

**AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE WASTEWATER UNDER
THE NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM AND
THE ARKANSAS WATER AND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL ACT**

In accordance with the provisions of the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Act 472 of 1949, as amended, Ark. Code Ann. 8-4-101 et seq.), and the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq.),

The applicant's mailing address is:

City of Nashville
426 North Main Street
Nashville, AR 71852

The facility address is:

City of Nashville
743 Highway 27 South
Nashville, AR 71852

is authorized to discharge from a facility located as follows: South side of Highway 27 near the junction of Highway 27B, in Howard County, Arkansas.

Latitude: 33° 55' 11.27"; Longitude: 93° 51' 40.16"

to receiving waters named:

Through an 18" pipe to unnamed tributary of Mine Creek thence to Millwood Lake, thence to the Red River in Segment 1C of the Red River Basin.

The outfall is located at the following coordinates:

Outfall 001: Latitude: 33° 54' 56"; Longitude: 93° 51' 28"

With a monitoring location of:


Latitude: 33° 55' 5.3"; Longitude: 93° 51' 35.45"

Discharge shall be in accordance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements, and other conditions set forth in Parts I, II, III, and IV hereof.

Issue Date: January 31, 2009

Effective Date: February 1, 2009

Expiration Date: January 31, 2014



Steven L. Drown
Chief, Water Division
Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality

**PART I
PERMIT REQUIREMENTS**

SECTION A. INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS: OUTFALL 001 - treated municipal wastewater.

During the period beginning on the effective date and lasting until three years from the effective date, the permittee is authorized to discharge from Outfall 001. Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below from a treatment system consisting of two aerated lagoons in parallel, a two-cell stabilization pond, two dissolved air floatation unit (DAF), followed by chlorination then dechlorination with the design flow of 2.3 MGD.

<u>Effluent Characteristics</u>	<u>Discharge Limitations</u>			<u>Monitoring Requirements</u>	
	Mass (lbs/day, unless otherwise specified)	Concentration (mg/l, unless otherwise specified)		Frequency	Sample Type
		Monthly Avg.	Monthly Avg.		
Flow ¹	N/A	report	report	once/day	totalizing meter
Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (CBOD ₅)	192	10	15	three/week	6-hr composite
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	288	15	22.5	three/week	6-hr composite
Ammonia Nitrogen (NH ₃ -N)					
(April)	192	10	15	three/week	6-hr composite
(May-Oct)	38	2	3	three/week	6-hr composite
(Nov-March)	192	10	15	three/week	6-hr composite
Dissolved Oxygen ²					
(May-Oct)	N/A	5.0, (Monthly Avg. Min.)		three/week	grab
(Nov-Apr)	N/A	7.4, (Monthly Avg. Min.)		three/week	grab
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB)		(colonies/100ml)			
(Apr-Sept)	N/A	200	400	three/week	grab
(Oct-Mar)	N/A	1000	2000	three/week	grab
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) ³	N/A	<0.1 mg/l (Inst. Max.)		three/week	grab
pH	N/A	<u>Minimum</u> 6.0 s.u.	<u>Maximum</u> 9.0 s.u.	three/week	grab
Phosphorus, Total	report	report	report	three/week	grab
Nitrite + Nitrate Nitrogen (NO ₂ +NO ₃ -N)	report	report	report	three/week	grab
Cyanide, Total Recoverable ⁴	0.154	8.03 µg/l	16.12 µg/l	once/quarter	grab
Selenium, Total Recoverable ⁴	report	report	report	once/quarter	grab

<u>Effluent Characteristics</u>	<u>Discharge Limitations</u>		<u>Monitoring Requirements</u>	
Whole Effluent Toxicity⁷ (7-day NOEC) ⁶ 22414	<u>Daily Average</u> <u>Minimum</u> Not < 73%	<u>7-day Minimum</u> Not < 73%	once/quarter	24-hr composite
<u>Pimephales promelas (Chronic)⁵</u>	<u>7-day Average</u>			
Pass/Fail Lethality (7-day NOEC) TLP6C	Report (Pass=0/Fail=1)		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Pass/Fail Growth (7-day NOEC) TGP6C	Report (Pass=0/Fail=1)		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Survival (7-day NOEC) TOP6C	Report %		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Growth (7-day NOEC) TPP6C	Report %		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Coefficient of variation (growth) TQP6C	Report %		once/quarter	24-hr composite
<u>Ceriodaphnia dubia (Chronic)⁵</u>	<u>7-day Average</u>			
Pass/Fail Lethality (7-day NOEC) TLP3B	Report (Pass=0/Fail=1)		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Pass/Fail Reprod. (7-day NOEC) TGP3B	Report (Pass=0/Fail=1)		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Survival (7-day NOEC) TOP3B	Report %		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Reproduction(7-day NOEC) TPP3B	Report %		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Coefficient of variation (reproduction) TQP3B	Report %		once/quarter	24-hr composite

- 1 Report monthly average and daily maximum as MGD.
- 2 See item #27(a) of Part IV (Dissolved Oxygen).
- 3 See Condition No. 12 of Part II (TRC Condition).
- 4 See Condition No. 11 of Part II (Metals Condition).
- 5 See Condition No. 10 of Part II (WET Condition)
- 6 The NOEC (No Observed Effect Concentration) is defined as the greatest effluent dilution at and below which toxicity that is statistically different from the control (0% effluent) at the 95% confidence level does not occur. Chronic test failure is defined as a demonstration of a statistically significant lethal or sub-lethal effect at test completion to a test species at or below the critical dilution.
- 7 Whole Effluent Toxicity limit for lethal endpoints.

There shall be no discharge of distinctly visible solids, scum, or foam of a persistent nature, nor shall there be any formation of slime, bottom deposits, or sludge banks. There shall be no visible sheen due to the presence of oil (Sheen means an iridescent appearance on the surface of the water).

Samples taken in compliance with the monitoring requirements specified above shall be taken from the following location: at the end of pipe, but before the outfall and at the following monitoring coordinates: Latitude: 33° 55' 5.3"; Longitude: 93° 51' 35.45"

SECTION A. FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS: OUTFALL 001 - treated municipal wastewater.

During the period beginning three years from the effective date and lasting until the date of expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge from Outfall 001. Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below from a treatment system consisting of two aerated lagoons in parallel, a two-cell stabilization pond, two dissolved air floatation unit (DAF), followed by chlorination then dechlorination with the design flow of 2.3 MGD.

Effluent Characteristics	Discharge Limitations			Monitoring Requirements	
	Mass (lbs/day, unless otherwise specified)	Concentration (mg/l, unless otherwise specified)		Frequency	Sample Type
		Monthly Avg.	Monthly Avg.		
Flow ¹	N/A	report	report	once/day	totalizing meter
Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (CBOD5)	192	10	15	three/week	6-hr composite
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	288	15	22.5	three/week	6-hr composite
Ammonia Nitrogen (NH3-N)					
(April)	45	2.35	7.5	three/week	6-hr composite
(May-Oct)	38	2	3	three/week	6-hr composite
(Nov-March)	96	5	7.5	three/week	6-hr composite
Dissolved Oxygen ²					
(May-Oct)	N/A	5.0, (Monthly Avg. Min.)		three/week	grab
(Nov-Apr)	N/A	7.4, (Monthly Avg. Min.)		three/week	grab
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB)		(colonies/100ml)			
(Apr-Sept)	N/A	200	400	three/week	grab
(Oct-Mar)	N/A	1000	2000	three/week	grab
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) ³	N/A	<0.1 mg/l (Inst. Max.)		three/week	grab
pH	N/A	<u>Minimum</u> 6.0 s.u.	<u>Maximum</u> 9.0 s.u.	three/week	grab
Phosphorus, Total	report	report	report	three/week	grab
Nitrite + Nitrate Nitrogen (NO2+NO3-N)	report	report	report	three/week	grab
Cyanide, Total Recoverable ⁴	0.154	8.03 µg/l	16.12 µg/l	once/quarter	grab
Selenium, Total Recoverable ⁴	0.148	7.73 µg/l	15.5 µg/l	once/quarter	grab

<u>Effluent Characteristics</u>	<u>Discharge Limitations</u>		<u>Monitoring Requirements</u>	
Whole Effluent Toxicity⁷ (7-day NOEC) ⁶ 22414	<u>Daily Average</u> <u>Minimum</u> Not < 73%	<u>7-day Minimum</u> Not < 73%	once/quarter	24-hr composite
<u>Pimephales promelas (Chronic)⁵</u>	<u>7-day Average</u>			
Pass/Fail Lethality (7-day NOEC) TLP6C	Report (Pass=0/Fail=1)		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Pass/Fail Growth (7-day NOEC) TGP6C	Report (Pass=0/Fail=1)		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Survival (7-day NOEC) TOP6C	Report %		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Growth (7-day NOEC) TPP6C	Report %		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Coefficient of variation (growth) TQP6C	Report %		once/quarter	24-hr composite
<u>Ceriodaphnia dubia (Chronic)⁵</u>	<u>7-day Average</u>			
Pass/Fail Lethality (7-day NOEC) TLP3B	Report (Pass=0/Fail=1)		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Pass/Fail Reprod. (7-day NOEC) TGP3B	Report (Pass=0/Fail=1)		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Survival (7-day NOEC) TOP3B	Report %		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Reproduction(7-day NOEC) TPP3B	Report %		once/quarter	24-hr composite
Coefficient of variation (reproduction) TQP3B	Report %		once/quarter	24-hr composite

- 1 Report monthly average and daily maximum as MGD.
- 2 See item #27(a) of Part IV (Dissolved Oxygen).
- 3 See Condition No. 12 of Part II (TRC Condition).
- 4 See Condition No. 11 of Part II (Metals Condition).
- 5 See Condition No. 10 of Part II (WET Condition)
- 6 The NOEC (No Observed Effect Concentration) is defined as the greatest effluent dilution at and below which toxicity that is statistically different from the control (0% effluent) at the 95% confidence level does not occur. Chronic test failure is defined as a demonstration of a statistically significant lethal or sub-lethal effect at test completion to a test species at or below the critical dilution.
- 7 Whole Effluent Toxicity limits for lethal and sub-lethal endpoints.

