AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE WASTEWATER UNDER THE NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM AND THE ARKANSAS WATER AND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL ACT

In accordance with the provisions of the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Ark. Code Ann. § 8-4-101 et seq.), and the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq.),

Batesville Water Utilities Batesville Wastewater Treatment Plant

is authorized to discharge treated municipal wastewater from a facility located as follows: 500 River Bank Road, Batesville, AR 72501, 500 River Bank Road, Batesville, from Highway 167 turn east on Myers Street to Chaney drive, then right on River Bank Road in Independence County, Arkansas. The applicant's mailing address is: 500 River Bank Road, Batesville, AR 72501.

Facility Coordinates: Latitude: 35° 45' 14.2" N; Longitude: 91° 37' 51.3" W

Receiving stream: White River in Segment 4F of the White River Basin.

The permitted outfall is located at the following coordinates:

Outfall 002: Latitude: 35° 44' 35" N; Longitude: 91° 37' 18" W

Discharge shall be in accordance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements, and other conditions set forth in this permit. Per Part III.D.10, the permittee must re-apply 180 days prior to the expiration date below for permit coverage to continue beyond the expiration date.

ADEQ Correction and Response to Comments are attached to this permit.

Effective Date: January 1, 2017 Expiration Date: December 31, 2021

Caleb J. Osborne Associate Director, Office of Water Quality Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality

11/22/16

Issue Date

PART I PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

SECTION A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS: OUTFALL 002 - treated municipal wastewater.

During the period beginning on the effective date and lasting until the date of expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge from Outfall 002. Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below as well as Parts II and III. See Part IV for all definitions and calculations.

| Effluent Characteristics | Discharge Limitations | | | Monitoring Requirements | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | Mass (lb/day, unless otherwise specified) | (mg/l | ntration , unless e specified) | Frequency | Sample Type |
| | Monthly Avg. | Monthly Avg. | 7-Day Avg. | | |
| Flow | N/A | Report, MGD | Report, MGD (Daily Max.) | once/day | totalizing meter |
| Overflows | Monthly Total SSOs (occurrences/month) | | See Comments ¹ | | |
| Overflow Volume | Monthly Total Volume of SSOs (gallons/month) | | See Comments ¹ | | |
| Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (CBOD ₅) | 1876.5 | 25.0 | 40.0 | three/week | composite |
| Total Suspended Solids (TSS) | 2251.8 | 30.0 | 45.0 | three/week | composite |
| Ammonia Nitrogen (NH ₃ -N) | 1125.9 | 15.0 | 22.5 | three/week | composite |
| Dissolved Oxygen (DO) | N/A | 2.0 (Inst. Min.) | | three/week | grab |
| Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB) | | (colonies/100ml) | | | |
| (Apr-Sep) | N/A | 200 | 400 | three/week | grab |
| (Oct-Mar) | N/A | 1000 | 2000 | three/week | grab |
| Total Phosphorus (TP) | N/A | Report | Report | once/month | grab |
| Nitrate + Nitrite Nitrogen ($NO_3 + NO_2$ -N) | N/A | Report | Report | once/month | grab |
| рН | N/A | <u>Minimum</u> 6.0 s.u. | <u>Maximum</u> 9.0 s.u. | three/week | grab |
| Chronic WET Testing ² | N/A | Re | port | once/quarter | 24-hr composite |
| <u>Pimephales promelas (Chronic)²</u> Pass/Fail Lethality (7-day NOEC) TLP6C Pass/Fail Growth (7-day NOEC) TGP6C Survival (7-day NOEC) TOP6C Growth (7-day NOEC) TPP6C Coefficient of variation (Growth) TQP6C | | <u>7-day Average</u> Report (Pass=0/Fail=1) Report (Pass=0/Fail=1) Report % Report % Report % | | once/quarter once/quarter once/quarter once/quarter once/quarter | 24-hr composite 24-hr composite 24-hr composite 24-hr composite 24-hr composite |
| <u>Ceriodaphnia dubia (Chronic)²</u> Pass/Fail Lethality (7-day NOEC) TLP3B Pass/Fail Reproduction (7-day NOEC) TGP3B Survival (7-day NOEC) TOP3B Reproduction (7-day NOEC) TPP3B Coefficient of variation (Reproduction) TQP3B | | <u>7-day Average</u> Report (Pass=0/Fail=1) Report (Pass=0/Fail=1) Report % Report % Report % | | once/quarter once/quarter once/quarter once/quarter once/quarter | 24-hr composite 24-hr composite 24-hr composite 24-hr composite 24-hr composite |

¹ See Condition No. 5 of Part II (SSO Condition). If there are no overflows during the entire month, report "zero" (0).

² See Condition No. 9 of Part II (WET Testing Condition).

Oil, grease, or petrochemical substances shall not be present in receiving waters to the extent that they produce globules or other residue or any visible, colored film on the surface, coat the banks and/or bottoms of the waterbody, or adversely affect any of the associated biota. There shall be no visible sheen as defined in Part IV of this permit.

Samples and measurements taken as required herein shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge during the entire monitoring period. Samples shall be taken after chlorine disinfection, prior to the receiving stream.

Permit Number: AR0020702 AFIN: 32-00044 Page 1 of Part IB

SECTION B. PERMIT COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE

None

PART II OTHER CONDITIONS

- 1. The operator of this wastewater treatment facility shall be licensed as Class IV by the State of Arkansas in accordance with APC&EC Regulation No. 3.
- 2. For publicly owned treatment works, the 30-day average percent removal for Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (CBOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) shall not be less than 85 percent unless otherwise authorized by the permitting authority in accordance with 40 CFR Part 133.102, as adopted by reference in APC&EC Regulation No. 6.
- 3. In accordance with 40 CFR Parts 122.62 (a)(2) and 124.5, this permit may be reopened for modification or revocation and/or reissuance to require additional monitoring and/or effluent limitations when new information is received that actual or potential exceedance of State water quality criteria and/or narrative criteria are determined to be the result of the permittee's discharge(s) to a relevant water body or a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) is established or revised for the water body that was not available at the time of the permit issuance that would have justified the application of different permit conditions at the time of permit issuance.
- 4. Other Specified Monitoring Requirements

The permittee may use alternative appropriate monitoring methods and analytical instruments other than as specified in Part I Section A of the permit without a major permit modification under the following conditions:

- The monitoring and analytical instruments are consistent with accepted scientific practices.
- The requests shall be submitted in writing to the Permits Branch of the Office of Water Quality of the ADEQ for use of the alternate method or instrument.
- The method and/or instrument is in compliance with 40 CFR Part 136 or approved in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136.5.
- All associated devices are installed, calibrated, and maintained to ensure the accuracy of the measurements and are consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. The calibration and maintenance shall be performed as part of the permittee's laboratory Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) program.

Upon written approval of the alternative monitoring method and/or analytical instruments, these methods or instruments must be consistently utilized throughout the monitoring period. The ADEQ must be notified in writing and the permittee must receive written approval from the ADEQ if the permittee decides to return to the original permit monitoring requirements.

5. Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) Reporting Requirements:

All SSOs are prohibited.

- A. A sanitary sewer overflow is any spill, release, or diversion of wastewater from a sanitary sewer collection system including:
 - (1) Any overflow, whether it discharges to the waters of the State or not.
 - (2) An overflow of wastewater, including a wastewater backup into a building (other than a backup caused solely by a blockage or other malfunction in a privately owned sewer or building lateral), even if that overflow does not reach waters of the State.
- B. 24-hour Reporting:

Overflows that <u>endanger health or the environment</u> shall be orally reported to the Enforcement Branch of the Office of Water Quality by telephone (501-682-0638) or by e-mail, <u>waterenfsso@adeq.state.ar.us</u> within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstance. At a minimum, the following information shall be reported:

- (1) Permit number and AFIN
- (2) Location(s) of overflow
- (3) Receiving water (if there is one)
- (4) Cause of overflow
- (5) Estimated volume (gallons) of overflow
- (6) Total duration of overflow
- C. 5-day Follow-Up Written Web Reporting:

If the "total duration of overflow" is unknown when the 24-hour SSO online report is submitted, then a follow-up report (5-day report) giving a detailed account of the overflow and the steps taken to resolve it must be submitted within 5 days of discovering the overflow.

A 5-day follow-up written report can be filled-in or downloaded from the ADEQ Office of Water Quality Enforcement Branch web page at:

https://www.adeq.state.ar.us/water/enforcement/sso/submit.aspx

D. 24-hour and 5-day Reporting:

The 24-hour reporting also can be filled in or downloaded from the ADEQ Office of Water Quality Enforcement Branch web page at the above web address. If all information required under Item B of this condition is provided with the 24-hour report, then the 5-day follow-up report is not required.

E. Reporting for All SSOs on DMR

At the end of the month, total the daily <u>occurrences</u> and <u>volumes</u> from all locations on your system and report this number on the DMR. For counting occurrences, each location on the sanitary sewer system where there is an overflow, spill, release, or diversion of wastewater on a given day is counted as one occurrence. For example, if on a given day overflows occur from a manhole at one location and from a damaged pipe at another location then you should record two occurrences for that day.

- 6. Best Management Practices (BMPs), as defined in Part IV.6, must be implemented for the facility along with the collection system to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the State from stormwater runoff, spills or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw sewage. The permittee must amend the BMPs whenever there is a change in the facility or a change in the operation of the facility.
- 7. Contributing Industries and Pretreatment Requirements
 - A. The following pollutants may not be introduced into the treatment facility:
 - (1) pollutants which create a fire or explosion hazard in the publicly owned treatment works (POTW), including, but not limited to, waste streams with a closed cup flashpoint of less than 140 degrees Fahrenheit or 60 degrees Centigrade using the test methods specified in 40 CFR 261.21;
 - (2) pollutants which will cause corrosive structural damage to the POTW, but in no case discharges with pH lower than 5.0, unless the works are specifically designed to accommodate such discharges;
 - (3) solid or viscous pollutants in amounts which will cause obstruction to the flow in the POTW, resulting in Interference* or Pass Through**;
 - (4) any pollutant, including oxygen demanding pollutants (e.g., BOD), released in a discharge at a flow rate and/or pollutant concentration which will cause Pass Through** or Interference* with the POTW;
 - (5) heat in amounts which will inhibit biological activity in the POTW resulting in Interference*, but in no case heat in such quantities that the temperature at the POTW treatment plant exceeds 40 deg. C (104 deg. F) unless the Approval Authority, upon request of the POTW, approves alternate temperature limits;
 - (6) Petroleum oil, non-biodegradable cutting oil, or products of mineral oil origin in amounts that will cause Interference* or Pass Through**;
 - (7) Pollutants which result in the presence of toxic gases, vapors, or fumes within the POTW in a quantity that may cause acute worker health and safety problems;

- (8) Any trucked or hauled pollutants, except at discharge points designated by the POTW.
- B. The permittee shall require any indirect discharger to the treatment works to comply with the reporting requirements of Sections 204(b), 307, and 308 of the Act, including any requirements established under 40 CFR Part 403.
- C. The permittee shall provide adequate notice to the Department of the following:
 - (1) any new introduction of pollutants into the treatment works from an indirect discharger which would be subject to Sections 301 or 306 of the Act if it were directly discharging those pollutants; and
 - (2) any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into the treatment works by a source introducing pollutants into the treatment works at the time of issuance of the permit.

