 122.44 (d), whichever are more stringent as follows:

	Water ( Bas	- •	Techno Bas	<b>U</b> .	Prev Per		Final I	Permit
Parameter	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	Daily
	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.
	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l
			OUTFA	LL 001				
Temperature	140. (Inst. 1		N/	Ά	108 (Inst. 1		108 (Inst.	3°F Max.)
FAC	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.5	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.5
TRC	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2
Total Recoverable Copper	17.99 μg/l	36.10 μg/l	N/A	N/A	17.99 μg/l	36.10 μg/l	17.99 μg/l	36.10 μg/l
pН	6.0-9.	0 s.u.	6.0-9.	0 s.u.	6.0-9.	0 s.u.	6.0-9.	0 s.u.

	Water Q Bas		Techno Bas		Prev Per		Final I	Permit
Parameter	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	Daily	Monthly	Daily
	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.
	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l
			OUTFA	LL 003				
TSS	N/A	N/A	30.0	100.0	30.0	100.0	30.0	100.0
Total Copper	214.8 μg/l	431.1 μg/l	1.0	1.0	N/A	N/A	214.8 µg/l	431.1 μg/l
Total Iron	N/A	N/A	1.0	1.0	N/A	N/A	1.0	1.0
O&G	10.0	15.0	20.0	15.0	10	15	10.0	15.0
pH	6.0-9.	0 s.u.	6.0-9.	0 s.u.	6.0-9.	0 s.u.	6.0-9.	0 s.u.

# A. Justification for Limitations and Conditions of the final permit:

Parameter	Water Quality or Technology	Justification				
	OUTFALL 001					
Temperature	Water Quality	Reg. 2.502, CWA 402(o), and previous permit				
FAC <sup>1</sup>	Technology	40 CFR 423.12(b)(2)				
$TRC^2$	Technology	40 CFR 423.12(b)(1)				
Total						
Recoverable	Water Quality	Reg. 2.508, CWA 402(o), and previous permit				
Copper						
рН	Water Quality	Reg. 2.504, CWA Section 402(o), and previous permit				
		OUTFALL 003				
TSS	Technology	40 CFR 423.12(b)(3), 40 CFR 122.44(l),				
100	Technology	and previous permit				
Total Copper <sup>3</sup>	Water Quality	Reg. 2.508				
Total Iron <sup>3</sup>	Technology	40 CFR 423.12(b)(5)				
O&G	Water Quality	Reg. 2.510, 40 CFR 122.44(l), and previous permit				
рН	Water Quality	Reg. 2.504, CWA Section 402(o), and previous permit				

<sup>1</sup> See Section 11.E.1.b.i below for details. <sup>2</sup> See Section 11.E.1.a.ii below for details.

<sup>3</sup> See Section 11.E.2.b.iii below for details.

## B. Anti-backsliding

The permit is consistent with the requirements to meet Anti-backsliding provisions of the Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402(o) [40 CFR 122.44(1)]. The final effluent limitations for reissuance permits must be as stringent as those in the previous permit, unless the less stringent limitations can be justified using exceptions listed in CWA 402(o)(2), CWA 303(d)(4), or 40 CFR 122.44 (l)(2)(i).

Except for the calculated Downstream Temperature limit, and the calculated Upstream/Downstream Temperature Difference, the permit meets or exceeds the requirements of the previous permit.

The calculated Downstream Temperature limit and the calculated Upstream/Downstream Temperature Difference limit have been deleted from the permit. This is in accordance with the Anti-backsliding exceptions listed in CWA 402(0)(2)(B)(i) in that new information is available that was not available at the time of the issuance of the permit which would have justified the application of a less stringent effluent limitations. This new information is a change in the critical background flow (7Q10) of the receiving stream (the Ouachita River).

The above referenced limits were added to the permit which became effective on March 1, 1989. The temperature calculations performed in determining the temperature limits in the final permit used a 7Q10 value for the Ouachita River of 568 cfs. This value was taken from "Identification and Classification of Perennial Streams of Arkansas", Arkansas Geological Commission, which was published in 1983. In 2008, the USGS published "Low-Flow Characteristics and Regionalization of Low-Flow Characteristics for Selected Streams in Arkansas" (the Report), which includes updated 7Q10 values for many Arkansas streams. The Report states that the flow in the Ouachita River above the USGS Stream Gauging Station at Camden (Station #07362000) has been regulated by additional dams since 1985. The Report specifies the most recent 7Q10 of the Ouachita River as 708 cfs (for the period of April 1985 – September 2005).