There shall be no discharge of distinctly visible solids, scum, or foam of a persistent nature, nor shall there be any formation of slime, bottom deposits, or sludge banks. There shall be no visible sheen due to the presence of oil (Sheen means an iridescent appearance on the surface of the water).

Samples taken in compliance with the monitoring requirements specified above shall be taken from the following location: at the end of pipe, but before the outfall and at the following monitoring coordinates: Latitude: 33° 55' 5.3"; Longitude: 93° 51' 35.45"

SECTION B. PERMIT COMPLIANCE

The permittee shall achieve compliance with the effluent limitations specified for discharges in accordance with the following schedule:

1. Compliance with the interim limits is required on the effective date of the permit.
2. The permittee shall submit progress reports addressing the progress towards attaining the final effluent limits for Ammonia Nitrogen for the months of April and November-March and for year round Selenium according to the following schedule:

<u>ACTIVITY</u>	<u>DUE DATE</u>
Submit Progress Report	One (1) year from effective date
Submit Progress Report	Two (2) years from effective date
Achieve Final Limits	Three (3) years from effective date

3. Submit proposed Pretreatment Program modifications within 12 months of the permit effective date;
4. Submit certification/notification statement for technically based local limits (TBLL) within 60 days of the effective date;
OR: If the TBLLs are revised, submit a revised sewer use ordinance within 12 months of the effective date;
5. An annual Pretreatment Status Report is due during the month of February each year.
6. The permittee shall continue to conduct the Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) to address *C. dubia* toxicity observed in the effluent from Outfall 001.
7. The permittee shall continue to submit progress reports to the Technical Assistance Manger of the Water Quality Planning Section addressing the progress of the TRE and the progress towards attaining the final effluent limits for sub-lethal toxicity according to the following schedule as outlined in the TRE language in Part II:

<u>ACTIVITY</u>	<u>DUE DATE</u>
Quarterly Report	The last day of each January, April, July and October
Final Report	Twenty eight (28) months from confirming toxicity in the retests
Achieve Final Limits	Three (3) years from effective date

The permittee has the option to undertake any study deemed necessary to meet the final limitations during the interim period. Any additional treatment must be approved and construction approval granted prior to final installation.

**PART II
OTHER CONDITIONS**

1. The operator of this wastewater treatment facility shall be licensed as Class III by the State of Arkansas in accordance with Act 211 of 1971, Act 1103 of 1991, Act 556 of 1993, and APCEC Regulation No. 3, as amended.
2. For publicly owned treatment works, the 30-day average percent removal for Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (CBOD5) shall not be less than 85 percent unless otherwise authorized by the permitting authority in accordance with 40 CFR Part 133.102, as adopted by reference in APCEC Regulation No. 6.
3. Produced sludge shall be disposed of by land application only when meeting the following criteria:
 - a. Sewage sludge from treatment works treating domestic sewage (TWTDS) must meet the applicable provisions of 40 CFR Part 503; and
 - b. The sewage sludge has not been classified as a hazardous waste under state or federal regulations.
4. The permittee shall give at least 120 days prior notice to the Director of any change planned in the permittee's sludge disposal practice or land use applications, including types of crops grown (if applicable).
5. The permittee shall report all overflows with the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) submittal. These reports shall be summarized and reported in tabular format. The summaries shall include: the date, time, duration, location, estimated volume, and cause of overflow; observed environmental impacts from the overflow; action taken to address the overflow; and ultimate discharge location if not contained (e.g., storm sewer system, ditch, tributary). All overflows which endanger health or the environment shall be orally reported to this department (Enforcement Section of the Water Division), within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstance. A written report of overflows which endanger health or the environment, shall be provided within 5 days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstance.
6. In accordance with 40 CFR Parts 122.62 (a)(2) and 124.5, this permit may be reopened for modification or revocation and/or reissuance to require additional monitoring and/or effluent limitations when new information is received that actual or potential exceedance of State water quality criteria and/or narrative criteria are determined to be the result of the permittee's discharge(s) to a relevant water body or a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) is established or revised for the water body that was not available at the time of the permit issuance that would have justified the application of different permit conditions at the time of permit issuance.

7. Other Specified Monitoring Requirements

The permittee may use alternative appropriate monitoring methods and analytical instruments other than as specified in Part I Section A of the permit without a major permit modification under the following conditions:

- The monitoring and analytical instruments are consistent with accepted scientific practices;
- The requests shall be submitted in writing to the Permits Section of the Water Division of the ADEQ for use of the alternate method or instrument.
- The method and/or instrument is in compliance with 40 CFR Part 136 or acceptable to the Director; and
- All associated devices are installed, calibrated, and maintained to insure the accuracy of the measurements and are consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. The calibration and maintenance shall be performed as part of the permittee's laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance program.

Upon written approval of the alternative monitoring method and/or analytical instruments, these methods or instruments must be consistently utilized throughout the monitoring period. ADEQ must be notified in writing and the permittee must receive written approval from ADEQ if the permittee decides to return to the original permit monitoring requirements.

8. Contributing Industries and Pretreatment Requirements

a. The permittee shall operate an industrial pretreatment program in accordance with Section 402(b)(8) of the Clean Water Act, the General Pretreatment Regulations (40 CFR Part 403) and the approved POTW pretreatment program submitted by the permittee. The pretreatment program was approved on 04/12/1993. The Sewer Use Ordinance and the Pretreatment Program have not been modified to come into compliance with the current 40 CFR 403 regulations. The permittee shall submit all necessary proposed modifications to ADEQ within twelve (12) months of the effective date of this permit. The POTW pretreatment program is hereby incorporated by reference and shall be implemented in a manner consistent with the following requirements:

- (1) Industrial user information shall be updated at a frequency adequate to ensure that all IUs are properly characterized at all times;
- (2) The frequency and nature of industrial user compliance monitoring activities by the permittee shall be commensurate with the character, consistency and volume of waste. The permittee must inspect and sample the effluent from each Significant Industrial User in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(v). This is in addition to any industrial self-monitoring activities;
- (3) The permittee shall enforce and obtain remedies for noncompliance by any industrial users with applicable pretreatment standards and requirements;

- (4) The permittee shall control through permit, order, or similar means, the contribution to the POTW by each Industrial User to ensure compliance with applicable Pretreatment Standards and Requirements. In the case of Industrial Users identified as significant under 40 CFR 403.3 (v), this control shall be achieved through individual or general control mechanisms, in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(1)(iii). Both individual and general control mechanisms must be enforceable and contain, at a minimum, the following conditions:
 - (a) Statement of duration (in no case more than five years);
 - (b) Statement of non-transferability without, at a minimum, prior notification to the POTW and provision of a copy of the existing control mechanism to the new owner or operator;
 - (c) Effluent limits, including Best Management Practices, based on applicable general Pretreatment Standards, categorical Pretreatment Standards, local limits, and State and local law;
 - (d) Self-monitoring, sampling, reporting, notification and recordkeeping requirements, including an identification of the pollutants to be monitored (including the process for seeking a waiver for a pollutant neither present nor expected to be present in the Discharge in accordance with § 403.12(e)(2), or a specific waiver for a pollutant in the case of an individual control mechanism), sampling location, sampling frequency, and sample type, based on the applicable general Pretreatment Standards in 40 CFR 403, categorical Pretreatment Standards, local limits, and State and local law;
 - (e) Statement of applicable civil and criminal penalties for violation of Pretreatment Standards and requirements, and any applicable compliance schedule. Such schedules may not extend the compliance date beyond federal deadlines; and
 - (f) Requirements to control slug discharges, if determined by the POTW to be necessary.
 - (5) The permittee shall evaluate, whether each Significant Industrial User needs a plan or other action to control slug discharges, in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(vi);
 - (6) The permittee shall provide adequate staff, equipment, and support capabilities to carry out all elements of the pretreatment program; and
 - (7) The approved program shall not be modified by the permittee without the prior approval of ADEQ.
- b. The permittee shall establish and enforce specific limits to implement the provisions of 40 CFR Parts 403.5(a) and (b), as required by 40 CFR Part 403.5(c). POTWs may develop Best Management Practices (BMPs) to implement paragraphs 40 CFR 403.5 (c)(1) and (c)(2). Such BMPs shall be considered local limits and Pretreatment Standards. Each POTW with an approved pretreatment program shall continue to develop these limits as necessary and effectively enforce such limits.

The permittee shall submit, within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this permit, (1) a **WRITTEN CERTIFICATION** that a technical evaluation has demonstrated that the

existing technically based local limits (TBLL) are based on current state water quality standards and are adequate to prevent pass through of pollutants, inhibition of or interference with the treatment facility, worker health and safety problems, and sludge contamination, **OR** (2) a **WRITTEN NOTIFICATION** that a technical evaluation revising the current TBLL and a draft sewer use ordinance which incorporates such revisions will be submitted within 12 months of the effective date of this permit.

All specific prohibitions or limits developed under this requirement are deemed to be conditions of this permit. The specific prohibitions set out in 40 CFR Part 403.5(b) shall be enforced by the permittee unless modified under this provision.

- c. The permittee shall analyze the treatment facility influent and effluent for the presence of the toxic pollutants listed in 40 CFR 122 Appendix D (NPDES Application Testing Requirements) Table II at least once/year and the toxic pollutants in Table III at least 4 times/year (quarterly). If, based upon information available to the permittee, there is reason to suspect the presence of any toxic or hazardous pollutant listed in Table V, or any other pollutant, known or suspected to adversely affect treatment plant operation, receiving water quality, or solids disposal procedures, analysis for those pollutants shall be performed at least 4 times/year (quarterly) on both the influent and the effluent. The influent and effluent samples collected shall be composite samples consisting of at least 12 aliquots collected at approximately equal intervals over a representative 24 hour period and composited according to flow. Sampling and analytical procedures shall be in accordance with guidelines established in 40 CFR 136. Where composite samples are inappropriate, due to sampling, holding time, or analytical constraints, at least 4 grab samples, taken at equal intervals over a representative 24 hour period, shall be taken.
- d. The permittee shall prepare annually a list of Industrial Users which during the preceding twelve months were in significant noncompliance with applicable pretreatment requirements. For the purposes of this Part, significant noncompliance shall be determined based upon the more stringent of either criteria established at 40 CFR Part 403.8(f)(2)(viii) [rev. 10/14/05] or criteria established in the approved POTW pretreatment program. This list is to be published annually in the newspaper of general circulation that provides meaningful public notice within the jurisdiction(s) served by the POTW during the month of February.