Any notice shall include information on (i) the quality and quantity of effluent to be introduced into the treatment works, and (ii) any anticipated impact of the change on the quality or quantity of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

- * According to 40 CFR Part 403.3(k) the term *Interference* means a Discharge which, alone or in conjunction with a discharge or discharges from other sources, both:
 - (1) Inhibits or disrupts the POTW, its treatment processes or operations, or its sludge processes, use or disposal; and
 - (2) Therefore is a cause of a violation of any requirement of the POTW's NPDES permit (including an increase in the magnitude or duration of a violation) or of the prevention of sewage sludge use or disposal in compliance with the following statutory provisions and regulations or permits issued thereunder (or more stringent State or local regulations): Section 405 of the Clean Water Act, the Solid Waste Disposal Act (SWDA) (including title II, more commonly referred to as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), and including State regulations contained in any State sludge management plan prepared pursuant to subtitle D of the SWDA), the Clean Air Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, and the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act.
- ** According to 40 CFR 403.3(p) the term *Pass Through* means a Discharge which exits the POTW into waters of the United States in quantities or concentrations which, alone or in conjunction with a discharge or discharges from other sources, is a cause of a violation of any requirement of the POTW's NPDES permit (including an increase in the magnitude or duration of a violation).
- 8. The sludge produced by the facility will be land applied in accordance with No-Discharge Land Application Permit No. 5099-W. Any change in sludge disposal practices should be in accordance with Part III.D.1 of the permit.

9. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING (7-DAY CHRONIC NOEC FRESHWATER)

A. <u>SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY</u>

i. The permittee shall test the effluent for toxicity in accordance with the provisions in this section.

| APPLICABLE TO FINAL OUTFALL(S): | 002 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| REPORTED ON DMR AS FINAL OUTFALL: | 002 |
| CRITICAL DILUTION (%): | 5.0 |
| EFFLUENT DILUTION SERIES (%): | 2.1, 2.8, 3.8, 5.0, 6.7 |
| TESTING FREQUENCY: | once/quarter |
| COMPOSITE SAMPLE TYPE: | Defined at Part I |
| TEST SPECIES/METHODS: | 40 CFR Part 136 |

Ceriodaphnia dubia chronic static renewal survival and reproduction test, Method 1002.0, EPA-821-R-02-013, or the most recent update thereof. This test should be terminated when 60% of the surviving females in the control produce three broods or at the end of eight days, whichever comes first.

Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow) chronic static renewal 7-day larval survival and growth test, Method 1000.0, EPA-821-R-02-013, or the most recent update thereof. A minimum of five (5) replicates with eight (8) organisms per replicate must be used in the control and in each effluent dilution of this test.

- ii. The NOEC (No Observed Effect Concentration) is herein defined as the greatest effluent dilution at and below which toxicity (lethal or sub-lethal) that is statistically different from the control (0% effluent) at the 95% confidence level does not occur. Chronic lethal test failure is defined as a demonstration of a statistically significant lethal effect at test completion to a test species at or below the critical dilution. Chronic sub-lethal test failure is defined as a demonstration of a statistically significant sub-lethal effect (i.e., growth or reproduction) at test completion to a test species at or below the critical dilution.
- iii. This permit may be reopened to require whole effluent toxicity limits, chemical specific effluent limits, additional testing, and/or other appropriate actions to address toxicity.

B. <u>PERSISTENT LETHAL and/or SUB-LETHAL EFFECTS</u>

The requirements of this subsection apply only when a toxicity test demonstrates significant lethal and/or sub-lethal effects at or below the critical dilution. The purpose of additional tests (also referred to as 'retests' or confirmation tests) is to determine the duration of a toxic event. A test that meets all test acceptability criteria and demonstrates significant toxic effects does not need additional confirmation. Such testing cannot confirm or disprove a previous test result.

If a frequency reduction, as specified in Item F, has been granted and any valid test demonstrates significant lethal or sub-lethal effects to a test species at or below the critical dilution, the frequency of testing for that species is automatically increased to once per quarter for the life of the permit. In addition:

- i. <u>Part I Testing Frequency Other Than Monthly</u>
 - a. The permittee shall conduct a total of three (3) additional tests for any species that demonstrates significant toxic effects at or below the critical dilution. The additional tests shall be conducted monthly during the next three consecutive months. If testing on a quarterly basis, the permittee may substitute one of the additional tests in lieu of one routine toxicity test. A full report shall be prepared for each test required by this section in accordance with procedures outlined in Item D of this section and submitted with the period discharge monitoring report (DMR) to the permitting authority for review.
 - b. IF LETHAL EFFECTS HAVE BEEN DEMONSTRATED If any of the additional tests demonstrates significant lethal effects at or below the critical dilution, the permittee shall initiate Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) requirements as specified in Item E of this section. The permittee shall notify the ADEQ in writing within 5 days of the failure of any retest, and the TRE initiation date will be the test completion date of the first failed retest. A TRE may also be required due to a demonstration of intermittent lethal effects at or below the critical dilution, or for failure to perform the required retests. A TRE required based on lethal effects should consider any sub-lethal effects as well.
 - c. IF SUB-LETHAL EFFECTS ONLY HAVE BEEN DEMONSTRATED If any two of the three additional tests demonstrates significant sub-lethal effects at 75% effluent or lower, the permittee shall initiate the Sub-Lethal Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRESL) requirements as specified in Item E of this section. The permittee shall notify the ADEQ in writing within 5 days of the failure of any retest, and the Sub-Lethal Effects TRE initiation date will be the test completion date of the first failed retest. A TRE may be also be required for failure to perform the required retests.
 - d. The provisions of Item B.i.a are suspended upon submittal of the TRE Action Plan.

ii. Part I Testing Frequency of Monthly

The permittee shall initiate the Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) requirements as specified in Item E of this section when any two of three consecutive monthly toxicity tests exhibit significant toxic effects at or below the critical dilution. A TRE may also be required due to a demonstration of intermittent lethal and/or sub-lethal effects at or below the critical dilution, or for failure to perform the required retests.

C. REQUIRED TOXICITY TESTING CONDITIONS

i. Test Acceptance

The permittee shall repeat a test, including the control and all effluent dilutions, if the procedures and quality assurance requirements defined in the test methods or in this permit are not satisfied, including the following additional criteria:

- a. The toxicity test control (0% effluent) must have survival equal to or greater than 80%.
- b. The mean number of *Ceriodaphnia dubia* neonates produced per surviving female in the control (0% effluent) must be 15 or more.
- c. 60% of the surviving control females must produce three broods. The mean dry weight of surviving Fathead minnow larvae at the end of the 7 days in the control (0% effluent) must be 0.25 mg per larva or greater.
- d. The percent coefficient of variation between replicates shall be 40% or less in the control (0% effluent) for: the young of surviving females in the *Ceriodaphnia dubia* reproduction test; the growth and survival endpoints of the Fathead minnow test.
- e. The percent coefficient of variation between replicates shall be 40% or less in the critical dilution, unless significant lethal or sub-lethal effects are exhibited for: the young of surviving females in the *Ceriodaphnia dubia* reproduction test; the growth and survival endpoints of the Fathead minnow test.
- f. If a test passes, yet the percent coefficient of variation between replicates is greater than 40% in the control (0% effluent) and/or in the critical dilution for: the young of surviving females in the *Ceriodaphnia dubia* reproduction test; the growth and survival endpoints of the Fathead minnow test, the test is determined to be invalid. A repeat test shall be conducted within the required reporting period of any test determined to be invalid.
- g. If a test fails, test failure may not be construed or reported as invalid due to a coefficient of variation value of greater than 40%.
- h. A Percent Minimum Significant Difference (PMSD) range of 13 47 for *Ceriodaphnia dubia* reproduction;

- i. A PMSD range of 12 30 for Fathead minnow growth.
- ii. <u>Statistical Interpretation</u>
 - a. For the *Ceriodaphnia dubia* survival test, the statistical analyses used to determine if there is a significant difference between the control and the critical dilution shall be Fisher's Exact Test as described in EPA/821/R-02-013 or the most recent update thereof.
 - b. For the *Ceriodaphnia dubia* reproduction test and the Fathead minnow larval survival and growth test, the statistical analyses used to determine if there is a significant difference between the control and the critical dilution shall be in accordance with the methods for determining the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) as described in EPA/821/R-02-013 or the most recent update thereof.
 - c. If the conditions of Test Acceptability are met in Item C.i above and the percent survival of the test organism is equal to or greater than 80% in the critical dilution concentration and all lower dilution concentrations, the test shall be considered to be a passing test, and the permittee shall report a survival NOEC of not less than the critical dilution for the DMR reporting requirements found in Item D below.
- iii. Dilution Water
 - a. Dilution water used in the toxicity tests will be receiving water collected as close to the point of discharge as possible but unaffected by the discharge. The permittee shall substitute synthetic dilution water of similar pH, hardness, and alkalinity to the closest downstream perennial water for;
 - (1) toxicity tests conducted on effluent discharges to receiving water classified as intermittent streams; and
 - (2) toxicity tests conducted on effluent discharges where no receiving water is available due to zero flow conditions.
 - b. If the receiving water is unsatisfactory as a result of instream toxicity (fails to fulfill the test acceptance criteria of Item C.i), the permittee may substitute synthetic dilution water for the receiving water in all subsequent tests provided the unacceptable receiving water test met the following stipulations:
 - (1) a synthetic dilution water control which fulfills the test acceptance requirements of Item C.i was run concurrently with the receiving water control;
 - (2) the test indicating receiving water toxicity has been carried out to completion (i.e., 7 days);

- (3) the permittee includes all test results indicating receiving water toxicity with the full report and information required by Item D below; and
- (4) the synthetic dilution water shall have a pH, hardness, and alkalinity similar to that of the receiving water or closest downstream perennial water not adversely affected by the discharge, provided the magnitude of these parameters will not cause toxicity in the synthetic dilution water.

iv. Samples and Composites

- a. The permittee shall collect a minimum of three flow-weighted composite samples from the outfall(s) listed at Item A.i above. Unless otherwise stated in this section, a composite sample for WET shall consist of a minimum of 12 subsamples gathered at equal time intervals during a 24-hour period.
- b. The permittee shall collect second and third composite samples for use during 24hour renewals of each dilution concentration for each test. The permittee must collect the composite samples such that the effluent samples, on use, are representative of any periodic episode of chlorination, biocide usage or other potentially toxic substance discharged on a regular or intermittent basis.
- c. The permittee must collect all three flow-weighted composite samples within the monitoring period. Second and/or third composite samples shall not be collected into the next monitoring period; such tests will be determined to be invalid. Monitoring period definitions are listed in Part IV.
- d. The permittee must collect the composite samples so that the maximum holding time for any effluent sample shall not exceed 72 hours. The permittee must have initiated the toxicity test within 36 hours after the collection of the last portion of the first composite sample. Samples shall be chilled to between 0 and 6 degrees Centigrade during collection, shipping, and/or storage.
- e. If the flow from the outfall(s) being tested ceases during the collection of effluent samples, the requirements for the minimum number of effluent samples, the minimum number of effluent portions and the sample holding time are waived during that sampling period. However, the permittee must have collected an effluent composite sample volume during the period of discharge that is sufficient to complete the required toxicity tests with daily renewal of effluent. When possible, the effluent samples used for the toxicity tests shall be collected on separate days if the discharge occurs over multiple days. The effluent composite sample collection must be documented in the full report required in Item D of this section.
- f. <u>MULTIPLE OUTFALLS</u>: If the provisions of this section are applicable to multiple outfalls, the permittee shall combine the composite effluent samples in proportion to the average flow from the outfalls listed in Item A.i. above for the

day the sample was collected. The permittee shall perform the toxicity test on the flow-weighted composite of the outfall samples.

g. If chlorination is part of the treatment process, the permittee shall not allow the sample to be dechlorinated at the laboratory. At the time of sample collection, the permittee shall measure the TRC of the effluent. The measured concentration of TRC for each sample shall be included in the lab report submitted by the permittee.