Water quality temperature calculations show that with a 108°F Inst. Max. temperature limit, the discharge does not show reasonable potential to violate the WQS for temperature (89.6°F) or to cause a 5°F temperature increase in the receiving stream. Therefore, the 108°F Inst. Max. temperature limit is sufficient to protect water quality, and the calculated Downstream Temperature limit and the calculated Upstream/Downstream Temperature Difference limit are not required and have been deleted from the permit. The requirement to determine the Upstream Temperature has been deleted from the permit because it was only used as part of the calculation of the deleted limits and is no longer required. Parts II.10 and II.11 have been deleted from the permit because they defined the calculation method for the deleted limits and are no longer required.

# C. Limits Calculations

1. Mass limits:

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.45(f)(1), all pollutants limited in permits shall have limitations expressed in terms of mass if feasible. 40 CFR 122.45(f)(2) allows for pollutants which are limited in terms of mass to also be limited in terms of other units of measurement.

The calculations of the loadings (lbs per day) for FAC and TRC use an average flow for Outfall 001 of 61.54 MGD, the allowable discharge concentrations for FAC (Monthly Avg. And Daily Max.) and TRC (Daily Max.) from 40 CFR 423.12(b)(6) and 40 CFR 423.13(b)(1), respectively, and the following equation:

lbs/day = Concentration (mg/l) x Flow (MGD) x 8.34 x 2/24

The factor 2/24 is based on the requirement that FAC is only allowed to be discharged from the generating units for a maximum of 2 hours per day (1 day = 24 hours).

The calculations of the loadings (lbs per day) for TSS, O&G, and Iron from Outfall 003 use an average flow of 1.43 MGD and the following equation:

lbs/day = Concentration (mg/l) x Flow (MGD) x 8.34

The calculations of the loading (lbs per day) for Copper use an average flow of 61.54 MGD from Outfall 001, and an average flow of 1.43 MGD from Outfall 003, and the following equation:

 $lbs/day = Concentration (\mu g/l) \times Flow (MGD) \times 8.34 / 1000$ 

2. Daily Maximum Limits:

The daily maximum limits for TSS are based on 40 CFR 423.12(b)(3) and (11). See Section 11.E.2.b.ii below.

The daily maximum limits for FAC and TRC are based on 40 CFR Parts 423.12(b)(6) and 423.13(b)(1), respectively. See Section 11.E.1.a.ii and 11.E.1.b below.

The daily maximum limits for O&G are based on Reg. 2.510.

3. Temperature

The 108°F Inst. Max. temperature limitation is continued from previous permit.

The following were used to confirm that the discharge will not cause the receiving stream (Ouachita River) to exceed the temperature standards in Reg. 2.502:

Max. Temperature WQS – Critical Season (May – October):

 $T_d = \left[ \left( Q_e \ \textbf{X} \ T_e \right) + \left( Q_b \ \textbf{X} \ T_b \right) \right] / \left[ Q_e + Q_b \right]$ 

where:

- $T_d$  = downstream temperature of receiving stream in °F
- $Q_e$  = average flow Outfall 001 = 61.54 MGD = 95.22 cfs
- $T_e =$  maximum allowable temperature of the effluent (permit limit) =  $108^{\circ}F$
- $Q_b$  = critical background flow = 7Q10 = 708\* cfs
- $T_b$  = average background temperature of receiving stream = 82.8°F<sup>+</sup>
  - \* Ref.: "Low-Flow Characteristics and Regionalization of Low-Flow Characteristics for Selected Streams in Arkansas", U.S. Dept. of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Scientific Investigations Report 2008-5065, USGS Station 07362000 (April 1985 – September 2005).
  - <sup>†</sup> Ref.: Facility DRMs upstream temperature data for the months of May October from July 2000 October 2014.

 $T_{d} = \left[ (95.22 \times 108^{\circ}F) + (708 \times 82.8^{\circ}F) \right] / (95.22 + 708)$ 

 $T_d = 85.8^{\circ}F$ 

85.8°F < 89.6°F (WQS for temperature for the Ouachita River from Reg. 2.502)

<u>Temperature Change WQS – Primary Season (Nov – April):</u>

 $Q_e = 95.22 \text{ cfs}$   $T_e = 108^\circ \text{F}$   $Q_b = 7Q10 \text{ (November - April)} = 891^* \text{ cfs}$  $T_b = \text{ average background temperature of receiving stream} = 60.1^\circ \text{F}^+$ 

- \* Ref.: "Low-Flow Characteristics and Regionalization of Low-Flow Characteristics for Selected Streams in Arkansas", U.S. Dept. of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Scientific Investigations Report 2008-5065, USGS Station 07362000 (April 1985 – September 2005).
- <sup>†</sup> Ref.: Facility DRMs upstream temperature data for the months of November April from November 2000 February 2015.