In addition, during the month of February the permittee shall submit an updated pretreatment program status report to the ADEQ containing the following information:

- (1) An updated list of all significant industrial users and identify which Industrial Users are Non-Significant Categorical Industrial Users (NSCIUs) or Middle Tier CIUs. The list must also identify:
- (a) Industrial Users subject to categorical Pretreatment Standards that are subject to reduced monitoring and reporting requirements under 40 CFR 403.12(e)(2) & (3),
 - (b) Industrial Users subject to the following categorical Pretreatment Standards [Organic Chemicals, Plastics, and Synthetic Fibers (OCPSF) (40 CFR Part 414),

Petroleum Refining (40 CFR Part 419), and Pesticide Chemicals (40 CFR Part 455)] and for which the Control Authority has chosen to use the concentration-based standards rather than converting them to flow-based mass standards as allowed at 40 CFR 403.6(c)(6).

- (c) Categorical Industrial Users subject to concentration-based standards for which the Control Authority has chosen to convert the concentration-based standards to equivalent mass limits, as allowed at 40 CFR 403.6(c)(5).
- (d) General Control Mechanisms used for similar groups of SIUs along with the substantially similar types of operations and the types of wastes that are the same, for each separate General Control Mechanism, as allowed at 40 CFR 403.8(f)(1)(iii).
- (e) Best Management Practices or Pollution Prevention alternatives required by a categorical Pretreatment Standard or as a local limit requirement that are implemented and documentation to demonstrate compliance, as required at 40 CFR 403 (b), (e) and (h).

For each industrial user listed the following information shall be included:

- (i) Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) and NAICS code and categorical determination;
- (ii) Control document status. Whether the user has an effective control document, and the date such document was last issued, reissued, or modified, (indicate which industrial users were added to the system (or newly identified) within the previous 12 months);
- (iii) A summary of all monitoring activities performed within the previous 12 months. The following information shall be reported:
 - * total number of inspections performed;
 - * total number of sampling visits made;
- (iv) Status of compliance with both effluent limitations and reporting requirements. Compliance status shall be defined as follows:
 - * Compliant (C) - no violations during the previous 12 month period;
 - * Non-compliant (NC) - one or more violations during the previous 12 months but does not meet the criteria for significantly noncompliant industrial users;
 - * Significant Noncompliance (SNC) - in accordance with requirements described in d. above; and
- (v) For significantly noncompliant industrial users, indicate the nature of the violations, the type and number of actions taken (notice of violation, administrative order, criminal or civil suit, fines or penalties collected, etc.) and current compliance status. If ANY industrial user was on a schedule to

attain compliance with effluent limits, indicate the date the schedule was issued and the date compliance is to be attained;

- (2) A list of all significant industrial users whose authorization to discharge was terminated or revoked during the preceding 12 month period and the reason for termination;
- (3) A report on any interference, pass through, upset or POTW permit violations known or suspected to be caused by industrial contributors and actions taken by the permittee in response;
- (4) The results of all influent and effluent analyses performed pursuant to paragraph (c) above;
- (5) A copy of the newspaper publication of the significantly noncompliant industrial users giving the name of the newspaper and the date published;
- (6) The information requested may be submitted in tabular form as per the example tables provided for your convenience (See Attachment A, B and C); and
- (7) The monthly average water quality based effluent concentration necessary to meet the state water quality standards as developed in the approved technically based local limits.

e. The permittee shall provide adequate notice of the following:

- (1) Any new introduction of pollutants into the treatment works from an indirect discharger which would be subject to Sections 301 and 306 of the Act if it were directly discharging those pollutants; and
- (2) Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into the treatment works by a source introducing pollutants into the treatment works at the time of issuance of the permit.

Adequate notice shall include information on (i) the quality and quantity of effluent to be introduced into the treatment works, and (ii) any anticipated impact of the change on the quality or quantity of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

9. Sludge from the two DAF Units is returned to the existing 42 Acre Lagoon. Sludge is recirculated in the plant operation.

10. Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Requirements (WET Limits, 7-Day Chronic, Freshwater)

a. Scope And Methodology

- (1) The permittee shall test the effluent for toxicity in accordance with the provisions in this section.

APPLICABLE TO OUTFALL(S):	001
REPORTED ON DMR AS OUTFALL:	001
CRITICAL DILUTION:	73%
EFFLUENT DILUTION SERIES:	31%, 41%, 55%, 73%, and 97%
LETHAL LIMIT	73%
SUB-LETHAL LIMIT	73%
SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE: SUB-LETHAL	YES
TEST SPECIES/METHODS:	40 CFR Part 136

Ceriodaphnia dubia chronic static renewal survival and reproduction test, Method 1002.0, EPA/600/4-91/002 or the most recent update thereof. This test should be terminated when 60% of the surviving adults in the control produce three broods.

Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow) chronic static renewal 7-day larval survival and growth test, Method 1000.0, EPA/600/4-91/002, or the most recent update thereof. A minimum of five (5) replicates with eight (8) organisms per replicate must be used in the control and in each effluent dilution of this test.

- (2) The NOEC (No Observed Effect Concentration) is herein defined as the greatest effluent dilution at and below which toxicity (lethal or sub-lethal) that is statistically different from the control (0% effluent) at the 95% confidence level does not occur. Chronic lethal test failure is defined as a demonstration of a statistically significant lethal effect at test completion to a test species at or below the critical dilution. Chronic sub-lethal test failure is defined as a demonstration of a statistically significant sub-lethal effect (i.e., growth or reproduction) at test completion to a test species at or below the critical dilution.
- (3) The conditions of this item are effective beginning with the effective date of the WET limit. When the testing frequency stated above is less than monthly and the effluent fails the lethal or sub-lethal endpoint at or below the critical dilution, the permittee shall be considered in violation of this permit limit and the frequency for the affected species will increase to monthly until such time compliance with the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) effluent limitation is demonstrated for a period of three consecutive months, at which time the permittee may return to the testing frequency stated in PART I of this permit. During the period the permittee is out of compliance, test results shall be reported on the DMR for that reporting period. The purpose of

additional tests (also referred to as 'retests' or confirmation tests) is to determine the duration of a toxic event. A test that meets all test acceptability criteria and demonstrates significant toxic effects does not need additional confirmation. Such testing cannot confirm or disprove a previous test result.

- (4) This permit may be reopened to require chemical specific effluent limits, additional testing, and/or other appropriate actions to address toxicity.

b. REQUIRED TOXICITY TESTING CONDITIONS

(1) Test Acceptance

The permittee shall repeat a test, including the control and all effluent dilutions, if the procedures and quality assurance requirements defined in the test methods or in this permit are not satisfied, including the following additional criteria:

- (a) The toxicity test control (0% effluent) must have survival equal to or greater than 80%.
- (b) The mean number of Ceriodaphnia dubia neonates produced per surviving female in the control (0% effluent) must be 15 or more.
- (c) 60% of the surviving control females must produce three broods.
- (d) The mean dry weight of surviving Fathead minnow larvae at the end of the 7 days in the control (0% effluent) must be 0.25 mg per larva or greater.
- (e) The percent coefficient of variation between replicates shall be 40% or less in the control (0% effluent) for: the young of surviving females in the Ceriodaphnia dubia reproduction test, the growth and survival of the Fathead minnow test.
- (f) The percent coefficient of variation between replicates shall be 40% or less in the critical dilution, unless significant lethal or sub-lethal effects are exhibited for: the young of surviving females in the Ceriodaphnia dubia reproduction test; the growth and survival endpoints in the Fathead minnow test.
- (g) If a test passes, yet the percent coefficient of variation between replicates is greater than 40% in the control and/or in the critical dilution (0% effluent) for: the young of surviving females in the Ceriodaphnia dubia reproduction test; the growth and survival endpoints of the Fathead minnow test, the test is determined to be invalid. A repeat test shall be conducted within the required reporting period of any test determined to be invalid.
- (h) If a test fails, test failure may not be construed or reported as invalid due to a coefficient of variation value of greater than 40%.

- (i) A Percent Minimum Significant Difference (PMSD) range of 13 - 47 for Ceriodaphnia dubia reproduction;
- (j) A PMSD range of 12 - 30 for Fathead minnow growth.

(2) Statistical Interpretation

- (a) For the Ceriodaphnia dubia survival test, the statistical analyses used to determine if there is a significant difference between the control and the critical dilution shall be Fisher's Exact Test as described in EPA-821-R-02-013 or the most recent update thereof.
- (b) For the Ceriodaphnia dubia reproduction test and the Fathead minnow larval survival and growth test, the statistical analyses used to determine if there is a significant difference between the control and the critical dilution shall be in accordance with the methods for determining the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) as described in EPA-821-R-02-013, or the most recent update thereof.
- (c) If the conditions of Test Acceptability are met in Item B.1 above and the percent survival of the test organism is equal to or greater than 80% in the critical dilution concentration and all lower dilution concentrations, the test shall be considered to be a passing test, and the permittee shall report a survival NOEC of not less than the critical dilution for the DMR reporting requirements found in Item C below.