D. <u>REPORTING</u>

- i. The permittee shall prepare a full report of the results of all tests conducted pursuant to this section in accordance with the Report Preparation Section of EPA/821/R-02-013, or the most current publication, for every valid or invalid toxicity test initiated whether carried to completion or not. The permittee shall retain each full report pursuant to the provisions of Part III.C.7 of this permit. The permittee shall submit full reports. For any test that fails, is considered invalid, or is terminated early for any reason, the full report must be submitted for agency review.
- ii. A valid test for each species must be reported on the DMR during each reporting period specified in Part I of this permit unless the permittee is performing a TRE which may increase the frequency of testing and reporting. Only <u>ONE</u> set of WET test data for each species is to be recorded on the DMR for each reporting period. The data submitted should reflect the <u>LOWEST</u> lethal and sub-lethal effects results for each species during the reporting period. The full reports for all invalid tests, repeat tests (for invalid tests), and retests (for tests previously failed) performed during the reporting period must be attached to the DMR for Agency review.
- iii. The permittee shall submit the results of each valid toxicity test on the subsequent monthly DMR for that reporting period in accordance with Part III.D.4 of this permit, as follows below. Submit retest information clearly marked as such with the following month's DMR. Only results of valid tests are to be reported on the DMR.
 - a. *Pimephales promelas* (Fathead minnow)
 - (1) If the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) for survival is less than the critical dilution, enter a '1'; otherwise, enter a '0' for Parameter No. TLP6C
 - (2) Report the NOEC value for survival, Parameter No. TOP6C
 - (3) Report the NOEC value for growth, Parameter No. TPP6C
 - (4) If the NOEC for growth is less than the critical dilution, enter a '1'; otherwise, enter a '0' for Parameter No. TGP6C
 - (5) Report the highest (critical dilution or control) Coefficient of Variation for growth, Parameter No. TQP6C

- b. *Ceriodaphnia dubia*
 - (1) If the NOEC for survival is less than the critical dilution, enter a '1'; otherwise, enter a '0' for Parameter No. TLP3B
 - (2) Report the NOEC value for survival, Parameter No. TOP3B
 - (3) Report the NOEC value for reproduction, Parameter No. TPP3B
 - (4) If the NOEC for reproduction is less than the critical dilution, enter a '1'; otherwise, enter a '0' for Parameter No. TGP3B
 - (5) Report the higher (critical dilution or control) Coefficient of Variation for reproduction, Parameter No. TQP3B

E. TOXICITY REDUCTION EVALUATIONS (TREs)

TREs for lethal and sub-lethal effects are performed in a very similar manner. EPA Region 6 is currently addressing TREs as follows: a sub-lethal TRE (TRE_{SL}) is triggered based on three sub-lethal test failures while a lethal effects TRE (TRE_L) is triggered based on only two test failures for lethality. In addition, EPA Region 6 will consider the magnitude of toxicity and use flexibility when considering a TRE_{SL} where there are no effects at effluent dilutions of 75% or lower.

- i. <u>Within ninety (90) days of confirming persistent toxicity</u>, the permittee shall submit a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Action Plan and Schedule for conducting a TRE. The TRE Action Plan shall specify the approach and methodology to be used in performing the TRE. A Toxicity Reduction Evaluation is an investigation intended to determine those actions necessary to achieve compliance with water quality-based effluent limits by reducing an effluent's toxicity to an acceptable level. A TRE is defined as a step-wise process that combines toxicity testing and analyses of the physical and chemical characteristics of a toxic effluent in order to identify the constituents causing effluent toxicity and/or treatment methods that will reduce the effluent toxicity. The goal of the TRE is to maximally reduce the toxic effects of effluent at the critical dilution and includes the following:
 - a. Specific Activities. The plan shall detail the specific approach the permittee intends to utilize in conducting the TRE. The approach may include toxicity characterizations, identifications and confirmation activities, source evaluation, treatability studies, or alternative approaches. When the permittee conducts Toxicity Characterization Procedures the permittee shall perform multiple characterizations and follow the procedures specified in the documents 'Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations: Phase I Toxicity Characterization Procedures' (EPA-600/6-91/003) and 'Toxicity Identification Evaluation: Characterization of Chronically Toxic Effluents, Phase I' (EPA-600/6-91/005F), or alternate procedures. When the permittee conducts Toxicity Identification Evaluations and Confirmations, the permittee shall perform multiple

identifications and follow the methods specified in the documents 'Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase II Toxicity Identification Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity' (EPA/600/R-92/080) and 'Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase III Toxicity Confirmation Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity' (EPA/600/R-92/081), as appropriate.

The documents referenced above may be obtained through the <u>National Technical</u> <u>Information Service</u> (NTIS) by phone at (703) 487-4650, or by writing:

U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161

- b. Sampling Plan (e.g., locations, methods, holding times, chain of custody, preservation, etc.). The effluent sample volume collected for all tests shall be adequate to perform the toxicity test, toxicity characterization, identification and confirmation procedures, and conduct chemical specific analyses when a probable toxicant has been identified;
- c. Where the permittee has identified or suspects specific pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity, the permittee shall conduct, concurrent with toxicity testing, chemical specific analyses for the identified and/or suspected pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity. Where lethality was demonstrated within 48 hours of test initiation, each composite sample shall be analyzed independently. Otherwise the permittee may substitute a composite sample, comprised of equal portions of the individual composite samples, for the chemical specific analysis;
- d. Quality Assurance Plan (e.g., QA/QC implementation, corrective actions, etc.); and
- e. Project Organization (e.g., project staff, project manager, consulting services, etc.).
- ii. The permittee shall initiate the TRE Action Plan within thirty (30) days of plan and schedule submittal. The permittee shall assume all risks for failure to achieve the required toxicity reduction.
- iii. The permittee shall submit a quarterly TRE Activities Report, with the Discharge Monitoring Report in the months of January, April, July and October, containing information on toxicity reduction evaluation activities including:
 - a. any data and/or substantiating documentation which identifies the pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity;
 - b. any studies/evaluations and results on the treatability of the facility's effluent toxicity; and

- c. any data which identifies effluent toxicity control mechanisms that will reduce effluent toxicity to the level necessary to meet no significant toxicity at the critical dilution.
- iv. The permittee shall submit a Final Report on Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Activities no later than twenty-eight (28) months from confirming toxicity in the retests, which provides information pertaining to the specific control mechanism selected that will, when implemented, result in reduction of effluent toxicity to no significant toxicity at the critical dilution. The report will also provide a specific corrective action schedule for implementing the selected control mechanism.
- v. Quarterly testing during the TRE is a minimum monitoring requirement. EPA recommends that permittees required to perform a TRE not rely on quarterly testing alone to ensure success in the TRE, and that additional screening tests be performed to capture toxic samples for identification of toxicants. Failure to identify the specific chemical compound causing toxicity test failure will normally result in a permit limit for whole effluent toxicity limits per federal regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(v).

F. MONITORING FREQUENCY REDUCTION

- i. The permittee may apply for a testing frequency reduction upon the successful completion of the first four (4) consecutive quarters or first twelve (12) consecutive months (in accordance with Item A.i.) of testing for one or both test species, with no lethal or sub-lethal effects demonstrated at or below the critical dilution. If granted, the monitoring frequency for that test species may be reduced to and not less than twice per year.
- ii. CERTIFICATION The permittee must certify in writing that no test failures have occurred and that all tests meet all test acceptability criteria in Item C.i. above. In addition, the permittee must provide a list with each test performed including test initiation date, species, NOECs for lethal and sub-lethal effects and the maximum coefficient of variation for the controls. Upon review and acceptance of this information, the agency will issue a letter of confirmation of the monitoring frequency reduction. A copy of the letter will be forwarded to the agency's Permit Compliance System section to update the permit reporting requirements.
- iii. SUB-LETHAL OR SURVIVAL FAILURES If any test fails the survival or sublethal endpoint at any time during the life of this permit, three monthly retests are required and the monitoring frequency for the affected test species shall be increased to once per quarter until the permit is re-issued. Monthly retesting is not required if the permittee is performing a TRE.

Any monitoring frequency reduction granted applies only until the expiration date of this permit, at which time the monitoring frequency for both test species reverts to once per quarter until the permit is re-issued.

PART III STANDARD CONDITIONS

SECTION A – GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. Duty to Comply

The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the federal Clean Water Act and the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; and/or for denial of a permit renewal application. Any values reported in the required Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) which are in excess of an effluent limitation specified in Part I shall constitute evidence of violation of such effluent limitation and of this permit.

2. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions

The Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act provides that any person who violates any provisions of a permit issued under the Act shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to imprisonment for not more than one (1) year, or a fine of not more than twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) or by both such fine and imprisonment for each day of such violation. Any person who violates any provision of a permit issued under the Act may also be subject to civil penalty in such amount as the court shall find appropriate, not to exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each day of such violation. The fact that any such violation may constitute a misdemeanor shall not be a bar to the maintenance of such civil action.

3. Permit Actions

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause including, but not limited to the following:

- A. Violation of any terms or conditions of this permit.
- B. Obtaining this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully all relevant facts.
- C. A change in any conditions that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge.
- D. A determination that the permitted activity endangers human health or the environment and can only be regulated to acceptable levels by permit modification or termination.
- E. Failure of the permittee to comply with the provisions of APC&EC Regulation No. 9 (Permit fees) as required by Part III.A.11 herein.

The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any permit condition.

4. Toxic Pollutants

Notwithstanding Part III.A.3, if any toxic effluent standard or prohibition (including any schedule of compliance specified in such effluent standard or prohibition) is promulgated under APC&EC Regulation No. 2, as amended, or Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for a toxic pollutant which is present in the discharge and that standard or prohibition is more stringent than any limitations on the pollutant in this permit, this permit shall be modified or revoked and reissued to conform to the toxic effluent standards or prohibition and the permittee so notified.

The permittee shall comply with effluent standards, narrative criteria, or prohibitions established under APC&EC Regulation No. 2, as amended, or Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants within the time provided in the regulations that establish those standards or prohibitions, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

5. Civil and Criminal Liability

Except as provided in permit conditions for "Bypass of Treatment Facilities" (Part III.B.4), and "Upset" (Part III.B.5), nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the permittee from civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance. Any false or materially misleading representation or concealment of information required to be reported by the provisions of this permit or applicable state and federal statues or regulations which defeats the regulatory purposes of the permit may subject the permittee to criminal enforcement pursuant to the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Ark. Code Ann. § 8-4-101 et seq.).

6. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject to under Section 311 of the Clean Water Act.

7. State Laws

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable State law or regulation under authority preserved by Section 510 of the Clean Water Act.

8. Property Rights

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of Federal, State, or local laws or regulations.

9. <u>Severability</u>

The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit, or the application of any provisions of this permit to any circumstance is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

10. Applicable Federal, State, or Local Requirements

Permittees are responsible for compliance with all applicable terms and conditions of this permit. Receipt of this permit does not relieve any operator of the responsibility to comply with any other applicable federal such as endangered species, state or local statute, ordinance or regulation.