$$T_{d} = \left[ (95.22 \times 108^{\circ} F) + (891 \times 60.1^{\circ} F) \right] / (95.22 + 891)$$

 $T_d = 64.7^{\circ}F$ 

 $\Delta T=T_d\text{ - }T_b=64.7^\circ F-60.7^\circ F=4.6^\circ F$ 

 $4.6^{\circ}F \le 5.0^{\circ}F$  (maximum allowable temperature change from Reg. 2.502)

With a maximum temperature limit of 108 °F, there is no reasonable potential (RP) for the discharge from the facility to cause a violation of the WQS for maximum instream temperature (89.6°F), or a violation of the WQS for maximum temperature change of the receiving stream ( $\Delta$ 5°F).

Since the discharge does not show RP to violate either of the WQS for temperature, the following limits have been deleted from the permit: calculated Downstream Temperature and the calculated change in temperature of the receiving stream (measured Upstream Temperature – calculated Downstream Temperature). See Section 11.B above for Anti-backsliding details.

## D. 208 Plan (Water Quality Management Plan)

There are no changes to the 208 Plan.

## E. Applicable Effluent Limitations Guidelines

Discharges from facilities of this type are covered by Federal effluent limitations guidelines (ELGs) promulgated under 40 CFR Part 423 – Steam Electric Power Generating Point Source Category.

- 1. Outfall 001 once-through cooling water
  - a. 40 CFR 423.13 specifies the following ELGs that represent Best Available Technology economically feasible (BAT):
    - i. 40 CFR 423.13(a) specifies that there shall be no discharge of polychlorinated biphenyl compounds such as those commonly used for transformer fluid. This prohibition has been included as Part II.5 of the permit.
    - ii. 40 CFR 423.13(b)(1) applies because the facility has a generating capacity of 134 megawatts (MW), which is greater than 25 MW. This ELG specifies the following concentration limits for TRC:

Parameter	Monthly Avg. (mg/l)	Daily Max. (mg/l)
TRC	N/A	0.2

40 CFR 423.13(b)(2) specifies that TRC may not be discharged from any single generating unit for more than two hours per day. These ELGs also specify a mass limit based on the above concentration, the facility average flow, and the maximum 2-hour discharge limit. The mass limit was calculated as noted in Section 11.C.1 above. These ELGs have been included as limits in Part IA, Section A1 and Part II.8 of the permit. See also Section 11.E.1.c below.

b. 40 CFR 423.12 specifies the following ELGs that represent Best Practicable Control Technology currently available (BPT):

40 CFR 423.12 (b)(6) specifies the following concentration limits for FAC:

Parameter	Monthly Avg. (mg/l)	Daily Max. (mg/l)
FAC	0.2	0.5

40 CFR 423.12(b)(8) specifies that FAC may not be discharged from any single generating unit for more than two hours per day. These ELGs also specify mass limits based on the above concentrations, the facility average flow, and the maximum 2-hour discharge limit. The mass limits were calculated as noted in Section 11.C.1 above. These ELGs have been included as limits in Part IA, Section A1 and Part II.8 of the permit. See also Section 11.E.1.c below.

- c. The facility does not use chlorination. Part II.9 of the permit waives the monitoring and reporting requirements for TRC and FAC based on the request for a waiver by the permittee, in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(a)(2). Part II.10 of the permit prohibits the use of chlorine in the cooling water to ensure compliance with the conditions cited by the permittee as justification for waiving monitoring and reporting requirements.
- 2. Outfall 003 wastewaters from low volume waste sources (consisting of demineralization regeneration, floor drains, and boiler blowdown), and metal cleaning waste (consisting of air pre-heater wash)
  - a. 40 CFR 423.13 specifies the following ELGs that represent Best Available Technology economically feasible (BAT):
    - i. 40 CFR 423.13(a) specifies that there shall be no discharge of polychlorinated biphenyl compounds such as those commonly used for transformer fluid. This prohibition has been included as Part II.5 of the permit.
  - b. 40 CFR 423.12 specifies the following ELGs that represent Best Practicable Control Technology currently available (BPT):
    - i. 40 CFR 423.12(b)(1) specifies that all discharges, except once through cooling water, shall have a pH within the range of 6.0–9.0. This ELG has been met by the pH limits in Part IA, Section A2 of the permit.
    - ii. 40 CFR 423.12(b)(3) specifies limitations on the discharge of TSS and O&G in low volume wastewaters. In accordance with 40 CFR 423.12(b)(11), the limitations are expressed as the following concentration limits:

ELGS from 40 CFR 423.12(b)(3)				
Parameter Monthly Avg. (mg/l) Daily Max. (mg/l)				
TSS	30.0	100.0		
O&G	15.0	20.0		