(3) Dilution Water

- (a) Dilution water used in the toxicity tests will be receiving water collected as close to the point of discharge as possible but unaffected by the discharge. The permittee shall substitute synthetic dilution water of similar pH, hardness, and alkalinity to the closest downstream perennial water where the receiving stream is classified as intermittent or where the receiving stream has no flow due to zero flow conditions.
- (b) If the receiving water is unsatisfactory as a result of instream toxicity (fails to fulfill the test acceptance criteria of Item B.1, the permittee may substitute synthetic dilution water for the receiving water in all subsequent tests provided the unacceptable receiving water test met the following stipulations:
 - (i) a synthetic dilution water control which fulfills the test acceptance requirements of Item B.1 was run concurrently with the receiving water control;
 - (ii) the test indicating receiving water toxicity has been carried out to completion (i.e., 7 days);

(iii)the permittee includes all test results indicating receiving water toxicity with the full report and information required by Item C.1 below; and

(iv)the synthetic dilution water shall have a pH, hardness, and alkalinity similar to that of the receiving water or closest downstream perennial water not adversely affected by the discharge, provided the magnitude of these parameters will not cause toxicity in the synthetic dilution water.

(4) Samples and Composites

- (a) The permittee shall collect a minimum of three flow-weighted composite samples from the outfall(s) listed at Item A.1 above. Unless otherwise stated in this section, a composite sample for WET shall consist of 12 subsamples gathered at equal time intervals during a 24-hour period.
- (b) The permittee must collect all three flow-weighted composite samples within the monitoring period. The permittee shall collect second and third composite samples for use during 24-hour renewals of each dilution concentration for each test. The permittee must collect the composite samples such that the effluent samples are representative of any periodic episode of chlorination, biocide usage or other potentially toxic substance discharged on a regular or intermittent basis.
- (c) The permittee must collect the composite samples so that the maximum holding time for any effluent sample shall not exceed 72 hours. The permittee must have initiated the toxicity test within 36 hours after the collection of the last portion of the first composite sample. Samples shall be chilled to 6 degrees Centigrade during collection, shipping, and/or storage.
- (d) If the flow from the outfall(s) being tested ceases during the collection of effluent samples, the requirements for the minimum number of effluent samples, the minimum number of effluent portions and the sample holding time are waived during that sampling period. However, the permittee must have collected an effluent composite sample volume during the period of discharge that is sufficient to complete the required toxicity tests with daily renewal of effluent. When possible, the effluent samples used for the toxicity tests shall be collected on separate days if the discharge occurs over multiple days. The effluent composite sample collection duration and the static renewal protocol associated with the abbreviated sample collection must be documented in the full report required in Item C of this section
- (e) MULTIPLE OUTFALLS: If the provisions of this section are applicable to multiple outfalls, the permittee shall combine the composite effluent samples in proportion to the average flow from the outfalls listed in Item A.1 above for the day the sample was collected. The permittee shall perform the toxicity test on the flow-weighted composite of the outfall samples.

- (f) The permittee shall not allow the sample to be dechlorinated at the laboratory. At the time of sample collection the permittee shall measure the TRC of the effluent. The measured concentration of TRC for each sample shall be included in the lab report submitted by the permittee.

c. REPORTING

- (1) The permittee shall prepare a full report of the results of all tests conducted pursuant to this section in accordance with the Report Preparation Section of EPA-821-R-02-013, or the most current publication, for every valid or invalid toxicity test initiated whether carried to completion or not. The permittee shall retain each full report pursuant to the provisions of PART III.C.3 of this permit. The permittee shall submit full reports. For any test which fails, is considered invalid or which is terminated early for any reason, the full report must be submitted for agency review.
- (2) The permittee shall report the Whole Effluent Toxicity values for the 30-Day Average Minimum and the 7-Day Minimum under Parameter No. 22414 on the DMR for that reporting period in accordance with PART III.D.4 of this permit.

If more than one valid test for a species was performed during the reporting period, the test NOECs will be averaged arithmetically and reported as the DAILY AVERAGE MINIMUM NOEC for that reporting period.

If more than one species is tested during the reporting period, the permittee shall report the lowest 30-Day Average Minimum NOEC and the lowest 7-Day Minimum NOEC for Whole Effluent Toxicity.

A valid test for each species must be reported on the DMR during each reporting period specified in PART I of this permit. Only ONE set of WET test data for each species is to be recorded on the DMR for each reporting period. The data submitted should reflect the LOWEST lethal and sub-lethal effects results for each species during the reporting period. The full reports for all invalid tests, repeat tests (for invalid tests), and retests (for tests previously failed) performed during the reporting period must be attached to the DMR for Agency review.

- (3) The permittee shall submit the results of the valid toxicity test on the DMR for that reporting period in accordance with PART III.D.4 of this permit, as follows below. Submit retest information clearly marked as such with the following month's DMR. Only results of valid tests are to be reported on the DMR.

(a) Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow)

- (i) If the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) for survival is less than the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0" for Parameter No. TLP6C

- (ii) Report the NOEC value for survival, Parameter No. TOP6C
- (iii) Report the Lowest Observed Effect Concentration (LOEC) value for survival, Parameter No. TXP6C
- (iv) Report the NOEC value for growth, Parameter No. TPP6C
- (v) Report the LOEC value for growth, Parameter No. TYP6C
- (vi) If the NOEC for growth is less than the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0" for Parameter No. TGP6C
- (vii) Report the highest (critical dilution or control) Coefficient of Variation for growth, Parameter No. TQP6C

(b) Ceriodaphnia dubia

- (i) If the NOEC for survival is less than the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0" for Parameter No. TLP3B
- (ii) Report the NOEC value for survival, Parameter No. TOP3B
- (iii) Report the LOEC value for survival, Parameter No. TXP3B
- (iv) Report the NOEC value for reproduction, Parameter No. TPP3B
- (v) Report the LOEC value for reproduction, Parameter No. TYP3B
- (vi) If the NOEC for reproduction is less than the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0" for Parameter No. TGP3B
- (vii) Report the higher (critical dilution or control) Coefficient of Variation for reproduction, Parameter No. TQP3B

d. TOXICITY REDUCTION EVALUATIONS (TREs)

TREs for lethal and sub-lethal effects are performed in a very similar manner. EPA Region 6 is currently addressing TREs as follows: a sub-lethal TRE (TRE_{SL}) is triggered based on three sub-lethal test failures while a lethal effects TRE (TRE_L) is triggered based on only two test failures for lethality. In addition, EPA Region 6 will consider the magnitude of toxicity and use flexibility when considering a TRE_{SL} where there are no effects at effluent dilutions of less than 76% effluent.

- (1) Within ninety (90) days of confirming persistent toxicity, the permittee shall submit a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Action Plan and Schedule for conducting a TRE. The TRE Action Plan shall specify the approach and methodology to be used

in performing the TRE. A Toxicity Reduction Evaluation is an investigation intended to determine those actions necessary to achieve compliance with water quality-based effluent limits by reducing an effluent's toxicity to an acceptable level. A TRE is defined as a step-wise process which combines toxicity testing and analyses of the physical and chemical characteristics of a toxic effluent to identify the constituents causing effluent toxicity and/or treatment methods which will reduce the effluent toxicity. The goal of the TRE is to maximally reduce the toxic effects of effluent at the critical dilution and includes the following:

(a) Specific Activities

The plan shall detail the specific approach the permittee intends to utilize in conducting the TRE. The approach may include toxicity characterizations, identifications and confirmation activities, source evaluation, treatability studies, or alternative approaches. When the permittee conducts Toxicity Characterization Procedures the permittee shall perform multiple characterizations and follow the procedures specified in the documents 'Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations: Phase I Toxicity Characterization Procedures' (EPA-600/6-91/003) and 'Toxicity Identification Evaluation: Characterization of Chronically Toxic Effluents, Phase I' (EPA-600/6-91/005F), or alternate procedures. When the permittee conducts Toxicity Identification Evaluations and Confirmations, the permittee shall perform multiple identifications and follow the methods specified in the documents 'Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase II Toxicity Identification Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity' (EPA/600/R-92/080) and 'Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase III Toxicity Confirmation Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity' (EPA/600/R-92/081), as appropriate.

The documents referenced above may be obtained through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) by phone at (703) 487-4650, or by writing:

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161

- (b) Sampling Plan (e.g., locations, methods, holding times, chain of custody, preservation, etc.). The effluent sample volume collected for all tests shall be adequate to perform the toxicity test, toxicity characterization, identification and confirmation procedures, and conduct chemical specific analyses when a probable toxicant has been identified;

Where the permittee has identified or suspects specific pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity, the permittee shall conduct, concurrent with toxicity testing, chemical specific analyses for the identified and/or suspected pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity. Where lethality was demonstrated within 48

hours of test initiation, each composite sample shall be analyzed independently. Otherwise the permittee may substitute a composite sample, comprised of equal portions of the individual composite samples, for the chemical specific analysis;

- (c) Quality Assurance Plan (e.g., QA/QC implementation, corrective actions, etc.);
and
 - (d) Project Organization (e.g., project staff, project manager, consulting services, etc.).
- (2) The permittee shall initiate the TRE Action Plan within thirty (30) days of plan and schedule submittal. The permittee shall assume all risks for failure to achieve the required toxicity reduction.
- (3) The permittee shall submit a quarterly TRE Activities Report, with the Discharge Monitoring Report in the months of January, April, July and October, containing information on toxicity reduction evaluation activities including:
- (a) any data and/or substantiating documentation which identifies the pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity;
 - (b) any studies/evaluations and results on the treatability of the facility's effluent toxicity; and
 - (c) any data which identifies effluent toxicity control mechanisms that will reduce effluent toxicity to the level necessary to meet no significant toxicity at the critical dilution.

A copy of the TRE Activities Report shall also be submitted to the state agency.

- (4) The permittee shall submit a Final Report on Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Activities no later than twenty-eight (28) months from confirming toxicity in the retests, which provides information pertaining to the specific control mechanism selected that will, when implemented, result in reduction of effluent toxicity to no significant toxicity at the critical dilution. The report will also provide a specific corrective action schedule for implementing the selected control mechanism.

A copy of the Final Report on Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Activities shall also be submitted to the state agency.