11. Permit Fees

The permittee shall comply with all applicable permit fee requirements (i.e., including annual permit fees following the initial permit fee that will be invoiced every year the permit is active) for wastewater discharge permits as described in APC&EC Regulation No. 9 (Regulation for the Fee System for Environmental Permits). Failure to promptly remit all required fees shall be grounds for the Director to initiate action to terminate this permit under the provisions of 40 CFR Parts 122.64 and 124.5(d), as adopted in APC&EC Regulation No. 6 and the provisions of APC&EC Regulation No. 8.

SECTION B – OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF POLLUTION CONTROLS

1. <u>Proper Operation and Maintenance</u>

- A. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.
- B. The permittee shall provide an adequate operating staff which is duly qualified to carryout operation, maintenance, and testing functions required to insure compliance with the conditions of this permit.

2. <u>Need to Halt or Reduce not a Defense</u>

It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit. Upon reduction, loss, or failure of the treatment facility, the permittee shall, to the extent necessary to maintain compliance with its permit, control production or discharges or both until the facility is restored or an alternative method of treatment is provided. This requirement applies, for example, when the primary source of power for the treatment facility is reduced, is lost, or alternate power supply fails.

3. Duty to Mitigate

The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment or the water receiving the discharge.

4. **Bypass of Treatment Facilities**

"Bypass" means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, as defined at 40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i).

A. Bypass not exceeding limitation

The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of Parts III.B.4.B and 4.C.

- B. Notice
 - 1. Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least ten days before the date of the bypass.
 - 2. Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Part III.D.6 (24-hour notice).
- C. Prohibition of bypass
 - 1. Bypass is prohibited and the Director may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 - (a) Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage.
 - (b) There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if the permittee could have installed adequate backup equipment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal or preventive maintenance.
 - (c) The permittee submitted notices as required by Part III.B.4.B.
 - 2. The Director may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Director determines that it will meet the three conditions listed above in Part III.B.4.C(1).

5. Upset Conditions

- A. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of Part III.B.5.B of this section are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
- B. Conditions necessary for demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - 1. An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the specific cause(s) of the upset.
 - 2. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated.
 - 3. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required by Part III.D.6.
 - 4. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required by Part III.B.3.
- C. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

6. Removed Substances

- A. Solids, sludges, filter backwash, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment or control of wastewaters shall be disposed of in a manner such as to prevent any pollutant from such materials from entering waters of the State. The Permittee must comply with all applicable state and Federal regulations governing the disposal of sludge, including but not limited to 40 CFR Part 503, 40 CFR Part 257, and 40 CFR Part 258.
- B. Any changes to the permittee's disposal practices described in Part II of the permit will require at least 180 days prior notice to the Director to allow time for additional permitting. Please note that the 180 day notification requirement may be waived if additional permitting is not required for the change.

7. Power Failure

The permittee is responsible for maintaining adequate safeguards to prevent the discharge of untreated or inadequately treated wastes during electrical power failure either by means of alternate power sources, standby generators, or retention of inadequately treated effluent.

SECTION C – MONITORING AND RECORDS

1. <u>Representative Sampling</u>

Samples and measurements taken as required herein shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge during the entire monitoring period. All samples shall be taken at the monitoring points specified in this permit and, unless otherwise specified, before the effluent joins or is diluted by any other waste stream, body of water, or substance. Monitoring points shall not be changed without notification to and the approval of the Director. Intermittent discharge shall be monitored.

2. Flow Measurement

Appropriate flow measurement devices and methods consistent with accepted scientific practices shall be selected and used to insure the accuracy and reliability of measurements of the volume of monitored discharges. The devices shall be installed, calibrated, and maintained to insure the accuracy of the measurements are consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. Devices selected shall be capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviation of less than +/- 10% from true discharge rates throughout the range of expected discharge volumes and shall be installed at the monitoring point of the discharge.

Calculated Flow Measurement

For calculated flow measurements that are performed in accordance with either the permit requirements or a Department approved method (i.e., as allowed under Part II.3), the +/-10% accuracy requirement described above is waived. This waiver is only applicable when the method used for calculation of the flow has been reviewed and approved by the Department.

3. Monitoring Procedures

Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit. The permittee shall calibrate and perform maintenance procedures on all monitoring and analytical instrumentation at intervals frequent enough to insure accuracy of measurements and shall insure that both calibration and maintenance activities will be conducted. An adequate analytical quality control program, including the analysis of sufficient standards, spikes, and duplicate samples to insure the accuracy of all required analytical results shall be maintained by the permittee or designated commercial laboratory. At a minimum, spikes and duplicate samples are to be analyzed on 10% of the samples.

4. Penalties for Tampering

The Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate, any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under the Act shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to imprisonment for not more than one (1) year or a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) or by both such fine and imprisonment.

5. <u>Reporting of Monitoring Results</u>

Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form provided by the Department or other form/method approved in writing by the Department (e.g., electronic submittal of DMR once approved). Monitoring results obtained during the previous monitoring period shall be summarized and reported on a DMR form postmarked no later than the 25th day of the month or submitted electronically by 6:00 p.m. of the 25th, following the completed reporting period beginning on the effective date of the permit. When mailing the DMRs, duplicate copies of the forms signed and certified as required by Part III.D.11 and all other reports required by Part III.D, shall be submitted to the Director at the following address:

Enforcement Branch Office of Water Quality Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

If permittee uses outside laboratory facilities for sampling and/or analysis, the name and address of the contract laboratory shall be included on the DMR.

6. Additional Monitoring by the Permittee

If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this permit, using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136 or as specified in this permit, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR. Such increased frequency shall also be indicated on the DMR.

7. <u>Retention of Records</u>

The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit for a period of at least 3 years from the date of the sample, measurement, report, or application. This period may be extended by request of the Director at any time.

8. <u>Record Contents</u>

Records and monitoring information shall include:

- A. The date, exact place, time and methods of sampling or measurements, and preservatives used, if any.
- B. The individuals(s) who performed the sampling or measurements.
- C. The date(s) and time analyses were performed.
- D. The individual(s) who performed the analyses.
- E. The analytical techniques or methods used.
- F. The measurements and results of such analyses.

9. Inspection and Entry

The permittee shall allow the Director, or an authorized representative, upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

- A. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit.
- B. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit.
- C. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit.
- D. Sample, inspect, or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Clean Water Act, any substances or parameters at any location.

SECTION D – REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. Planned Changes

The Permittee shall give notice to the Director as soon as possible but no later than 180 days prior to any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility [40 CFR 122.41(l)]. Notice is required only when:

- A. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for new sources at 40 CFR 122.29(b).
- B. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants subject to effluent limitations in the permit, or to the notification requirements under 40 CFR 122.42(b).

2. Anticipated Noncompliance

The permittee shall give advance notice to the Director of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.

3. Transfers

The permit is nontransferable to any person except after notice to the Director. The Director may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Act.

4. Monitoring Reports

Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals and in the form specified in Part III.C.5. **Discharge Monitoring Reports must be submitted** <u>even</u> when <u>no</u> discharge occurs during the reporting period.

5. <u>Compliance Schedule</u>

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. Any reports of noncompliance shall include the cause of noncompliance, any remedial actions taken, and the probability of meeting the next scheduled requirement.

6. <u>Twenty-four Hour Report</u>

- A. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Any information shall be provided orally within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. A written submission shall also be provided within 5 days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain the following information:
 - 1. A description of the noncompliance and its cause.
 - 2. The period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue.
 - 3. Steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.
- B. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours:
 - 1. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - 2. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - 3. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Director in Part I of the permit to be reported within 24 hours to the Enforcement Branch of the Office of Water Quality of the ADEQ.
- C. The Director may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis if the oral report has been received within 24 hours to the Enforcement Branch of the Office of Water Quality of the ADEQ.

7. Other Noncompliance

The permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under Parts III.D.4, 5, and 6, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed at Part III.D.6.

8. <u>Changes in Discharge of Toxic Substances for Industrial Dischargers</u>

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as the permittee knows or has reason to believe:

A. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge on a routine or frequent basis of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the "notification levels" described in 40 CFR Part 122.42(a)(1).

B. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in any discharge on a non-routine or infrequent basis of a toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the "notification levels" described in 40 CFR Part 122.42(a)(2).

9. Duty to Provide Information

The permittee shall furnish to the Director, within a reasonable time, any information which the Director may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Director, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit. Information shall be submitted in the form, manner and time frame requested by the Director.

10. Duty to Reapply

If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit. The complete application shall be submitted at least 180 days before the expiration date of this permit. The Director may grant permission to submit an application less than 180 days in advance but no later than the permit expiration date. Continuation of expiring permits shall be governed by regulations promulgated in APC&EC Regulation No. 6.

11. Signatory Requirements

All applications, reports, or information submitted to the Director shall be signed and certified as follows:

- A. All **permit applications** shall be signed as follows:
 - 1. For a corporation: by a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this section, a responsible corporate officer means:
 - (a) A president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy or decision-making functions for the corporation.
 - (b) The manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operation facilities, provided: the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.

- 2. For a partnership or sole proprietorship: by a general partner or proprietor, respectively.
- 3. For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency, by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this section, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes:
 - (a) The chief executive officer of the agency.
 - (b) A senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency.
- B. All **reports** required by the permit and **other information** requested by the Director shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
 - 1. The authorization is made in writing by a person described above.
 - 2. The authorization specified either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity, such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, or position of equivalent responsibility. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position).
 - 3. The written authorization is submitted to the Director.
- C. Certification. Any person signing a document under this section shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

12. Availability of Reports

Except for data determined to be confidential under 40 CFR Part 2 and APC&EC Regulation No. 6, all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the Department of Environmental Quality. As required by the Regulations, the name and address of any permit applicant or permittee, permit applications, permits, and effluent data shall not be considered confidential.

13. Penalties for Falsification of Reports

The Arkansas Air and Water Pollution Control Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained under this permit shall be subject to civil penalties specified in Part III.A.2 and/or criminal penalties under the authority of the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Ark. Code Ann. § 8-4-101 et seq.).

14. Other Information

Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Director, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

PART IV DEFINITIONS

All definitions contained in Section 502 of the Clean Water Act and 40 CFR 122.2 shall apply to this permit and are incorporated herein by reference. Additional definitions of words or phrases used in this permit are as follows:

- 1. "Act" means the Clean Water Act, Public Law 95-217 (33.U.S.C. 1251 et seq.) as amended.
- 2. "Administrator" means the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- 3. "APC&EC" means the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission.
- 4. "Applicable effluent standards and limitations" means all State and Federal effluent standards and limitations to which a discharge is subject under the Act, including, but not limited to, effluent limitations, standards of performance, toxic effluent standards and prohibitions, and pretreatment standards.
- 5. "Applicable water quality standards" means all water quality standards to which a discharge is subject under the federal Clean Water Act and which has been (a) approved or permitted to remain in effect by the Administrator following submission to the Administrator pursuant to Section 303(a) of the Act, or (b) promulgated by the Director pursuant to Section 303(b) or 303(c) of the Act, and standards promulgated under (APC&EC) Regulation No. 2, as amended.
- 6. **"Best Management Practices (BMPs)"** are activities, practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices designed to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the State. BMPs also include treatment technologies, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw sewage. BMPs may include structural devices or nonstructural practices.
- 7. **"Bypass"** means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, as defined at 40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i).
- 8. **"Composite sample"** is a mixture of grab samples collected at the same sampling point at different times, formed either by continuous sampling or by mixing a minimum of 4 effluent portions collected at equal time intervals (but not closer than one hour apart) during operational hours, within the 24-hour period, and combined proportional to flow or a sample collected at more frequent intervals proportional to flow over the 24-hour period.
- 9. **"Daily Discharge"** means the discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling.
 - A. **Mass Calculations:** For pollutants with limitations expressed in terms of mass, the "daily discharge" is calculated as the total mass of pollutant discharged over the sampling day.
 - B. **Concentration Calculations:** For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the "daily discharge" is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.
- 10. **"Daily Maximum"** discharge limitation means the highest allowable "daily discharge" during the calendar month.
- 11. "Department" means the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ).
- 12. "Director" means the Director of the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality.