The most stringent limitations are included in permits whenever there are multiple technology-based or water quality-based limitations for pollutants. The ELGs for TSS have been met by the TSS limits in Part IA, Section A2 of the permit. The water quality-based O&G limits in Reg. 2.510 are more stringent than the O&G limits in the ELG, so they are retained in the permit.

iii. 40 CFR 423.12(b)(5) specifies limitations on the discharge of TSS, O&G, Total Copper, and Total Iron in metal cleaning wastes. The ELGs for TSS have been met by the TSS limits in Part IA, Section A2 of the permit. The water quality-based O&G limits in Reg. 2.510 are more stringent than the O&G limits in the ELG, so they have been retained in the permit. In accordance with 40 CFR 423.12(b)(11), the limitations for Total Copper and Total Iron are expressed as the following concentration limits:

ELGS from 40 CFR 423.12(b)(5)				
ParameterMonthly Avg. (mg/l)Daily Max. (mg/l)				
Total Copper	1.0	1.0		
Total Iron	1.0	1.0		

The most stringent limitations are included in permits whenever there are multiple technology-based or water quality-based limitations for pollutants. There are no water quality standards for Iron in Reg. 2.508, so the ELGs for Total Iron have been included as the limitations in Part IA, Section A2 of the permit. Water-quality based limitations for Total Copper were calculated using the information in the first table of Section 11.G below, data from monitoring station OUA0037, and the procedure from Part IV.B of the ADEQ Discharge Permit, Toxic Control Implementation Procedure in Appendix D of the CPP. The calculated water-quality based limitations for Total Copper were more stringent the ELGs. Therefore, water quality-based limitations for Total Copper have been included as the limitations in Part IA, Section A2 of the permit.

Final Permit Limitations				
Parameter Monthly Avg. Daily Max.				
Total Copper	214.8 µg/l	431.1 µg/l		
Total Iron	1.0 mg/l	1.0 mg/l		

The water quality-based limitation calculations for Copper can be viewed on the Department's website at the following address:

http://www.adeq.state.ar.us/ftproot/Pub/WebDatabases/PermitsOnline/NPDES /PermitInformation/AR0000841\_WQbased%20limit%20calculations%20for%20Copper\_20150730.pdf

# F. Applicable Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Reports

"TMDLs for Segments Listed for Mercury in Fish Tissue for the Ouachita River Basin, and Bayou Bartholomew, Arkansas and Louisiana to Columbia" was issued for Bayou Bartholomew on December 18, 2002. No Mercury waste load allocation (WLA) was assigned to the facility by the TMDL. No permit action is required for Mercury.

# G. Priority Pollutant Scan (PPS)

ADEQ has reviewed and evaluated the effluent in accordance with the potential toxicity of each analyzed pollutant using the procedures outlined in the Continuing Planning Process (CPP).

The concentration of each pollutant after mixing with the receiving stream was compared to the applicable water quality standards as established in the Arkansas Water Quality Standards (AWQS), Regulation No. 2 (Reg. 2.508) and criteria obtained from the EPA National Recommended Water Quality Criteria, found at the following link:

http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/criteria/current/index.cfm#cmc

Under Federal Regulation 40 CFR Part 122.44(d), as adopted by Regulation No. 6, if a discharge poses the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance above a water quality standard, the permit must contain an effluent limitation for that pollutant. Effluent limitations for the toxicants listed below have been derived in a manner consistent with the Technical Support Document (TSD) for Water Quality-based Toxics Control (EPA, March 1991), the CPP, and 40 CFR Part 122.45(c).

Parameter	Value	Source
Average Discharge Flow = Q	61.54 MGD = 95.08 cfs (Outfall 001) 1.43 MGD = 2.21 cfs (Outfall 003)	DMRs (June 2013 – May 2015)
Background Flow = 7Q10 (Aquatic Toxicity)	708 cfs	USGS Document SIR 2008-5065 USGS Station 07362000 (April 1985 – September 2005)
Background Flow = LTA (Human Health)	12,559 cfs	USGS Station 07362000 data (May 1985 – February 2015)
TSS	5.5 mg/l	CPP – Ouachita River
Hardness as CaCo3	28 mg/l	CPP – Ouachita River
pH	6.96 s.u.	OUA0037 Data

The following items were used in calculations:

The pollutants in the following tables were reported in the PPS and monthly DMRs for Outfall 001, and the monthly DMRs for Outfall 003. Instream Waste Concentrations (IWCs) were calculated in the manner described in Appendix D of the CPP, and compared to the applicable Water Quality Standards for the Aquatic Toxicity Evaluation, and to the applicable EPA Water Quality Criteria for the Human Health (Bioaccumulation) Evaluation. The following tables summarize the results of the analysis. The complete evaluations can be viewed on the Department's website at the following addresses:

## Outfall 001:

http://www.adeq.state.ar.us/ftproot/Pub/WebDatabases/PermitsOnline/NPDES/PermitInf ormation/AR0000841\_Reasonable%20Potential%20Calculations%20Outfall%20001\_20 150630.pdf

Outfall 003:

http://www.adeq.state.ar.us/ftproot/Pub/WebDatabases/PermitsOnline/NPDES/PermitInf ormation/AR0000841\_Reasonable%20Potential%20Calculations%20Outfall%20003\_20 150630.pdf

# 1. Aquatic Toxicity Evaluation – Outfall 001

Pollutant	Concentration Reported (C <sub>e</sub> ) µg/l	C <sub>e</sub> x 2.13 <sup>1</sup>	Instream Waste Concentration (IWC) Acute, µg/l	Water Quality Standards (WQS) <sup>2</sup> Acute, µg/l	Reasonable Potential (Yes/No)
Copper	28.5 <sup>3</sup>	-	20.21	13.44	Yes
Lead	$0.8765^4$	1.8669	1.34	77.87	No
Mercury	0.01185 <sup>4</sup>	0.02524	0.01745	6.70	No
Nickel	$1.62^{4}$	3.45	2.60	973.88	No
Zinc	13.6 <sup>5</sup>	28.97	23.02	120.05	No

a. Acute Criteria Evaluation

<sup>1</sup> Statistical ratio used to estimate the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile using a single effluent concentration or the geometric mean of a dataset.

 $^{2}$  Water Quality Standards are from Reg. 2.508.

<sup>3</sup> Max. value of 46 samples (from monthly sampling - August 2010 – May 2015 DMRs)

<sup>4</sup> Single value from PPS submitted with application.

<sup>5</sup> Geometric Mean of 18 samples (from quarterly sampling - September 2010 – March 2015 DMRs)

Pollutant	Concentration Reported (C <sub>e</sub> ) µg/l	C <sub>e</sub> x 2.13 <sup>1</sup>	Instream Waste Concentration (IWC) Chronic, µg/l	Water Quality Standards (WQS) <sup>2</sup> Chronic, µg/l	Reasonable Potential (Yes/No)
Copper	28.5 <sup>3</sup>	-	11.04	10.02	Yes
Lead	0.8765 <sup>4</sup>	1.8669	0.75	3.03	No
Mercury	0.01185 <sup>4</sup>	0.02524	0.00882	0.012	No
Nickel	1.62 <sup>4</sup>	3.45	1.67	108.16	No
Zinc	13.6 <sup>5</sup>	28.97	16.43	109.63	No

# b. Chronic Criteria Evaluation

Statistical ratio used to estimate the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile using a single effluent concentration or the geometric mean of a dataset.

<sup>2</sup> Water Quality Standards are from Reg. 2.508.

<sup>3</sup> Max. value of 46 samples (from monthly sampling - August 2010 – May 2015 DMRs)

<sup>4</sup> Single value from PPS submitted with application.

<sup>5</sup> Geometric Mean of 18 samples (from quarterly sampling - September 2010 – March 2015 DMRs)

Pollutant	Concentration Reported (C <sub>e</sub> ) µg/l	C <sub>e</sub> x 2.13 <sup>1</sup>	Instream Waste Concentration (IWC)	EPA Water Quality Criteria (WQC) <sup>2</sup>	Reasonable Potential (Yes/No)
Arsenic	$0.605^{4}$	1.289	0.47	1.4	No
Copper	28.5 <sup>3</sup>	-	1.86	13,000	No
Lead	$0.8765^4$	1.8669	0.16	50	No
Mercury	0.01185 <sup>4</sup>	0.02524	0.00019	2	No
Nickel	1.62 <sup>4</sup>	3.45	0.73	46,000	No
Zinc	13.6 <sup>5</sup>	28.97	9.84	260,000	No

# 2. Human Health (Bioaccumulation) Evaluation – Outfall 001

<sup>1</sup> Statistical ratio used to estimate the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile using a single effluent concentration or the geometric mean of a dataset.

<sup>2</sup> Adapted from "National Recommended Water Quality Criteria: 2002 – Human Health Criteria Calculation Matrix", EPA. The respective WQC from the noted reference are Consumption of Organism Only values. The values from the reference are for a lifetime risk factor of 10<sup>-6</sup>. These values have been multiplied by 10 to correspond to human health criteria lifetime risk factor of 10<sup>-5</sup> as stated in Reg. 2.508.