- (5) Quarterly testing during the TRE is a minimum monitoring requirement. EPA recommends that permittees required to perform a TRE not rely on quarterly testing alone to ensure success in the TRE, and that additional screening tests be performed to capture toxic samples for identification of toxicants. Failure to identify the specific chemical compound causing toxicity test failure will normally result in a permit limit for whole effluent toxicity limits per federal regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(v).

e. TOXICITY RE-OPENER

- (1) If the TRE has led to the successful elimination of effluent toxicity at the critical dilution, the sub-lethal WET final effluent limits may be replaced by monitoring and reporting only requirement through a minor modification. Otherwise, the permittee must comply with the final sub-lethal WET effluent limits
- (2) If the TRE has not led to the successful elimination of effluent toxicity at the critical dilution, but has indicated a causal parameter, the sub-lethal WET final effluent limit may be replaced by monitoring and reporting only requirement by a minor modification of the permit, with the addition of a limit for the causal constituent.

f. MONITORING FREQUENCY REDUCTION

This section does not apply to any species for which the permit establishes whole effluent toxicity (WET) limits. For the first five years after the effective date of a WET limit, the minimum monitoring frequency for the affected species is once per quarter.

- (1) The permittee may apply for a testing frequency reduction upon the successful completion of the first four consecutive quarters of testing for a test species, with no lethal or sub-lethal effects demonstrated at or below the critical dilution. If granted, the monitoring frequency for that test species may be reduced to not less than once per year for the less sensitive species (usually the Fathead minnow) and not less than twice per year for the more sensitive test species (usually the Ceriodaphnia dubia).
- (2) CERTIFICATION - The permittee must certify in writing that no test failures have occurred and that all tests meet all test acceptability criteria in item B.1 above. In addition the permittee must provide a list with each test performed including test initiation date, species, NOECs for lethal and sub-lethal effects and the maximum coefficient of variation for the controls. Upon review and acceptance of this information the agency will issue a letter of confirmation of the monitoring frequency reduction. A copy of the letter will be forwarded to the agency's Permit Compliance System section to update the permit reporting requirements.
- (3) SUB-LETHAL OR SURVIVAL FAILURES - If any test fails the survival or sub-lethal endpoint at any time during the life of this permit, three monthly retests are required and the monitoring frequency for the affected test species shall be increased to once per quarter until the permit is re-issued. Monthly retesting is not required if the permittee is performing a TRE.
- (4) This monitoring frequency reduction applies only until the expiration date of this permit, at which time the monitoring frequency for both test species reverts to once per quarter until the permit is re-issued.

Any monitoring frequency reduction granted applies only until the expiration date of this permit, at which time the monitoring frequency for both test species reverts to once per quarter until the permit is re-issued.

11. The permittee may use any EPA approved method based on 40 CFR Part 136 provided the MQL for the chosen method is equal to or less than what has been specified in chart below:

Pollutant	MQL ($\mu\text{g/l}$)
Cyanide	10
Selenium, Total	5

The permittee may develop a matrix specific method detection limit (MDL) in accordance with Appendix B of 40 CFR Part 136. For any pollutant for which the permittee determines a site specific MDL, the permittee shall send to ADEQ, NPDES Permits Branch, a report containing QA/QC documentation, analytical results, and calculations necessary to demonstrate that a site specific MDL was correctly calculated. A site specific minimum quantification level (MQL) shall be determined in accordance with the following calculation:

$$\text{MQL} = 3.3 \times \text{MDL}$$

Upon written approval by the NPDES Permits Branch, the site specific MQL may be utilized by the permittee for all future Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) calculations and reporting requirements.

12. If TRC test results are less than Detection Level Achieved (DL), a value of zero (0) may be used for the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) calculations and reporting requirements.

Total residual chlorine (TRC) in the effluent composite sample shall be measured and reported both at the time of sample termination and at the time of toxicity test initiation. The permittee shall ensure that the effluent composite used in toxicity testing is representative of normal facility residual chlorine discharge concentration.

13. The permittee may request, in writing, the removal of the effluent permit limit for Selenium during the one (1) year Compliance Schedule if four (4) quarterly samples confirm that the concentration of Selenium is below the level of concern, i.e., 5.0 $\mu\text{g/l}$.

PART III STANDARD CONDITIONS

SECTION A – GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. Duty to Comply

The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the federal Clean Water Act and the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; and/or for denial of a permit renewal application. **Any values reported in the required Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) which are in excess of an effluent limitation specified in Part I shall constitute evidence of violation of such effluent limitation and of this permit.**

2. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions

The Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act provides that any person who violates any provisions of a permit issued under the Act shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to imprisonment for not more than one (1) year, or a fine of not more than twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) or by both such fine and imprisonment for each day of such violation. Any person who violates any provision of a permit issued under the Act may also be subject to civil penalty in such amount as the court shall find appropriate, not to exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each day of such violation. The fact that any such violation may constitute a misdemeanor shall not be a bar to the maintenance of such civil action.

3. Permit Actions

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause including, but not limited to the following:

- a. Violation of any terms or conditions of this permit; or
- b. Obtaining this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully all relevant facts; or
- c. A change in any conditions that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; or
- d. A determination that the permitted activity endangers human health or the environment and can only be regulated to acceptable levels by permit modification or termination.
- e. Failure of the permittee to comply with the provisions of APCEC Regulation No. 9 (Permit fees) as required by Part III.A.10. herein.

The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any permit condition.

4. Toxic Pollutants

Notwithstanding Part III.A.3., if any toxic effluent standard or prohibition (including any schedule of compliance specified in such effluent standard or prohibition) is promulgated under APCEC Regulation No. 2, as amended, or Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for a toxic pollutant which is present in the discharge and that standard or prohibition is more stringent than any limitations on the pollutant in this permit, this permit shall be modified or revoked and reissued to conform to the toxic effluent standards or prohibition and the permittee so notified.

The permittee shall comply with effluent standards, narrative criteria, or prohibitions established under APCEC Regulation No. 2, as amended, or Section 307 (a) of the Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants within the time provided in the regulations that establish those standards or prohibitions, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

5. Civil and Criminal Liability

Except as provided in permit conditions on “Bypassing” (Part III.B.4.a.), and “Upsets” (Part III.B.5.b), nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the permittee from civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance. Any false or materially misleading representation or concealment of information required to be reported by the provisions of this permit or applicable state and federal statutes or regulations which defeats the regulatory purposes of the permit may subject the permittee to criminal enforcement pursuant to the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Act 472 of 1949, as amended).

6. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject to under Section 311 of the Clean Water Act.

7. State Laws

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable State law or regulation under authority preserved by Section 510 of the Clean Water Act.

8. Property Rights

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of Federal, State, or local laws or regulations.

9. Severability

The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit, or the application of any provisions of this permit to any circumstance is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

10. Permit Fees

The permittee shall comply with all applicable permit fee requirements for wastewater discharge permits as described in APCEC Regulation No. 9 (Regulation for the Fee System for Environmental Permits). Failure to promptly remit all required fees shall be grounds for the Director to initiate action to terminate this permit under the provisions of 40 CFR Parts 122.64 and 124.5 (d), as adopted in APCEC Regulation No. 6 and the provisions of APCEC Regulation No. 8.

SECTION B – OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF POLLUTION CONTROLS

1. Proper Operation and Maintenance

- a. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.
- b. The permittee shall provide an adequate operating staff which is duly qualified to carryout operation, maintenance, and testing functions required to insure compliance with the conditions of this permit.

2. Need to Halt or Reduce not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit. Upon reduction, loss, or failure of the treatment facility, the permittee shall, to the extent necessary to maintain compliance with its permit, control production or discharges or both until the facility is restored or an alternative method of treatment is provided. This requirement applies, for example, when the primary source of power for the treatment facility is reduced, is lost, or alternate power supply fails.

3. Duty to Mitigate

The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment or the water receiving the discharge.

4. Bypass of Treatment Facilities

a. Bypass not exceeding limitation

The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of Parts II.B.4.b. and 4.c.

b. Notice

(1) Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least ten days before the date of the bypass.

(2) Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Part III.D.6. (24-hour notice).

c. Prohibition of bypass

(1) Bypass is prohibited and the Director may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:

(a) Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;

(b) There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if the permittee could have installed adequate backup equipment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal or preventive maintenance; and

(c) The permittee submitted notices as required by Part III.B.4.b.

(2) The Director may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Director determines that it will meet the three conditions listed above in Part III.B.4.c.(1).

5. Upset Conditions

a. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of Part III.B.5.b. of this section are met. No determination made during administrative

- review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
- b. Conditions necessary for demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
- (1) An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the specific cause(s) of the upset;
 - (2) The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated.
 - (3) The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required by Part III.D.6.; and
 - (4) The permittee complied with any remedial measures required by Part III.B.3.
- c. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

6. Removed Substances

Solids, sludges, filter backwash, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment or control of waste waters shall be disposed of in a manner such as to prevent any pollutant from such materials from entering the waters of the State. Written approval must be obtained from the ADEQ for land application only.

7. Power Failure

The permittee is responsible for maintaining adequate safeguards to prevent the discharge of untreated or inadequately treated wastes during electrical power failure either by means of alternate power sources, standby generators, or retention of inadequately treated effluent.

SECTION C – MONITORING AND RECORDS

1. Representative Sampling

Samples and measurements taken as required herein shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge during the entire monitoring period. All samples shall be taken at the monitoring points specified in this permit and, unless otherwise specified, before the effluent joins or is diluted by any other waste stream, body of water, or substance. Monitoring points shall not be changed without notification to and the approval of the Director. Intermittent discharges shall be monitored.

2. Flow Measurement

Appropriate flow measurement devices and methods consistent with accepted scientific practices shall be selected and used to insure the accuracy and reliability of measurements of the volume of monitored discharges. The devices shall be installed, calibrated, and maintained to insure the accuracy of the measurements are consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. Devices selected shall be capable of measuring flows with a

maximum deviation of less than +/- 10% from true discharge rates throughout the range of expected discharge volumes and shall be installed at the monitoring point of the discharge.