- 13. "Dissolved oxygen limit" shall be defined as follows:
 - A. When limited in the permit as a minimum monthly average, shall mean the lowest acceptable monthly average value, determined by averaging all samples taken during the calendar month.
 - B. When limited in the permit as an instantaneous minimum value, shall mean that no value measured during the reporting period may fall below the stated value.
- 14. "*E. coli*" a sample consists of one effluent grab portion collected during a 24-hour period at peak loads. For *E. coli*, report the 7-Day Average as the geometric mean of all "daily discharges" within a calendar week and the Monthly Average as the geometric mean of all "daily discharges" within a calendar month, both in units of colonies per 100 ml.
- 15. **"Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB)"** a sample consists of one effluent grab portion collected during a 24-hour period at peak loads. For FCB, report the 7-Day Average as the geometric mean of all "daily discharges" within a calendar week and the Monthly Average as the geometric mean of all "daily discharges" within a calendar month, both in units of colonies per 100 ml.
- 16. **"Grab sample"** means an individual sample collected in less than 15 minutes in conjunction with an instantaneous flow measurement.
- 17. **"Industrial User"** means a nondomestic discharger, as identified in 40 CFR Part 403, introducing pollutants to a POTW.
- 18. **"Instantaneous flow measurement"** means the flow measured during the minimum time required for the flow-measuring device or method to produce a result in that instance. To the extent practical, instantaneous flow measurements coincide with the collection of any grab samples required for the same sampling period so that together the samples and flow are representative of the discharge during that sampling period.
- 19. **"Instantaneous Maximum"** when limited in the permit as an instantaneous maximum value, shall mean that no value measured during the reporting period may fall above the stated value.
- 20. **"Instantaneous Minimum"** an instantaneous minimum value, shall mean that no value measured during the reporting period may fall below the stated value.
- 21. **"Monthly Average"** means the highest allowable average of "daily discharges" over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all "daily discharges" measured during a calendar month divided by the number of "daily discharges" measured during that month. For *E. coli* or FCB, report the Monthly Average as the geometric mean of all "daily discharges" within a calendar month (see Parts IV.14 and IV.15 above, respectively).

22. "Monitoring and Reporting"

When a permit becomes effective, monitoring requirements are of the immediate period of the permit effective date. Where the monitoring requirement for an effluent characteristic is monthly or more frequently, the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) shall be submitted by the 25th of the month following the sampling. Where the monitoring requirement for an effluent characteristic is Quarterly, Semi-Annual, Annual, or Yearly, the DMR shall be submitted by the 25th of the month following the monitoring period end date.

A. MONTHLY:

is defined as a calendar month or any portion of a calendar month for monitoring requirement frequency of once/month or more frequently.

B. **BI-MONTHLY:**

is defined as two (2) calendar months or any portion of 2 calendar months for monitoring requirement frequency of once/2 months or more frequently.

C. QUARTERLY:

- 1. is defined as a **fixed calendar quarter** or any part of the fixed calendar quarter for a non-seasonal effluent characteristic with a measurement frequency of once/quarter. Fixed calendar quarters are: January through March, April through June, July through September, and October through December; or
- 2. is defined as a **fixed three month period** (or any part of the fixed three month period) of or dependent upon the seasons specified in the permit for a seasonal effluent characteristic with a monitoring requirement frequency of once/quarter that does not coincide with the fixed calendar quarter. Seasonal calendar quarters are: May through July, August through October, November through January, and February through April.

D. SEMI-ANNUAL:

is defined as the fixed time periods January through June, and July through December (or any portion thereof) for an effluent characteristic with a measurement frequency of once/6 months or twice/year.

E. ANNUAL or YEARLY:

is defined as a fixed calendar year or any portion of the fixed calendar year for an effluent characteristic or parameter with a measurement frequency of once/year. A calendar year is January through December, or any portion thereof.

- 23. **"National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System"** means the national program for issuing, modifying, revoking and reissuing, terminating, monitoring and enforcing permits, and imposing and enforcing pretreatment requirements under Sections 307, 402, 318, and 405 of the Clean Water Act.
- 24. **"POTW"** means Publicly Owned Treatment Works; a treatment works (see Part IV.29 below) which is owned by a state or municipality.
- 25. **"Reduction of CBOD₅/BOD₅ and TSS in mg/l Formula":** [(Influent – Effluent) / Influent] × 100
- 26. **"Severe property damage"** means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in products.
- 27. **"Sewage sludge"** means the solids, residues, and precipitate separated from or created in sewage by the unit processes at a POTW. Sewage as used in this definition means any wastes, including wastes from humans, households, commercial establishments, industries, and stormwater runoff that are discharged to or otherwise enter a POTW.
- 28. **"7-Day Average"** also known as "average weekly," means the highest allowable average of "daily discharges" over a calendar week, calculated as the sum of all "daily discharges" measured during a calendar week divided by the number of "daily discharges" measured during that week.
- 29. **"Treatment works"** means any devices and systems used in storage, treatment, recycling, and reclamation of municipal sewage and industrial wastes, of a liquid nature to implement section 201 of the Act, or necessary to recycle reuse water at the most economic cost over the estimated life of the works, including intercepting sewers, sewage collection systems,

pumping, power and other equipment, and alterations thereof; elements essential to provide a reliable recycled supply such as standby treatment units and clear well facilities, and any works, including site acquisition of the land that will be an integral part of the treatment process or is used for ultimate disposal of residues resulting from such treatment.

30. Units of Measure:

"MGD" shall mean million gallons per day.

"mg/l" shall mean milligrams per liter or parts per million (ppm).

"µg/l" shall mean micrograms per liter or parts per billion (ppb).

"cfs" shall mean cubic feet per second.

"ppm" shall mean parts per million.

"s.u." shall mean standard units.

- 31. "Upset" means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. Any upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless of improper operations.
- 32. **"Visible sheen"** means the presence of a film or sheen upon or a discoloration of the surface of the discharge. A sheen can also be from a thin glistening layer of oil on the surface of the discharge.
- 33. "Weekday" means Monday Friday.

Final Fact Sheet

This Fact Sheet is for information and justification of the permit limits only. Please note that it is not enforceable. This permitting decision is for the renewal of NPDES Permit Number AR0020702 with Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Facility Identification Number (AFIN) 32-00044 to discharge to Waters of the State.

1. PERMITTING AUTHORITY

The issuing office is:

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317

2. APPLICANT

The applicant's mailing and facility address is:

Batesville Water Utilities - Batesville Wastewater Treatment Plant 500 River Bank Road Batesville, AR 72501

3. PREPARED BY

The permit was prepared by:

Adam Yates Staff Engineer NPDES Discharge Permits Section Office of Water Quality (501) 682-0617 E-mail: <u>yates@adeq.state.ar.us</u> Carrie McWilliams, P.E. Engineering Supervisor NPDES Discharge Permits Section Office of Water Quality (501) 682-0915 E-mail: mcwilliamsc2@adeq.state.ar.us

4. **PERMIT ACTIVITY**

| Previous Permit Effective Date: | May 1, 2011 |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Previous Permit Expiration Date: | April 30, 2016 |

The permittee submitted a permit renewal application on December 16, 2015, with additional information received January 6, 2016 and January 8, 2016. The current discharge permit is being reissued for a 5-year term in accordance with regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 122.46(a). The facility had been in the process of modifying their treatment system in accordance with State Construction Permit No. AR0020702C. Modifications have been completed, and the facility no longer discharges from Outfall 001. As a result, Outfall 001 and the associated limitations and requirements have been removed from the permit.

DOCUMENT ABBREVIATIONS

In the document that follows, various abbreviations are used. They are as follows:

BAT - best available technology economically achievable

BCT - best conventional pollutant control technology

BMP - best management practice

BOD₅ - five-day biochemical oxygen demand

BPJ - best professional judgment

BPT - best practicable control technology currently available

CBOD₅ - carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand

CD - critical dilution

CFR - Code of Federal Regulations

cfs - cubic feet per second

COD - chemical oxygen demand

COE - United States Corp of Engineers

CPP - continuing planning process

CWA - Clean Water Act

DMR - discharge monitoring report

DO - dissolved oxygen

ELG - effluent limitation guidelines

EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency

ESA - Endangered Species Act

FCB - fecal coliform bacteria

gpm - gallons per minute

MGD - million gallons per day

MQL - minimum quantification level

NAICS - North American Industry Classification System

NH₃-N - ammonia nitrogen

 $NO_3 + NO_2 - N$ - nitrate + nitrite nitrogen

NPDES - National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

O&G - oil and grease

Reg. 2 - APC&EC Regulation No. 2

Reg. 6 - APC&EC Regulation No. 6

Reg. 8 - APC&EC Regulation No. 8

Reg. 9 - APC&EC Regulation No. 9

RP - reasonable potential

SIC - standard industrial classification

TDS - total dissolved solids

TMDL - total maximum daily load

TP - total phosphorus

TRC - total residual chlorine

TSS - total suspended solids

UAA - use attainability analysis

USF&WS - United States Fish and Wildlife Service

USGS - United States Geological Survey

WET - whole effluent toxicity WQMP - water quality management plan WQS - Water Quality standards WWTP - wastewater treatment plant

Compliance and Enforcement History:

Compliance and Enforcement History for this facility can be reviewed by using the following web link:

https://www.adeq.state.ar.us/downloads/WebDatabases/PermitsOnline/NPDES/PermitInform ation/AR0020702_Compliance%20Review_20160127.pdf

5. SIGNIFICANT CHANGES FROM THE PREVIOUSLY ISSUED PERMIT

The permittee is responsible for carefully reading the permit in detail and becoming familiar with all of the changes therein:

- 1. The monthly total number of Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) and the monthly total volume of SSOs must be reported on the Discharge Monitoring Reports. See Condition No. 5 of Part II of the permit for more information.
- 2. Outfall 001 has been removed from the permit. See Section 4 of this Fact Sheet for more information.
- 3. The facility coordinates have been updated based on information provided in the renewal application.
- 4. Acute WET testing has been changed to chronic due to a technical error in the previous permit. See Section 13 of this Fact Sheet for more information.

6. RECEIVING STREAM SEGMENT AND DISCHARGE LOCATION

The outfall is located at the following coordinates based on Google Earth using WGS84:

Latitude: 35° 44' 35" N; Longitude: 91° 37' 18" W

The receiving waters named:

White River in Segment 4F of the White River Basin. The receiving stream with USGS Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) of 11010004 and reach #002 is a Water of the State classified for primary and secondary contact recreation, raw water source for domestic (public and private), industrial, and agricultural water supplies, propagation of desirable species of fish and other aquatic life, and other compatible uses.

7. 303(d) LIST, TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOADS, ENDANGERED SPECIES, AND ANTI-DEGRADATION CONSIDERATIONS

A. 303(d) List

This facility has a discharge to a water body that is not on the State's currently approved 303(d) list of impaired waterbodies (2008). Therefore, the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements in the proposed permit are based on current technology and water quality standards requirements and are protective of the stream-designated uses.

B. Endangered Species

No comments on the application were received from the USF&WS. The draft permit and Fact Sheet were sent to the USF&WS for their review.