<sup>3</sup> Max. value of 46 samples (from monthly sampling - August 2010 – May 2015 DMRs)

<sup>4</sup> Single value from PPS submitted with application.

<sup>5</sup> Geometric Mean of 18 samples (from quarterly sampling - September 2010 – March 2015 DMRs)

## 3. Aquatic Toxicity Evaluation – Outfall 003

Pollutant	Concentration Reported (C <sub>e</sub> ) µg/l	C <sub>e</sub> x 2.13 <sup>1</sup>	Instream Waste Concentration (IWC) Acute, µg/l	Water Quality Standards (WQS) <sup>2</sup> Acute, µg/l	Reasonable Potential (Yes/No)
Copper	23.48 <sup>3</sup>	50.01	3.89	13.44	No
Zinc	86.98 <sup>4</sup>	185.27	17.63	120.05	No

# a. Acute Criteria Evaluation

Statistical ratio used to estimate the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile using a single effluent concentration or the geometric mean of a dataset.

<sup>2</sup> Water Quality Standards are from Reg. 2.508.

<sup>3</sup> Geometric Mean of 10 samples (from quarterly sampling - September 2010 – March 2015 DMRs).

<sup>4</sup> Geometric Mean of 9 samples (from quarterly sampling - September 2010 – March 2015 DMRs).

Pollutant	Concentration Reported (C <sub>e</sub> ) µg/l	C <sub>e</sub> x 2.13 <sup>1</sup>	Instream Waste Concentration (IWC) Chronic, µg/l	Water Quality Standards (WQS) <sup>2</sup> Chronic, µg/l	Reasonable Potential (Yes/No)
Copper	23.48 <sup>3</sup>	50.01	2.09	10.02	No
Zinc	86.98 <sup>4</sup>	185.27	11.08	109.63	No

#### b. Chronic Criteria Evaluation

<sup>1</sup> Statistical ratio used to estimate the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile using a single effluent concentration or the geometric mean of a dataset.

<sup>2</sup> Water Quality Standards are from Reg. 2.508.

<sup>3</sup> Geometric Mean of 10 samples (from quarterly sampling - September 2010 – March 2015 DMRs).

<sup>4</sup> Geometric Mean of 9 samples (from quarterly sampling - September 2010 – March 2015 DMRs).

## 4. Human Health (Bioaccumulation) Evaluation – Outfall 003

Pollutant	Concentration Reported (C <sub>e</sub> ) µg/l	C <sub>e</sub> x 2.13 <sup>1</sup>	Instream Waste Concentration (IWC)	EPA Water Quality Criteria (WQC) <sup>2</sup>	Reasonable Potential (Yes/No)
Copper	23.48 <sup>3</sup>	50.01	1.50	13,000	No
Zinc	86.98 <sup>4</sup>	185.27	8.94	260,000	No

<sup>1</sup> Statistical ratio used to estimate the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile using a single effluent concentration or the geometric mean of a dataset.

<sup>2</sup> Adapted from "National Recommended Water Quality Criteria: 2002 – Human Health Criteria Calculation Matrix", EPA. The respective WQC from the noted reference are Consumption of Organism Only values. The values from the reference are for a lifetime risk factor of 10<sup>-6</sup>. These values have been multiplied by 10 to correspond to human health criteria lifetime risk factor of 10<sup>-5</sup> as stated in Reg. 2.508.

<sup>3</sup> Geometric Mean of 10 samples (from quarterly sampling - September 2010 – March 2015 DMRs).

<sup>4</sup> Geometric Mean of 9 samples (from quarterly sampling - September 2010 – March 2015 DMRs).

# H. Cooling Water Intake Structures (CWISs) - CWA § 316(b)

EPA promulgated the rule for cooling water intake structures (CWIS) at existing facilities pursuant to Clean Water Act Section 316(b) on August 15, 2014. The rule became effective on October 14, 2014. The rule includes provisions specifically designed to ensure that the rule, as it is implemented, is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of federally-listed species, or result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA). The rule also establishes Best Technology Available (BTA) standards for minimizing adverse environmental impact to reduce impingement mortality and entrainment of aquatic organisms at existing power generation and manufacturing facilities.