3. Monitoring Procedures

Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit. The permittee shall calibrate and perform maintenance procedures on all monitoring and analytical instrumentation at intervals frequent enough to insure accuracy of measurements and shall insure that both calibration and maintenance activities will be conducted. An adequate analytical quality control program, including the analysis of sufficient standards, spikes, and duplicate samples to insure the accuracy of all required analytical results shall be maintained by the permittee or designated commercial laboratory. At a minimum, spikes and duplicate samples are to be analyzed on 10% of the samples.

4. Penalties for Tampering

The Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate, any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under the Act shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to imprisonment for not more than one (1) year or a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) or by both such fine and imprisonment.

5. Reporting of Monitoring Results

Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form (EPA No. 3320-1). Permittees are required to use preprinted DMR forms provided by ADEQ, unless specific written authorization to use other reporting forms is obtained from ADEQ. Monitoring results obtained during the previous calendar month shall be summarized and reported on a DMR form postmarked no later than the 25th day of the month following the completed reporting period to begin on the effective date of the permit. Duplicate copies of DMR forms signed and certified as required by Part III.D.11. and all other reports required by Part III.D., shall be submitted to the Director at the following address:

Permits Enforcement Branch
Water Division
Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
5301 Northshore Drive
North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

If permittee uses outside laboratory facilities for sampling and/or analysis, the name and address of the contract laboratory shall be included on the DMR.

6. Additional Monitoring by the Permittee

If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this permit, using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136 or as specified in this permit, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR. Such increased frequency shall also be indicated on the DMR.

7. Retention of Records

The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit for a period of at least 3 years from the date of the sample, measurement, report, or application. This period may be extended by request of the Director at any time.

8. Record Contents

Records and monitoring information shall include:

- a. The date, exact place, time and methods of sampling or measurements, and preservatives used, if any;
- b. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
- c. The date(s) and time analyses were performed;
- d. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
- e. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
- f. The measurements and results of such analyses.

9. Inspection and Entry

The permittee shall allow the Director, or an authorized representative, upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

- a. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- b. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- c. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit, and
- d. Sample, inspect, or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Clean Water Act, any substances or parameters at any location.

SECTION D – REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. Planned Changes

The permittee shall give notice and provide plans and specification to the Director for review and approval prior to any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. Notice is required only when:

Any change in the facility discharge (including the introduction of any new source or significant discharge or significant changes in the quantity or quality of existing discharges of pollutants) must be reported to the permitting authority. In no case are any new connections, increased flows, or significant changes in influent quality permitted that cause violation of the effluent limitations specified herein.

2. Anticipated Noncompliance

The permittee shall give advance notice to the Director of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.

3. Transfers

The permit is nontransferable to any person except after notice to the Director. The Director may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Act.

4. Monitoring Reports

Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals and in the form specified in Part III.C.5. **Discharge Monitoring Reports must be submitted even when no discharge occurs during the reporting period.**

5. Compliance Schedule

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. Any reports of noncompliance shall include the cause of noncompliance, any remedial actions taken, and the probability of meeting the next scheduled requirement.

6. Twenty-four Hour Report

a. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Any information shall be provided orally within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. A written submission shall also be

provided within 5 days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain the following information:

- (1) a description of the noncompliance and its cause;
 - (2) the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and
 - (3) steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.
- b. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours:
- (1) Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit;
 - (2) Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit and
 - (3) Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Director in Part I of the permit to be reported within 24 hours to the Enforcement Section of the Water Division of the ADEQ.
- c. The Director may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis if the oral report has been received within 24 hours to the Enforcement Section of the Water Division of the ADEQ.

7. Other Noncompliance

The permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under Parts II.D.4., 5., and 6., at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed at Part III.D.6.

8. Changes in Discharge of Toxic Substances for Industrial Dischargers

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as he/she knows or has reason to believe:

- a. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge on a routine or frequent basis of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the "notification levels" described in 40 CFR Part 122.42(a)(1); or
- b. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in any discharge on a non-routine or infrequent basis of a toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the "notification levels" described in 40 CFR Part 122.42(a)(2).

9. Duty to Provide Information

The permittee shall furnish to the Director, within a reasonable time, any information which the Director may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Director, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit. Information shall be submitted in the form, manner and time frame requested by the Director.

10. Duty to Reapply

If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit. The complete application shall be submitted at least 180 days before the expiration date of this permit. The Director may grant permission to submit an application less than 180 days in advance but no later than the permit expiration date. Continuation of expiring permits shall be governed by regulations promulgated in APCEC Regulation No. 6.

11. Signatory Requirements

All applications, reports, or information submitted to the Director shall be signed and certified as follows:

- a. All **permit applications** shall be signed as follows:
 - (1) For a corporation: by a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this section, a responsible corporate officer means:
 - (i) A president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy or decision-making functions for the corporation; or
 - (ii) The manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operation facilities, provided: the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
 - (2) For a partnership or sole proprietorship: by a general partner or proprietor, respectively; or
 - (3) For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency, by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this section, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes:
 - (i) The chief executive officer of the agency, or
 - (ii) A senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency.
- b. All **reports** required by the permit and **other information** requested by the Director shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
 - (1) The authorization is made in writing by a person described above.
 - (2) The authorization specified either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity, such as the position of plant

manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, or position of equivalent responsibility. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and

(3) The written authorization is submitted to the Director.

- c. Certification. Any person signing a document under this section shall make the following certification:

“I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.”

12. Availability of Reports

Except for data determined to be confidential under 40 CFR Part 2 and APCEC Regulation No. 6, all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the Department of Environmental Quality. As required by the Regulations, the name and address of any permit applicant or permittee, permit applications, permits, and effluent data shall not be considered confidential.

13. Penalties for Falsification of Reports

The Arkansas Air and Water Pollution Control Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained under this permit shall be subject to civil penalties specified in Part III.A.2. and/or criminal penalties under the authority of the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Act 472 of 1949, as amended).

PART IV DEFINITIONS

All definitions contained in Section 502 of the Clean Water Act shall apply to this permit and are incorporated herein by reference. Additional definitions of words or phrases used in this permit are as follows:

1. **“Act”** means the Clean Water Act, Public Law 95-217 (33.U.S.C. 1251 et seq.) as amended.
2. **“Administrator”** means the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
3. **“Applicable effluent standards and limitations”** means all State and Federal effluent standards and limitations to which a discharge is subject under the Act, including, but not limited to, effluent limitations, standards of performance, toxic effluent standards and prohibitions, and pretreatment standards.
4. **“Applicable water quality standards”** means all water quality standards to which a discharge is subject under the federal Clean Water Act and which has been (a) approved or permitted to remain in effect by the Administrator following submission to the Administrator pursuant to Section 303(a) of the Act, or (b) promulgated by the Director pursuant to Section 303(b) or 303(c) of the Act, and standards promulgated under (APCEC) Regulation No. 2, as amended.
5. **“Bypass”** means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility.
6. **“Daily Discharge”** means the discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling.
Mass Calculations: For pollutants with limitations expressed in terms of mass, the “daily discharge” is calculated as the total mass of pollutant discharged over the sampling day.
Concentration Calculations: For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, determination of concentration made using a composite sample shall be the concentration of the composite sample. When grab samples are used, the “daily discharge” determination of concentration shall be the arithmetic average (weighted by flow value) of all the samples collected during that sampling day by using the following formula: where C= daily concentration, F=daily flow and n=number of daily samples
$$\frac{C_1F_1 + C_2F_2 + \dots + C_nF_n}{F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_n}$$
7. **“Monthly average”** means the highest allowable average of “daily discharges” over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all “daily discharges” measured during a calendar month divided by the number of “daily discharges” measured during that month. For Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB) report the monthly average (see 30-day average below).
8. **“Daily Maximum”** discharge limitation means the highest allowable “daily discharge” during the calendar month. The 7-day average for Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB) is the geometric mean of the values of all effluent samples collected during the calendar week in colonies per 100 ml.

9. **“Department”** means the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ).
10. **“Director”** means the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and/or the Director of the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality.
11. **“Grab sample”** means an individual sample collected in less than 15 minutes in conjunction with an instantaneous flow measurement.
12. **“Industrial User”** means a nondomestic discharger, as identified in 40 CFR Part 403, introducing pollutants to a POTW.
13. **“National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System”** means the national program for issuing, modifying, revoking and reissuing, terminating, monitoring and enforcing permits, and imposing and enforcing pretreatment requirements under Sections 307, 402, 318, and 405 of the Clean Water Act.
14. **“POTW”** means a Publicly Owned Treatment Works.
15. **“Severe property damage”** means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in products.
16. **“APCEC”** means the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission.
17. **“Sewage sludge”** means the solids, residues, and precipitate separated from or created in sewage by the unit processes at a POTW. Sewage as used in this definition means any wastes, including wastes from humans, households, commercial establishments, industries, and storm water runoff that are discharged to or otherwise enter a POTW.
18. **“7-day average”** discharge limitation, other than for Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB), is the highest allowable arithmetic mean of the values for all effluent samples collected during the calendar week. The 7-day average for Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB) is the geometric mean of the values of all effluent samples collected during the calendar week in colonies/100 ml. The Discharge Monitoring Report should report the highest 7-day average obtained during the calendar month. For reporting purposes, the 7-day average values should be reported as occurring in the month in which the Saturday of the calendar week falls in.
19. **“30-day average”**, other than for Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB), is the arithmetic mean of the daily values for all effluent samples collected during a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month. The 30-day average for Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB) is the geometric mean of the values for all effluent samples collected during a calendar month. For Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB), report the monthly average as a 30-day geometric mean in colonies per 100 ml.
20. **“24-hour composite sample”** consists of a minimum of 12 effluent portions collected at equal time intervals over the 24-hour period and combined proportional to flow or a sample collected at frequent intervals proportional to flow over the 24-hour period.
21. **“12-hour composite sample”** consists of 12 effluent portions, collected no closer together than one hour and composited according to flow. The daily sampling intervals shall include the highest flow periods.