C. Anti-Degradation

The limitations and requirements set forth in this permit for discharge into waters of the State are consistent with the Anti-degradation Policy and all other applicable water quality standards found in APC&EC Regulation No. 2.

8. OUTFALL, TREATMENT PROCESS DESCRIPTION, AND FACILITY CONSTRUCTION

The following is a description of the facility described in the application:

- A. Design Flow: 9.0 MGD
- B. Type of Treatment: communitor, two-cell aerated lagoon, two-cell equalization basin, moving bed bio-reactor, dissolved air flotation, and chlorine disinfection
- C. Discharge Description: treated municipal wastewater
- D. Facility Status: This facility is classified as a Major municipal since the design flow of the facility listed above is greater than 1.0 MGD.
- E. Facility Construction: This permit does not authorize or approve the construction or modification of any part of the treatment system or facilities. Approval for such construction must be by permit issued under Reg. 6.202.

9. ACTIVITY

Under the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code of 4952 or North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code of 221320, the applicant's activities are the operation of a sewage treatment plant.

10. INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER CONTRIBUTIONS

INDUSTRIAL USERS

This facility receives wastewater from five significant users, but the city permits, inspects, and samples these non-categorical facilities. Based on this and the applicant's effluent compliance history, standard boilerplate Pretreatment Prohibitions (40 CFR 403.5[b]) are deemed appropriate at this time.

11. SEWAGE SLUDGE PRACTICES

The sludge produced by the facility is land applied in accordance with No-Discharge Land Application Permit No. 5099-W.

12. DEVELOPMENT AND BASIS FOR PERMIT CONDITIONS

The Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality has determined to issue a permit for the discharge described in the application. Permit requirements are based on federal regulations (40 CFR Parts 122, 124, and Subchapter N), the National Pretreatment Regulation in 40 CFR Part 403 and regulations promulgated pursuant to the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Ark. Code Ann. 8-4-101 et seq.). All of the information contained in the application, including all of the submitted effluent testing data, was reviewed to determine the need for effluent limits and other permit requirements.

The following is an explanation of the derivation of the conditions of the permit and the reasons for them or, in the case of notices of intent to deny or terminate, reasons suggesting the decisions as required under 40 CFR Part 124.7.

Technology-Based Versus Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Conditions

Following regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 122.44, the permit limits are based on either technology-based effluent limits pursuant to 40 CFR Part 122.44 (a) or on State water quality standards and requirements pursuant to 40 CFR Part 122.44 (d), whichever are more stringent as follows:

| | Water Quality- Based | | Technology- Based/BPJ | | Previous Permit | | Permit Limit | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| Parameter | Monthly | 7-Day | Monthly | 7-Day | Monthly | 7-Day | Monthly | 7-Day |
| | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. |
| | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l |
| CBOD ₅ | >25.0* | >40.0* | 25.0 | 40.0 | 25.0 | 40.0 | 25.0 | 40.0 |
| TSS | N/A | N/A | 30.0 | 45.0 | 30.0 | 45.0 | 30.0 | 45.0 |
| NH ₃ -N | 15.0 | 22.5 | N/A | N/A | 15.0 | 22.5 | 15.0 | 22.5 |

| | Water Quality- Based | | Technology- Based/BPJ | | Previous Permit | | Permit Limit | |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
| Parameter | Monthly | 7-Day | Monthly | 7-Day | Monthly | 7-Day | Monthly | 7-Day |
| | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. | Avg. |
| | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l |
| DO | 2.0 (Inst. Min.) | | N/A | | 2.0 (Inst. Min.) | | 2.0 (Inst. Min.) | |
| FCB (col/100 ml) | | | | | | | | |
| (Apr-Sep) | 200 | 400 | N/A | N/A | 200 | 400 | 200 | 400 |
| (Oct-Mar) | 1000 | 2000 | N/A | N/A | 1000 | 2000 | 1000 | 2000 |
| ТР | N/A | N/A | Report | Report | Report | Report | Report | Report |
| $NO_3 + NO_2 - N$ | N/A | N/A | Report | Report | Report | Report | Report | Report |
| рН | 6.0-9. | 0 s.u. | 6.0-9.0 s.u. | | 6.0-9.0 s.u. | | 6.0-9.0 s.u. | |

* Technology-based limitation modeled to ensure compliance with DO Water Quality Standards.

A. Justification for Limitations and Conditions of the Final Permit

| Parameter | Water Quality or Technology | Justification |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| CBOD ₅ | Technology | 40 CFR 133.102(a), MultiSMP Model dated September 16, 2010 (and reviewed March 16, 2016), 40 CFR 122.44(l), and Previous Permit |
| TSS | Technology | 40 CFR 133.102(b), 40 CFR 122.44(l), and Previous Permit |
| NH ₃ -N | Water Quality | Reg. 2.512, MultiSMP Model dated September 16, 2010 (and reviewed March 16, 2016), CWA § 402(o), and Previous Permit |
| DO | Water Quality | Reg. 2.505, MultiSMP Model dated September 16, 2010 (and reviewed March 16, 2016), CWA § 402(o), and Previous Permit |
| FCB | Water Quality | Reg. 2.507, CWA § 402(o), and Previous Permit |
| ТР | Technology | Nutrient Control Implementation Plan from the 2000 CPP, and Previous Permit |
| $NO_3 + NO_2 - N$ | Technology | Nutrient Control Implementation Plan from the 2000 CPP, and Previous Permit |
| рН | Water Quality | Reg. 2.504, CWA § 402(o), and Previous Permit |

The facility had been in the process of modifying their treatment system in accordance with State Construction Permit No. AR0020702C. Modifications have been completed, and the facility no longer discharges from Outfall 001. As a result, Outfall 001 and the associated limitations and requirements have been removed from the permit.

With the exception of the revision of acute WET testing to chronic WET testing, discussed in Section 13 of this Fact Sheet, no new information was received to warrant adding, removing, or revising any limitations in the permit for Outfall 002. Therefore, the limitations in the permit for Outfall 002 are consistent with the limitations in the previous permit.

B. Anti-backsliding

The permit is consistent with the requirements to meet Anti-backsliding provisions of the Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402(o) [40 CFR 122.44(l)]. The final effluent limitations for reissuance permits must be as stringent as those in the previous permit, unless the less stringent limitations can be justified using exceptions listed in CWA 402(o)(2), CWA 303(d)(4), or 40 CFR 122.44 (l)(2)(i).

The permit meets or exceeds the requirements of the previous permit.

C. Limits Calculations

1. Mass Limits:

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.45(f)(1), all pollutants limited in permits shall have limitations expressed in terms of mass if feasible. 40 CFR 122.45(f)(2) allows for pollutants which are limited in terms of mass to also be limited in terms of other units of measurement.

The calculation of the loadings (lb per day) uses a design flow of 9.0 MGD and the following equation:

 $lb/day = Concentration (mg/l) \times Flow (MGD) \times 8.34$

2. 7-Day Average Limits:

The 7-Day Average limits for NH₃-N are based on Section 5.4.2 of the Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control.

The 7-Day Average limits for CBOD₅ and TSS are based on 40 CFR 133.102.

7-Day Average limits = Monthly Average limits \times 1.5

The 7-Day Average limits for FCB are based on Reg. 2.507.

D. <u>Ammonia-Nitrogen (NH₃-N)</u>

The water quality effluent limitations for Ammonia are based either on DO-based effluent limits or on toxicity-based standards, whichever are more stringent. The

toxicity-based effluent limitations are based on Reg. 2.512 and the CPP. The evaluation can be reviewed by using the following web link:

https://www.adeq.state.ar.us/downloads/WebDatabases/PermitsOnline/NPDES/PermitInf ormation/AR0020702_WQMP%20Update%20Summary_20160316.pdf

E. Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)

The facility submitted TRC data with the renewal application that suggested TRC levels in the effluent averaged 0.03 mg/l, with a maximum of 0.04 mg/l. The EPA considers concentrations at the edge of the mixing zone higher than 0.011 mg/l (Chronic Criteria) and 0.019 mg/l (Acute Criteria) to be toxic to aquatic organisms. Using the procedures from Appendix D of the CPP, the Instream Waste Concentration seen at the edge of the mixing zone was calculated using the reported average TRC concentration in the effluent, the design flow, and the critical low flow (7Q10) of the receiving stream. The results of the analysis showed that the discharge would not violate the water quality standards of the receiving stream. Therefore, no further permit action is necessary regarding TRC. The TRC analysis can be reviewed by using the following web link:

https://www.adeq.state.ar.us/downloads/WebDatabases/PermitsOnline/NPDES/PermitInf ormation/AR0020702_TRC%20Evaluation_20160127.pdf

F. 208 Plan (Water Quality Management Plan)

The 208 Plan, developed by the ADEQ under provisions of Section 208 of the federal Clean Water Act, is a comprehensive program to work toward achieving federal water quality goals in Arkansas. The initial 208 Plan, adopted in 1979, provides for annual updates, but can be revised more often if necessary. The 208 Plan has been revised to remove Outfall 001 and the associated effluent limitations.

G. Priority Pollutant Scan (PPS)

The ADEQ has reviewed and evaluated the effluent in accordance with the potential toxicity of each analyzed pollutant using the procedures outlined in the Continuing Planning Process (CPP).

The concentration of each pollutant after mixing with the receiving stream was compared to the applicable water quality standards as established in the Arkansas Water Quality Standards (AWQS), Regulation No. 2 (Reg. 2.508) and criteria obtained from the "Quality Criteria for Water, 1986 (Gold Book)."

Under Federal Regulation 40 CFR Part 122.44(d), as adopted by Regulation No. 6, if a discharge poses the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance above a water quality standard, the permit must contain an effluent limitation for that pollutant. Effluent limitations for the toxicants listed below have been derived in a manner

consistent with the Technical Support Document (TSD) for Water Quality-based Toxics Control (EPA, March 1991), the CPP, and 40 CFR Part 122.45(c).

| Parameter | Value | Source | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Discharge Flow $= Q$ | 9.0 MGD = 13.9 cfs | Application | |
| Critical flow, 7Q10 | 1,150 cfs | USGS | |
| TSS | 3.30 mg/l | CPP, Attachment V | |
| Hardness as CaCO ₃ | 116 mg/l | CPP, Attachment VI | |
| pH | 8.68 s.u. | DMRs for previous 3 years | |
| C _b , Upstream Concentration ¹ | 0 mg/l | CPP- Appendix D | |
| Q _b background flow, Mixing | 0.25 | Reg. 2.508 and CPP- | |
| zone flow for chronic toxicity | 0.23 | Appendix D | |
| Q _b background flow, ZID flow | 0.06 | Reg. 2.508 and CPP- | |
| for acute toxicity | 0.00 | Appendix D | |

The following items were used in calculations:

¹ Pollutant data for the receiving stream upstream of this facility's discharge was not available. In accordance with the *Strategy for Addressing Background Contributions* in Appendix D of the CPP, the PPS analysis was based solely on the effluent data.