40 CFR 122.21(r)(1)(ii) applies to all existing facilities. It requires existing facilities to submit the information specified under 40 CFR Parts 122.21(r)(2) and (3), and the applicable provisions of 40 CFR Parts 122.21(r)(4)-(8). This information includes the following:

Source Water Physical Data	40 CFR 122.21(r)(2)
Cooling Water Intake Structure Data	40 CFR 122.21(r)(3)
Source Water Baseline Biological Characterization Data	40 CFR 122.21(r)(4)
Cooling Water System Data	40 CFR 122.21(r)(5)
Chosen Method(s) of Compliance with Impingement Mortality Standard	40 CFR 122.21(r)(6)
Entrainment Performance Studies	40 CFR 122.21(r)(7)
Operational Status	40 CFR 122.21(r)(8)

Because the CWIS is an existing unit that withdraws less than 125 MGD, submission of the information described in 40 CFR Parts 122.21(r)(9)-(13) is not required.

For facilities with an effective permit that expires prior to July 14, 2018, 40 CFR 125.95(a)(2) allows for the establishment of an alternate schedule for submission of the above noted information when applying for a permit renewal (instead of submitting the information with the permit renewal application) when it is demonstrated that there was not sufficient time to collect or develop the required information.

Because the CWIS rule became effective on October 14, 2014, the Department has determined that there was not sufficient time to collect and develop the information required by 40 CFR Parts 122.21(r)(1)-(6), and (8) for submission with the permit renewal application. Therefore, Part II.13 has been included in the permit, which requires that the permittee collect and develop all the information required by the above noted regulations for submission with the application for the next permit renewal. The data and information shall be sufficient to show compliance with the requirements for BTA Standards for Impingement Mortality in accordance with 40 CFR 125.94(c), and BTA Standards for Entrainment in accordance with 40 CFR 125.94(d).

The receiving stream is not classified as an Ecologically Sensitive Waterbody, so no federally-listed species or critical habitats are affected by the CWIS. As noted in Section 7.B above, no comments were received from the USF&WS during the 60-day review period required by 40 CFR 125.98(h). The draft permit and Statement of Basis will be sent to the USF&WS for review during the public comment period.

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.98(b)(1), Part II.14 which states: "Nothing in this permit authorizes take for the purposes of a facility's compliance with the Endangered Species Act" has been included in the permit.

In accordance with 40 CFR 125.98(b)(5), the Director has established (based on the best engineering judgement of the staff) that the site-specific Interim BTA Standards for Impingement Mortality and Entrainment are equivalent to the design and location of the current control measures to reduce the impingement mortality and entrainment by the cooling water intake structure.

# 12. STORMWATER REQUIREMENTS

The federal regulations at 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14) require certain industrial sectors to have NPDES permit coverage for stormwater discharges from the facility. These requirements include the development and implementation of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) to control the quality of stormwater discharges from the facility. This facility was issued stormwater permit coverage under NPDES Tracking number ARR00A438.

## 13. SAMPLE TYPE AND FREQUENCY.

Regulations require permits to establish monitoring requirements to yield data representative of the monitored activity [40 CFR Part 122.48(b)] and to ensure compliance with permit limitations [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(l)].

Requirements for sample type and sampling frequency have been based on the current discharge permit, except for FAC and TRC from Outfall 001, and Total Copper and Total Iron from Outfall 003. The sample type and frequency for FAC and TRC have been based on generally accepted scientific knowledge and engineering practice for monitoring chlorine in once-through cooling water. It should be noted that the facility does not chlorinate the cooling water, and monitoring and reporting of FAC and TRC have been waived in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(a)(2). The sample type and frequency for Total Copper and Total Iron have been based on generally accepted scientific knowledge accepted scientific knowledge and engineering practice as adequate to ensure compliance.

	Previou	ıs Permit	Final Permit				
Parameter	Frequency of Sample	Sample Type	Frequency of Sample	Sample Type			
	Outfall 001						
Flow	continuous	recorder	continuous	recorder			
Temperature	continuous	recorder	continuous	recorder			
FAC	N/A	N/A	once/day <sup>1</sup>	grab <sup>1</sup>			
TRC	N/A	N/A	once/day <sup>1</sup>	grab <sup>1</sup>			
Total Recoverable Copper	once/day	composite	once/day	composite			
рН	once/month	grab	once/month	grab			

<sup>1</sup> Waived in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(a)(2).

	ter Frequency of Sample Sample		Final 1	Permit			
Parameter			Frequency of Sample	Sample Type			
	Outfall 003						
Flow	once/week	estimate	once/week <sup>1</sup>	estimate			
TSS	once/month	grab	once/month <sup>1</sup>	grab			
Total Copper	N/A	N/A	once/month <sup>1</sup>	grab			
Total Iron	N/A	N/A	once/month <sup>1</sup>	grab			
O&G	once/month	grab	once/month <sup>1</sup>	grab			
рН	once/month	grab	once/month <sup>1</sup>	grab			

<sup>1</sup> When discharging.

# 14. PERMIT COMPLIANCE.

A Schedule of Compliance has not been included in this permit. Compliance with all permit limits and conditions is required on the effective date of the permit.