22. **“6-hour composite sample”** consists of six effluent portions collected no closer together than one hour (with the first portion collected no earlier than 10:00 a.m.) and composited according to flow.
23. **“3-hour composite sample”** consists of three effluent portions collected no closer together than one hour (with the first portion collected no earlier than 10:00 a.m.) and composited according to flow.
24. **“Treatment works”** means any devices and systems used in storage, treatment, recycling, and reclamation of municipal sewage and industrial wastes, of a liquid nature to implement section 201 of the Act, or necessary to recycle reuse water at the most economic cost over the estimated life of the works, including intercepting sewers, sewage collection systems, pumping, power and other equipment, and alterations thereof; elements essential to provide a reliable recycled supply such as standby treatment units and clear well facilities, and any works, including site acquisition of the land that will be an integral part of the treatment process or is used for ultimate disposal of residues resulting from such treatment.
25. **“Upset”** means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. Any upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless of improper operations.
26. **“For Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB)”**, a sample consists of one effluent grab portion collected during a 24-hour period at peak loads. For Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB) report the monthly average as a 30-day geometric mean in colonies per 100 ml.
27. **“Dissolved oxygen limit”**, shall be defined as follows:
 - a. When limited in the permit as a minimum monthly average, shall mean the lowest acceptable monthly average value, determined by averaging all samples taken during the calendar month;
 - b. When limited in the permit as an instantaneous minimum value, shall mean that no value measured during the reporting period may fall below the stated value.
28. **The term “MGD”** shall mean million gallons per day.
29. **The term “mg/l”** shall mean milligrams per liter or parts per million (ppm).
30. **The term “µg/l”** shall mean micrograms per liter or parts per billion (ppb).
31. **The term “cfs”** shall mean cubic feet per second.
32. **The term “ppm”** shall mean parts per million.
33. **The term “s.u.”** shall mean standard units.
34. **The term “Instantaneous Maximum”** when limited in the permit as an instantaneous maximum value, shall mean that no value measured during the reporting period may fall above the stated value.
35. **Monitoring and Reporting:**

When a permit becomes effective, monitoring requirements are of the immediate period of the permit effective date. Where the monitoring requirement for an effluent characteristic is monthly or more frequently, the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) shall be submitted by the 25th of the month following the sampling. Where the monitoring requirement for an effluent characteristic is Quarterly, Semi-Annual, Annual,

or Yearly, the DMR shall be submitted by the 25th of the month following the monitoring period end date.

MONTHLY:

is defined as a calendar month or any portion of a calendar month for monitoring requirement frequency of once/month or more frequently.

QUARTERLY:

(1) is defined as a fixed calendar quarter or any part of the fixed calendar quarter for a non-seasonal effluent characteristic with a measurement frequency of once/quarter. Fixed calendar quarters are: January through March, April through June, July through September, and October through December; or

(2) is defined as a fixed three month period (or any part of the fixed three month period) of or dependent upon the seasons specified in the permit for a seasonal effluent characteristic with a monitoring requirement frequency of once/quarter that does not coincide with the fixed calendar quarter. Seasonal calendar quarters are: May through July, August through October, November through January, and February through April.

SEMI-ANNUAL:

is defined as the fixed time periods January through June, and July through December (or any portion thereof) for an effluent characteristic with a measurement frequency of once/6 months or twice/year.

ANNUAL or YEARLY:

is defined as a fixed calendar year or any portion of the fixed calendar year for an effluent characteristic or parameter with a measurement frequency of once/year. A calendar year is January through December, or any portion thereof.

36. **The term “Weekday”** means Monday – Friday.

Final Fact Sheet

for renewal of discharge Permit Number AR0021776 to discharge to Waters of the State.

1. PERMITTING AUTHORITY.

The issuing office is:

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
5301 Northshore Drive
North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317

2. APPLICANT.

The applicant's mailing address is:

City of Nashville
426 North Main Street
Nashville, AR 71852

The facility address is:

City of Nashville
743 Highway 27 South
Nashville, AR 71852

3. PREPARED BY.

The permit was prepared by:

Jennifer Harmon
Staff Engineer
Discharge Permits Section, Water Division
(501) 682-0627
E-mail: harmonj@adeq.state.ar.us

4. PREVIOUS PERMIT ACTIVITY.

Effective Date: 11/1/2003
Expiration Date: 10/31/2008

The permit application was received on 1/22/2008 and was deemed administratively complete on 1/24/2008. All additional information was received by 9/11/2008.

The current discharge permit is reissued for a 5-year term in accordance with regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 122.46(a).

The background flow has been reviewed based on the fact that the receiving water (Mine Creek) is on the 2004, 2006 and 2008 list of impaired water bodies (303 (d) list). When calculating the new background flow, the average flow from the upstream contributor, AR0041734, was used. The upstream contributor has a average flow of 1 MGD, which was converted to 1.54 cfs. The average flow was then added to the upstream 7Q10 of 0.5 cfs. A new value of 2.04 cfs has been used as the background flow of this section of Mine Creek.

5. SIGNIFICANT CHANGES FROM THE PREVIOUSLY ISSUED PERMIT.

The permittee is responsible for carefully reading the permit in detail and becoming familiar with all of the changes therein:

- a. pH limits have changed from 6-9 s.u. to 6.0-9.0 s.u.
- b. Operator class III has been added to Part II.
- c. Parts II, III and IV have been revised.
- d. The background flow has been modified to include the upstream average flow from AR0041734 with the 7Q10. The new background flow is 2.04 cfs.
- e. Ammonia limits have changed for April and November–March due to new Ammonia toxicity requirements.
- f. Monitor and report requirements have been added for Total Phosphorus and Nitrite + Nitrate Nitrogen.
- g. Monitoring location has been modified.
- h. Stormwater language has been added to Part II.
- i. The TSS limit has been changed due to rounding issue in the last permit.
- j. Critical Dilution for WET testing was modified due to an update background flow.
- k. Sub-lethal WET limit added with a three year compliance schedule.
- l. A condition has been added to Part II.14 to allow for the removal of the effluent limit for Selenium after successful demonstration that Selenium is no longer in the discharge above the Minimum Quantification Level (MQL).
- m. Additional Pretreatment Requirements have been added to Part II.8.
- n. Facility coordinates have been updated per an inspection report.
- o. Fecal Coliform condition has been removed from Part II.
- p. D.O. monitoring has changed from Instantaneous Minimum to Monthly Average Minimum.
- q. Cyanide limits have changed due to new information for the background flow.
- r. Special Condition 12 was removed in the final permit.

6. RECEIVING STREAM SEGMENT AND DISCHARGE LOCATION.

The outfall is located at the following coordinates:

Latitude: 33° 54' 56" Longitude: 93° 51' 28"

with a monitoring location of:



Latitude: 33° 55' 5.3" Longitude: 93° 51' 35.45"

The receiving waters named:

Through an 18" pipe to Mine Creek, thence to Millwood Lake, thence to the Red River in Segment 1C of the Red River Basin. The receiving stream with USGS Hydrologic Unit Code (H.U.C) of 11140109 and reach # 033 is a Water of the State classified for primary contact recreation, raw water source for public, industrial, and agricultural water supplies, propagation of desirable species of fish and other aquatic life, and other compatible uses.

a. 303(d) LIST AND ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSIDERATIONS.

(1) 303(d) List:

The receiving stream is listed on the 2004 303(d) list for Copper, Zinc, and Sulfates. The contributor has been identified as an upstream industrial facility. Based on pre-treatment information, it was determined that the facility was not contributing Copper or Zinc in amounts that exceeded the water quality criteria as set forth in APCEC Regulation No. 2. Therefore, Copper and Zinc limits have not been placed in the permit. Based on the "TMDL investigation on Mine Creek" recommendations, Total Phosphorus and Nitrate Nitrogen reporting have been added to the permit.

(2) Endangered Species:

No comments on the application were received from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USF&WS). The draft permit and Fact Sheet was sent to the USF&WS for their review.

7. OUTFALL AND TREATMENT PROCESS DESCRIPTION.

The following is a description of the facility described in the application:

- a. Design Flow: 2.3 MGD
- b. Type of Treatment: Two aerated lagoons in parallel, a two cell stabilization pond, two dissolved air flotation units (DAF), followed by chlorination then dechlorination.
- c. Discharge Description: treated municipal wastewater

8. ACTIVITY.

Under the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code of 4952 or North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code of 221320, the applicant's activities are the operation of a sewage treatment plant.

9. INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER CONTRIBUTIONS.

INDUSTRIAL USERS

The permittee does receive process wastewater from significant industrial users. Standard Pretreatment Program implementation requirements are deemed necessary at this time. A written certification that existing technically based local limits are adequate to prevent pass through, inhibition, or interference is required within six (6) months of the effective date of the permit or; a written notification that a technical evaluation revising the current technically based limits will be submitted within twelve (12) months of the effective date of the permit.

Pretreatment requirements have been added to modify the permittee's Program to be current with the newly revised (10/05) Pretreatment Regulations under 40 CFR 403. Submittal of these modifications are due within twelve (12) of the effective date of the permit.

10. SEWAGE SLUDGE PRACTICES.

Sludge from the two DAF Units is returned to the existing 42 Acre Lagoon. Sludge is recirculated in the plant operation.