The following pollutants were reported above detection levels:

| Pollutant | Concentration Reported, µg/l | MQL, µg/l |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Arsenic, Total | 2.8 | 0.5 |
| Chromium, Total | 11 | 10 |
| Copper, Total | 5.3 | 0.5 |
| Lead, Total | 0.73 | 0.5 |
| Nickel, Total | 6.2 | 0.5 |
| Phenols, Total | 0.0059 | 5 |

Instream Waste Concentrations (IWCs) were calculated in the manner described in Appendix D of the CPP and compared to the applicable Criteria. The following tables summarize the results of the analysis. The complete evaluation can be viewed on the Department's website at the following address:

https://www.adeq.state.ar.us/downloads/WebDatabases/PermitsOnline/NPDES/PermitInf ormation/AR0020702_PPS_20160127.pdf

1. Aquatic Toxicity Evaluation

| Pollutant Concentration | | $C_e \times 2.13^1$ | Instream Waste Concentration (IWC) | Criteria ² | Reasonable Potential | |
|------------------------------|--------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | µg/l | | Acute, µg/l | Acute, µg/l | (Yes/No) | |
| Arsenic ³ , Total | 2.8 | 5.96 | 1.00 | 190 | No | |
| Chromium, Total | 11 | 23.4 | 3.93 | 2883.22 | No | |
| Copper, Total | 5.3 | 11.3 | 1.89 | 61.24 | No | |
| Lead, Total | 0.73 | 1.55 | 0.26 | 345.64 | No | |
| Nickel, Total | 6.2 | 13.2 | 2.21 | 4011.86 | No | |
| Phenols ³ , Total | 0.0059 | 0.0126 | 0.0021 | 10200 | No | |

a. Acute Criteria Evaluation

Statistical ratio used to estimate the 95th percentile using a single effluent concentration or the 1 ² Criteria are from Reg. 2.508 unless otherwise specified.
³ From "Quality Criteria for Water 1986" (Gold Book).

| Pollutant | Concentration Reported (C _e) | $C_e \times 2.13^1$ | Instream Waste Concentration (IWC) | Criteria ² | Reasonable Potential | |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | μg/l | | Chronic, µg/l | Chronic, µg/l | (Yes/No) | |
| Arsenic ³ , Total | 2.8 | 5.96 | 0.28 | 1.4 | No | |
| Chromium, Total | 11 | 23.4 | 1.08 | 935.29 | No | |
| Copper, Total | 5.3 | 11.3 | 0.52 | 40.06 | No | |
| Lead, Total | 0.73 | 1.55 | 0.07 | 13.47 | No | |
| Nickel, Total | 6.2 | 13.2 | 0.61 | 455.55 | No | |
| Phenols ³ , Total | 0.0059 | 0.0126 | 0.0006 | 2560 | No | |

b. Chronic Criteria Evaluation

¹ Statistical ratio used to estimate the 95th percentile using a single effluent concentration or the ² Criteria are from Reg. 2.508 unless otherwise specified.
³ From "Quality Criteria for Water 1986" (Gold Book).

| Pollutant | Concentration Reported (C _e) µg/l | $C_e \times 2.13^1$ | Instream Waste Concentration (IWC) | Criteria ² | Reasonable Potential (Yes/No) |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Arsenic ⁴ , Total | 2.8 | 5.96 | 0.02 | 1.4 | No |
| Chromium ⁵ , Total | 11 | 23.4 | 0.09 | 50 | No |
| Copper ³ , Total | 5.3 | 11.3 | 0.05 | 1000 ⁵ | No |
| Lead ³ , Total | 0.73 | 1.55 | 0.01 | 50 | No |
| Nickel ³ , Total | 6.2 | 13.2 | 0.05 | 5000^{6} | No |
| Phenols ³ , Total | 0.0059 | 0.0126 | 0.0001 | 3500 (300 ⁶) | No |

2. Human Health (Bioaccumulation) Evaluation

¹ Statistical ratio used to estimate the 95th percentile using a single effluent concentration or the geometric mean of a dataset.

² Criteria are from Reg. 2.508 unless otherwise specified.

³ From "Quality Criteria for Water 1986" (Gold Book).

⁴ Adapted from "National Recommended Water Quality Criteria: 2002 – Human Health Criteria Calculation Matrix", EPA. The respective WQC from the noted reference are Consumption of Organism Only values. The values from the reference are for a lifetime risk factor of 10⁻⁶. These values have been multiplied by 10 to correspond to human health criteria lifetime risk factor of 10⁻⁵ as stated in Reg. 2.508.

⁵ From "Quality Criteria for Water 1976" (Red Book).

⁶ Estimate based on available organoleptic data for controlling undesirable taste and odor quality of ambient water and has no demonstrated relationship to potential adverse human health effects.

The ADEQ has determined from the submitted information that the discharge does not pose the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance above the listed Criteria.

13. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY

OUTFALL 002

Section 101(a)(3) of the Clean Water Act states that "...it is the national policy that the discharge of toxic pollutants in toxic amounts be prohibited." In addition, the ADEQ is required under 40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1), adopted by reference in Regulation 6, to include conditions as necessary to achieve water quality standards as established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act. Arkansas has established narrative criteria, which state, "toxic materials shall not be present in receiving waters in such quantities as to be toxic to human, animal, plant, or aquatic life or to interfere with the normal propagation, growth, and survival of aquatic biota."

Whole effluent toxicity (WET) testing is the most direct measure of potential toxicity, which incorporates the effects of synergism of effluent components and receiving stream water quality characteristics. It is the national policy of the EPA to use bioassays as a measure of

toxicity to allow evaluation of the effects of a discharge upon a receiving water (49 <u>Federal</u> <u>Register</u> 9016-9019, March 9, 1984). EPA Region 6 and the State of Arkansas are now implementing the Post Third Round Policy and Strategy established on September 9, 1992, and EPA Region 6 Post-Third Round Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Frequencies, revised March 13, 2000. Whole effluent toxicity testing of the effluent is thereby required as a condition of this permit to assess potential toxicity. The whole effluent toxicity testing procedures stipulated as a condition of this permit are as follows:

TOXICITY TESTS

FREQUENCY

Chronic WET

once/quarter

Requirements for measurement frequency are based on the CPP.

Since the 7Q10 is more than 100 cfs (ft^3 /sec) and dilution ratio is less than 100:1, chronic WET testing requirements will be included in the permit.

The calculations for dilution used for chronic WET testing are as follows:

Critical dilution (CD) = $(Q_d/(Q_d + Q_b)) \times 100$

 $\begin{array}{l} Q_d = Design \ flow \ or \ Average \ flow = 9.0 \ MGD = 13.9 \ cfs \\ 7Q10 = 1,150 \ cfs \\ Q_b = Background \ flow = 0.25 \times 7Q10 = 287.5 \ cfs \\ CD = (13.9) \ / \ (13.9 + 287.5) \times 100 = 5.0\% \end{array}$

The previous permit incorrectly assigned acute WET testing to Outfall 002, which also resulted in an incorrect critical dilution of 33% with a dilution series of 14%, 19%, 25%, 33%, and 44%. Since the 7Q10 of the receiving stream is more than 100 cfs (ft^3/sec) and since the dilution ratio of 82.7 is less than 100, chronic WET testing is appropriate for Outfall 002. Therefore, the permit has been updated to reflect the correct WET testing conditions, including the correct critical dilution of 5% with a dilution series of 2.1%, 2.8%, 3.8%, 5.0%, and 6.7%.

Toxicity tests shall be performed in accordance with protocols described in "Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms," EPA/600/4-91/002, July 1994. A minimum of five effluent dilutions in addition to an appropriate control (0%) are to be used in the toxicity tests. These additional effluent concentrations are **2.1%**, **2.8%**, **3.8%**, **5.0%**, and **6.7%** (See the CPP). The low-flow effluent concentration (critical dilution) is defined as **5.0%** effluent. The requirement for chronic WET tests is based on the magnitude of the facility's discharge with respect to receiving stream flow. The stipulated test species, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and the Fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) are representative of organisms indigenous to the geographic area of the facility; the use of these is consistent with the requirements of the State water quality standards. The WET testing frequency has been established to provide

data representative of the toxic potential of the facility's discharge, in accordance with the regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 122.48.

Results of all dilutions as well as the associated chemical monitoring of pH, temperature, hardness, dissolved oxygen conductivity, and alkalinity shall be reported according to EPA-821-R-02-013, October 2002 and shall be submitted as an attachment to the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR).

This permit may be reopened to require further WET testing studies, Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) and/or effluent limits if WET testing data submitted to the Department shows toxicity in the permittee's discharge. Modification or revocation of this permit is subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 122.62, as adopted by reference in APC&EC Regulation No. 6. Increased or intensified toxicity testing may also be required in accordance with Section 308 of the Clean Water Act and Section 8-4-201 of the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Act 472 of 1949, as amended).

Administrative Records

The following information summarized toxicity test submitted by the permittee during the term of the current permit at Outfall 002:

| Permit Number: | AR0020702 | AFIN: | 32-00044 | Outfall Number: | 002 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|
| Date of Review: | 10/5/2010 | 6 Reviewer: | M. Barnett | | |
| Facility Name: | Batesville Water Uti | lities | | | |
| Previous Acute Dilution se | ries: | 8, 11, 14, 19, 25 | | | |
| Previous Acute Critical Dil | lution: | 19 | | | |
| Proposed Chronic Dilution | Series: | 2.1, 2.8, 3.8, 5, 6.7 | | | |
| Proposed Chronic Critical | Dilution: | 5 | | | |
| Previous TRE activities: | | None | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Frequency recommenda | tion by species | | | | |
| Pimephales promelas (Fa | thead minnow): | once per quarter | | | |
| Ceriodaphnia dubia (wa | ter flea): | once per quarter | | | |
| | | | | | |
| TEST DATA SUMMAR | Y | | | | |
| | Vertebrate (Pi | mephales promelas) | Invertebrate | (Daphnia pulex) | |
| TEST DATE | Lethal | | Lethal | | |
| | NOEC | | NOEC | | |
| 3/30/2011 | 1 | 9 | 19 | | outfall 00 |
| 6/30/2011 | 1 | 9 | 19 | | outfall 00 |
| 9/30/2011 | 2: | 5 | 25 | | outfall 00 |
| 12/31/2011 | 2: | 5 | 25 | | outfall 00 |
| 3/31/2012 | 2 2: | 5 | | | outfall 00 |
| 3/31/2012 | 2 2: | 5 | 25 | | outfall 00 |
| 6/30/2012 | 2 2: | 5 | 25 | | outfall 00 |
| 12/31/2012 | 2 2: | 5 | 25 | | outfall 00 |
| 6/30/2013 | 3 2: | 5 | 25 | | outfall 00 |
| 12/31/2013 | 3 2: | 5 | 25 | | outfall 00 |
| 6/30/2014 | 4 2: | 5 | 25 | | outfall 00 |
| 12/31/2014 | L 2: | 5 | 25 | | outfall 00 |
| 6/30/2015 | 5 2: | 5 | 25 | | outfall 00 |
| 12/31/2015 | 5 2: | 5 | 25 | | outfall 00 |
| 12/31/2015 | 5 2: | 5 | 25 | | outfall 00 |
| 3/31/2016 | 5 2: | 5 | 25 | | outfall 00 |
| 6/30/2016 | 5 44 | 4 | 44 | | outfall 00 |
| 9/30/2016 | 5 44 | 4 | 44 | | outfall 00 |
| Facility moved effluent flow fr | rom outfall 001 to outfall | 002. | | | |

REASONABLE POTENTIAL CALCULATIONS

| | Vertebrate Lethal | Vertebrate Sub-lethal | Invertebrate Lethal | Invertebrate Sub-Lethal |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Min NOEC Observed | 19 | | 19 | |
| TU at Min Observed | 5.26 | | 5.26 | |
| Count | 14 | | 17 | |
| Failure Count | 0 | | 0 | |
| Mean | 3.934 | | 3.945 | |
| Std. Dev. | 0.837 | | 0.754 | |
| CV | 0.2 | | 0.2 | |
| RPMF | 1.2 | | 1.1 | |
| Reasonable Potential | 0.316 | | 0.289 | |
| 100/Critical dilution | 20.000 | | 20.000 | |
| Does Reasonable Potential Exist | No | | No | |
| PERMIT ACTION | | | | |
| P. promelas - monitoring | | | | |
| C. dubia - monitoring | | | | |

14. STORMWATER REQUIREMENTS

The federal regulations at 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14)(ix) require major municipal dischargers to have NPDES permit coverage for stormwater discharges from the facility. These requirements include the development and implementation of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) to control the quality of stormwater discharges from the facility. In lieu of the development of a SWPPP, the facility may obtain a "No Exposure" Exclusion in accordance with 40 CFR 122.26(g) if several conditions can be certified. This facility was issued a "No Exposure" Exclusion under NPDES Tracking number ARR000118.