# 15. MONITORING AND REPORTING.

The applicant is at all times required to monitor the discharge on a regular basis and report the results monthly. The monitoring results will be available to the public.

# 16. SOURCES.

The following sources were used to draft the permit:

- A. Application No. AR0000841 received February 3, 2015, and additional information received on February 9, 2015, May 5, 2015, June 16, 2015, June 19, 2015, June 30, 2015, and July 28, 2015.
- B. APCEC Regulation No. 2.
- C. APCEC Regulation No. 3.
- D. APCEC Regulation No. 6 which incorporates by reference certain federal regulations included in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations at Reg. 6.104.
- E. 40 CFR Parts 122 and 125.
- F. 40 CFR Part 423.
- G. Discharge permit file AR0000841.
- H. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs).
- I. "2008 Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report", ADEQ.
- J. "2008 List of Impaired Waterbodies (303(d) List)", ADEQ, February 2008
- K. <u>"TMDLs for Segments Listed for Mercury in Fish Tissue for the Ouachita River Basin, and Bayou Bartholomew, Arkansas and Louisiana to Columbia", FTN Associates, Ltd., December 18, 2002.</u>
- L. "Identification and Classification of Perennial Streams of Arkansas", Arkansas Geological Commission.
- M. "Low-Flow Characteristics and Regionalization of Low-Flow Characteristics for Selected Streams in Arkansas", U.S. Dept. of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Scientific Investigations Report 2008-5065.
- N. USGS StreamStats GIS program at <u>http://water.usgs.gov/osw/streamstats/arkansas.html</u>.
- O. <u>Copper & Zinc data from OUA0037 monitoring station and facility DMRs.</u>
- P. Continuing Planning Process (CPP).
- Q. Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxic Control.
- R. Reasonable Potential Calculations Outfall 001.
- S. <u>Reasonable Potential Calculations Outfall 003.</u>
- T. Temperature Data.
- U. Inspection Report #081966, dated January 26, 2015.
- V. <u>CAO LIS-14-063.</u>
- W. Compliance Review Memo dated June 9, 2015 from Jacqueline Trotta to Guy Lester.
- X. Meeting on May 5, 2015 between facility representatives and ADEQ personnel.
- Y. CWIS presentation form May 5, 2015 meeting.
- Z. Email, dated June 16, 2015, detailing AECC generating plant megawatt ratings.
- AA. Letter, dated June 19, 2015, requesting waiver of monitoring for chlorine.
- BB. Facility stormwater site map.
- CC. Telephone conversations on July 7, 2015 and July 30, 2015 to discuss changes to the permit.
- DD. E-mail letter from EPA, dated January 14, 2016, No Objection to Preliminary Draft Permit AR0000841.
- EE.Letter, dated April 19, 2016, from Lyle Godfrey, P.E. of the Arkansas Department of Health to Guy Lester of ADEQ.

Page 22 of Statement of Basis Permit Number: AR0000841 AFIN: 52-00055

# 17. POINT OF CONTACT.

For additional information, contact:

Guy Lester Permits Branch, Office of Water Quality Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317 Telephone: (501) 682-0023

# **RESPONSE TO COMMENTS FINAL PERMITTING DECISION**

Permit No.:	AR0000841

Applicant:Arkansas Electric Cooperative CorporationJohn L. McClellan Generating Station

Prepared by: Guy Lester

The following are responses to comments received regarding the draft permit number above and are developed in accordance with regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. §124.17, APC&EC Regulation No. 8 Administrative Procedures, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 (e)(2).

# Introduction

The above permit was submitted for public comment on April 5, 2016. The public comment period ended on May 5, 2016.

This document contains a summary of the comments that the ADEQ received during the public comment period.

The following people or organizations sent comments to the ADEQ during the public notice. A total of one (1) comment was raised by one (1) commenter.

Commenter	Number of Comments Raised
Cindy Osborne – Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission	1

**Comment 1** The Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission notified ADEQ that the following species of conservation concern are known to occur in the White River at or within five miles downstream of the outfall:

Lampsilis abrupta, pink mucket – federal concern (endangered) Pleurobema rubrum, pyramid pigtoe – state concern Pleurobema sintoxia, Round Pigtoe-state concern Ptychobranchus occidentalis, Ouachita kidneyshell – state concern Truncilla donaciformis, Fawnsfoot-state concern

**Response:** The permit has been written to ensure that all water quality standards (WQS) are maintained in the receiving stream. WQS are designed, in part, to provide for the protection and propagation of all aquatic life. The above referenced species of conservation concern have been listed in Section 7.B of the Statement of Basis.