15. SAMPLE TYPE AND FREQUENCY

Regulations require permits to establish monitoring requirements to yield data representative of the monitored activity [40 CFR Part 122.48(b)] and to ensure compliance with permit limitations [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(l)].

Requirements for sample type and sampling frequency have been based on the current discharge permit.

| | Previou | ıs Permit | Final Permit | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| Parameter | Frequency of Sample | Sample Type | Frequency of Sample | Sample Type | |
| Flow | once/day | totalizing meter | once/day | totalizing meter | |
| CBOD ₅ | three/week | composite | three/week | composite | |
| TSS | three/week | composite | three/week | composite | |
| NH ₃ -N | three/week | composite | three/week | composite | |
| DO | three/week | grab | three/week | grab | |
| FCB | three/week | grab | three/week | grab | |
| ТР | once/month | grab | once/month | grab | |
| $NO_3 + NO_2 - N$ | once/month | grab | once/month | grab | |
| pН | three/week | grab | three/week | grab | |

16. PERMIT COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE

A Schedule of Compliance has not been included in this permit. Compliance with all permit requirements is required on the effective date of the permit.

17. MONITORING AND REPORTING

The applicant is at all times required to monitor the discharge on a regular basis and report the results monthly. The monitoring results will be available to the public.

18. SOURCES

The following sources were used to draft the permit:

- A. Application No. AR0020702 received December 16, 2015, with additional information received January 6, 2016 and January 8, 2016.
- B. Arkansas Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP).
- C. APC&EC Regulation No. 2.
- D. APC&EC Regulation No. 3.
- E. APC&EC Regulation No. 6, which incorporates by reference certain federal regulations included in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations at Reg. 6.104.
- F. 40 CFR Parts 122, 125, 133, and 403.
- G. Discharge permit file AR0020702.
- H. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs).
- I. "2008 Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report," ADEQ.
- J. "2008 List of Impaired Waterbodies (303(d) List)," ADEQ, February 2008.
- K. USGS Scientific Investigations Report 2008-5065.
- L. Continuing Planning Process (CPP).
- M. Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxic Control, EPA, March 1991.
- N. Compliance Review Memo dated January 27, 2016 from J. Trotta.
- O. <u>MultiSMP Model</u> dated September 16, 2010 (and reviewed March 16, 2016).

19. POINT OF CONTACT

For additional information, contact:

Adam Yates Permits Branch, Office of Water Quality Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317 Telephone: (501) 682-0617

ADEQ CORRECTION FINAL PERMITTING DECISION

Permit No.: AR0020702

Applicant: Batesville Water Utilities - Batesville Wastewater Treatment Plant

Prepared by: Adam Yates

The following are ADEQ comments regarding the subject draft permit number. Responses are developed in accordance with regulations promulgated at 40 CFR §122.63 as incorporated in APC&EC Regulation 6.104(A)(3) and APCEC Regulation No. 8, Administrative Procedures.

Introduction

The above permit was submitted for public comment on July 22, 2016. The public comment period ended on August 22, 2016.

This document contains a summary of the ADEQ comments and a summary of the changes to the NPDES Permit.

ADEQ Correction #1

In Part I.A of the permit, the sample type for chronic WET testing was corrected to 24-hour composite. The requirement for 24-hour composite sampling for chronic WET testing was already specified in Condition No. 9(C)(iv)(a) of Part II and has been revised in the effluent limitations table of Part I.A for clarification. This correction has been incorporated into the final version of the permit.

| Summary of Changes to the Permit | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| Part | Draft Permit | Final Permit | Reason | Correction # | | | |
| I.A | N/A | Corrected sample type for chronic WET testing from "composite" to "24-hour composite." | Provided as clarification to the requirements of Condition No. 9(C)(iv)(a) of Part II. | 1 | | | |

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS FINAL PERMITTING DECISION

Permit No.: AR0020702

Applicant: Batesville Water Utilities - Batesville Wastewater Treatment Plant

Prepared by: Adam Yates

The following are responses to comments received regarding the draft permit number above and are developed in accordance with regulations promulgated at 40 CFR §124.17 as incorporated in APC&EC Regulation 6.104(A)(5), APC&EC Regulation No. 8 Administrative Procedures, and Ark. Code Ann. §8-4-203(e)(2).

Introduction

The above permit was submitted for public comment on July 22, 2016. The public comment period ended on August 22, 2016.

This document contains a summary of the comments that the ADEQ received during the public comment period. A summary of the changes to the NPDES Permit can be found on the last page of this document.

The following people or organizations sent comments to the ADEQ during the public notice. A total of five (5) comments were raised by one (1) commenter.

Commenter

Number of Comments Raised

Batesville Water Utilities - Batesville WWTP

5

COMMENT #1

We disagree with the permit modification from acute to chronic WET testing, included as a significant permit change in paragraph 5 on page 3 of the Fact Sheet, and request re-evaluation for acute testing. As indicated on page 7 of the Fact Sheet: "No new information was received to warrant adding, removing, or revising any limitations in the permit for Outfall 002." The previously permitted acute dilution series at Outfall 002 of 14, 19, 25, 33, 44 is significantly higher than the acute dilution series of 8, 11, 14, 19, 25 previously used at Outfall 001, and should adequately demonstrate toxicity testing in the plant effluent. Furthermore, both the acute toxicity and bioaccumulation evaluations on page 10 of the Fact Sheet yielded no reasonable potential to cause or contribute to criteria exceedances.

RESPONSE #1

The Department does not concur. Section 13 of the Fact Sheet, concerning whole effluent toxicity testing, details that the change from acute WET testing to chronic WET testing is made as a correction to a technical error of the previous permit. This change is made in accordance

with Part III.A of the ADEQ Discharge Permit, Toxic Control Implementation Procedure found on page D-27 in Appendix D of the State of Arkansas Continuing Planning Process (CPP). Part III.A states, "If the facility discharges to a large stream (7Q10 > 100 cfs) and background flow to effluent flow is greater than 100:1 (7Q10: $Q_d > 100$), Acute Biomonitoring [WET testing] is required. In all other cases, chronic testing is required." The 7Q10 of the receiving stream (White River) is 1,150 cfs and the facility's design flow (Q_d) is 9.0 MGD or 13.9 cfs. Thus, the ratio of background flow to effluent flow (7Q10: Q_d) is 82.7, which means that chronic WET testing is required according to the CPP. No changes will be made to the permit in regards to the revision of acute WET testing to chronic WET testing.

COMMENT #2

Outfall 001 has been removed from the permit; however, page 15 of the Fact Sheet includes toxicity testing data for this location rather than Outfall 002.

RESPONSE #2

The Department concurs. The subject typographical error has been corrected and the change has been incorporated into the final version of the permit.

COMMENT #3

Page 1 of Part II, 1: The permit indicates monitoring of influent is to occur during a standard effluent sampling event. The City of Batesville lagoon system provides a long detention time, making correlation between same-day sampling of influent and effluent flows ineffectual and invalid. We request clarification, if lagging of sampling is advisable or permitted.

While it is acknowledged that this language is adopted in accordance with 40 CFR 133.102, the design of treatment plants is such to ensure removal of influent pollutant levels to effluent permit levels with a factor of safety. Due to the nature of typical variations in pollutant concentrations and safety factors included during design for peak loads and future growth, the maximum monthly influent concentrations of BOD and TSS for which a given plant is designed may not be reached until the end of a facility's lifecycle. Therefore, under standard practice design principles, treatment plants must consistently meet effluent permit limits whether monthly influent levels are high or low. In the event of a low monthly average influent concentration, it is possible that a target minimum percent reduction may not be achievable despite meeting numerical effluent permit limits.

RESPONSE #3

The Department concurs. In accordance with new Department policy, Condition No. 2 of Part II of the permit has been revised to remove the requirement to monitor the influent $CBOD_5$ and TSS at least once per year. This change has been incorporated into the final version of the permit.

COMMENT #4

Page 10 of Part II, 9(C)(iv)(g): This is standard boilerplate language regarding TRC and in conflict with the conditions of the permit. As TRC is not included in the Part I permit limitations, discussed in further detail in paragraph E on Page 8 of the Fact Sheet, we request this non-applicable standard language be removed.

RESPONSE #4

The Department does not concur. Effluent limitations and the specifics of WET testing requirements are not bound to one another. According to Section 8.8.5 of the EPA Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms (4th Edition), "At a minimum, pH, conductivity, and total residual chlorine are measured in the undiluted effluent or receiving water, and pH and conductivity are measured in the dilution water." Therefore, the requirements for TRC testing as part of the WET testing requirements is appropriate and no changes will be made to the language of Condition No. 9(C)(iv)(g) of Part II of the permit.

COMMENT #5

Page 14 of Part II, 9(F)(i): Application for testing frequency reduction is noted to be allowed upon successful completion of "*the first 12 consecutive quarters or first 36 consecutive months.*" On page D-28 of the ADEQ Continuing Planning Process (CPP) document, dated January 2000, the time period for application for WET testing frequency reduction is noted as "four consecutive toxicity tests" if testing quarterly, and "one year" if monitoring monthly. We request this inconsistency be addressed and the permit be revised to reflect the typical testing frequency reduction period of four consecutive toxicity tests as allowed under the CPP.

RESPONSE #5

The Department concurs. The subject language concerning monitoring frequency reductions is part of the proposed changes to the CPP for WET testing, which have not received proper approval as of yet, and was mistakenly included in the draft permit. This typographical error in Part II.9(F)(i) of the permit has been corrected to state, "The permittee may apply for a testing frequency reduction upon the successful completion of the first four consecutive quarters or first twelve months of testing for one or both test species, with no lethal or sublethal effects demonstrated below the critical dilution." This change has been incorporated into the final version of the permit.

| Summary of Changes to the Permit | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--|---|-----------|
| Part | Draft Permit | Final Permit | Reason | Comment # |
| Fact Sheet | N/A | Minor revision to language in Section 12.A of the Fact Sheet to clarify that WET testing revision is discussed in Section 13 of the Fact Sheet. | Provide clarification that the revision of acute WET testing to chronic WET testing is in accordance with the CPP. | 1 |
| Fact Sheet | N/A | Revised table, which summarized toxicity tests submitted during the previous permit term, in Section 13 of the Fact Sheet. | Correct typographical error, which listed Outfall 001 instead of Outfall 002. | 2 |
| II | N/A | Revise language of Condition No. 2 concerning influent monitoring. | Department policy no longer requires influent monitoring. | 3 |
| п | N/A | N/A | No changes were made because TRC testing is required as part of WET testing procedures. | 4 |
| п | N/A | Revised language of Condition No. 9 concerning monitoring frequency reduction of WET testing. | Correct the requirements for monitoring frequency reduction to be consistent with the existing CPP. | 5 |