11. PERMIT CONDITIONS.

The Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality has made a determination to issue a permit for the discharge described in the application. Permit requirements are based on federal regulations (40 CFR Parts 122, 124, and Subchapter N), the National Pretreatment Regulation in 40 CFR Part 403 and regulations promulgated pursuant to the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Act 472 of 1949, as amended, Ark. Code Ann. 8-4-101 et. seq.).

a. **Interim Effluent Limitations**

Outfall 001- treated municipal wastewater

(1) **Conventional and/or Toxic Pollutants**

<u>Effluent Characteristics</u>	<u>Discharge Limitations</u>			<u>Monitoring Requirements</u>	
	Mass (lbs/day, unless otherwise specified)	Concentration (mg/l, unless otherwise specified)		Frequency	Sample Type
		Monthly Avg.	Monthly Avg.		
Flow (MGD)	N/A	report	report	once/day	totalizing meter
Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (CBOD5)	192	10	15	three/week	6-hr composite

<u>Effluent Characteristics</u>	<u>Discharge Limitations</u>			<u>Monitoring Requirements</u>	
	Mass (lbs/day, unless otherwise specified)	Concentration (mg/l, unless otherwise specified)		Frequency	Sample Type
		Monthly Avg.	Monthly Avg.		
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	288	15	22.5	three/week	6-hr composite
Ammonia Nitrogen (NH ₃ -N)					
(April)	192	10	15	three/week	6-hr composite
(May-Oct)	38	2	3	three/week	6-hr composite
(Nov-March)	192	10	15	three/week	6-hr composite
Dissolved Oxygen					
(May-Oct)	N/A	5.0 (Monthly Avg. Min.)		three/week	grab
(Nov-Apr)	N/A	7.4 (Monthly Avg. Min.)		three/week	grab
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB)		(colonies/100 ml)			
(Apr-Sept)	N/A	200	400	three/week	grab
(Oct-Mar)	N/A	1000	2000	three/week	grab
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)	N/A	<0.1 mg/l (Inst. Max.)		three/week	grab
Phosphorus, Total	report	report	report	three/week	grab
Nitrite + Nitrate Nitrogen (NO ₂ +NO ₃ -N)	report	report	report	three/week	grab
Cyanide, Total Recoverable	.154	8.03 µg/l	16.12 µg/l	once/quarter	grab
Selenium, Total Recoverable	report	report	report	once/quarter	grab
pH	N/A	<u>Minimum</u> 6.0 s.u.	<u>Maximum</u> 9.0 s.u.	three/week	grab
WET 7-Day Chronic ¹	N/A	CD<73%		once/quarter	24-hr composite

1. Whole Effluent Toxicity limit for lethal endpoints.

(2) **Solids, Foam, and Free Oil:** There shall be no discharge of distinctly visible solids, scum, or foam of a persistent nature, nor shall there be any formation of slime, bottom deposits, or sludge banks. There shall be no visible sheen due to the presence of oil (Sheen means an iridescent appearance on the surface of the water).

b. **Final Effluent Limitations**

Outfall 001- treated municipal wastewater

(1) **Conventional and/or Toxic Pollutants**

<u>Effluent Characteristics</u>	<u>Discharge Limitations</u>			<u>Monitoring Requirements</u>	
	Mass (lbs/day, unless otherwise specified)	Concentration (mg/l, unless otherwise specified)		Frequency	Sample Type
		Monthly Avg.	Monthly Avg.		
Flow (MGD)	N/A	report	report	once/day	totalizing meter
Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (CBOD5)	192	10	15	three/week	6-hr composite
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	288	15	22.5	three/week	6-hr composite
Ammonia Nitrogen (NH3-N)					
(April)	45	2.35	7.5	three/week	6-hr composite
(May-Oct)	38	2	3	three/week	6-hr composite
(Nov-March)	96	5	7.5	three/week	6-hr composite
Dissolved Oxygen					
(May-Oct)	N/A	5.0 (Monthly Avg. Min.)		three/week	grab
(Nov-Apr)	N/A	7.4 (Monthly Avg. Min.)		three/week	grab
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB)		(colonies/100 ml)			
(Apr-Sept)	N/A	200	400	three/week	grab
(Oct-Mar)	N/A	1000	2000	three/week	grab
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)	N/A	<0.1 mg/l (Inst. Max.)		three/week	grab
Phosphorus, Total	report	report	report	three/week	grab
Nitrite + Nitrate Nitrogen (NO2 + NO3-N)	report	report	report	three/week	grab
Cyanide, Total Recoverable	0.154	8.03 µg/l	16.12 µg/l	once/quarter	grab
Selenium, Total Recoverable	0.148	7.73 µg/l	15.5 µg/l	once/quarter	grab
pH	N/A	<u>Minimum</u> 6.0 s.u.	<u>Maximum</u> 9.0 s.u.	three/week	grab
WET 7-Day Chronic ¹	N/A	CD<73%		once/quarter	24-hr composite

1. Whole Effluent Toxicity limit for lethal and sub-lethal endpoints.

- (2) **Solids, Foam, and Free Oil:** There shall be no discharge of distinctly visible solids, scum, or foam of a persistent nature, nor shall there be any formation of slime, bottom deposits, or sludge banks. There shall be no visible sheen due to the presence of oil (Sheen means an iridescent appearance on the surface of the water).

12. BASIS FOR PERMIT CONDITIONS.

The following is an explanation of the derivation of the conditions of the permit and the reasons for them or, in the case of notices of intent to deny or terminate, reasons suggesting the decisions as required under 40 CFR Part 124.7 (48 FR 1413, April 1, 1983).

Technology-Based Versus Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations And Conditions

Following regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 122.44 (1)(2)(ii), the permit limits are based on either technology-based effluent limits pursuant to 40 CFR Part 122.44 (a) or on State water quality standards and requirements pursuant to 40 CFR Part 122.44 (d), whichever are more stringent as follows:

Parameter	Water Quality-Based		Technology-Based/BPJ		Previous Permit		Permit Limit	
	Monthly Avg. mg/l	7-day Avg. mg/l	Monthly Avg. mg/l	7-day Avg. mg/l	Monthly Avg. mg/l	7-day Avg. mg/l	Monthly Avg. mg/l	7-day Avg. mg/l
CBOD5	10	15	25	40	10	15	10	15
TSS	15	22.5	30	45	15	23	15	22.5
NH3-N								
(April)	2.35	7.5	N/A	N/A	10	15	2.35	7.5
(May-Oct)	2	3	N/A	N/A	2	3	2	3
(Nov-March)	5	7.5	N/A	N/A	10	15	5	7.5
Dissolved Oxygen								
(May-Oct)	5.0(Monthly Avg. Min.)		N/A		5.0(Inst. Min.)		5.0(Monthly Avg. Min.)	
(Nov-Apr)	7.4(Monthly Avg. Min.)		N/A		7.4(Inst. Min.)		7.4(Monthly Avg. Min.)	
FCB (col/100 ml)								
(Apr-Sept)	200	400	N/A	N/A	200	400	200	400
(Oct-Mar)	1000	2000	N/A	N/A	1000	2000	1000	2000
TRC (Inst. Max)	N/A		< 0.1 mg/l		< 0.1 mg/l		< 0.1 mg/l	
Phosphorus, Total	N/A	N/A	report	report	N/A	N/A	report	report
Nitrite + Nitrate Nitrogen (NO2 + NO3-N)	N/A	N/A	report	report	N/A	N/A	report	report
Cyanide, Total Recoverable	8.03 µg/l	16.12 µg/l	N/A	N/A	7.44 µg/l	14.93 µg/l	8.03 µg/l	16.12 µg/l
Selenium, Total Recoverable	7.73 µg/l	15.5 µg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.73 µg/l	15.5 µg/l
pH	6.0-9.0 s.u.		6.0-9.0 s.u.		6-9 s.u.		6.0-9.0 s.u.	

Parameter	Water Quality or Technology	Justification
CBOD5	Water Quality	MultiSMP Model dated 2/6/2008
TSS	Water Quality	MultiSMP Model dated 2/6/2008
NH3-N	Water Quality	Section 2.512 of Regulation No. 2/ MultiSMP Model dated 2/6/2008

Parameter	Water Quality or Technology	Justification
DO	Water Quality	Section 2.505 of Regulation No. 2
Fecal Coliform Bacteria	Water Quality	Section 2.507 of Regulation No. 2
pH	Water Quality	Section 2.504 of Regulation No. 2
Phosphorus, Total	Water Quality	Section 6.205 of Regulation No. 6/MultiSMP Model dated 2/6/2008, Total Phosphorus was added based on a TMDL investigation on Mine Creek (ADEQ, 2000) recommendations.
Nitrite + Nitrate Nitrogen	Water Quality	MultiSMP Model dated 2/6/2008, Nitrate Nitrogen was added on a TMDL investigation on Mine Creek (ADEQ, 2000) recommendations.
Cyanide	Water Quality	Section 2.508 of Regulation No. 2
Selenium	Water Quality	Section 2.508 of Regulation No. 2

a. **Anti-backsliding**

The permit is consistent with the requirements to meet Anti-backsliding provisions of the Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402(o) [40 CFR 122.44(l)]. The final effluent limitations for reissuance permits must be as stringent as those in the previous permit, unless the less stringent limitations can be justified using exceptions listed in 40 CFR 122.44 (l) (2) (i).

The permit maintains the requirements of the previous permit with the exception of Cyanide and TSS. For TSS the 7-day average in the previous permit was carried out to one significant digit. Due to Department policy significant digits are no longer used when calculating 7-day averages. Explanation for the Cyanide limit increase is discussed in 12.d.6 of the fact sheet.

b. **Limits Calculations**

(1) Mass limits:

The calculation of the loadings (lbs per day) uses a design flow of 2.3 MGD and the following equation: $\text{lbs/day} = \text{Concentration (mg/l)} \times \text{Flow (MGD)} \times 8.34$

(2) Daily Maximum Limits:

Daily Maximum limits = Monthly average limits X 1.5

(3) Ammonia-Nitrogen (NH₃-N):

The water quality effluent limitations for Ammonia are based either on DO-based effluent limits or on toxicity-based standards, whichever are more stringent. The toxicity-based effluent limitations are based on Chapter 5, Section 2.512 of APCEC Regulation No. 2 and an ADEQ internal memo dated March 28, 2005. The following formula has been used to calculate toxicity based Ammonia limits:

$$Cd = (IWC(Qd + Qb) - CbQb)/Qd,$$

Where:

Cd = effluent limit concentration

IWC = Ammonia toxicity standard for Ecoregion

Qd = design flow

Qb = Critical flow of the receiving stream. This flow is 67 percent of the 7-day, 10-year low-flow (7Q10) for the receiving stream.

Cb = background concentration

c. **208 Plan (Water Quality Management Plan)**

The 208 Plan, developed by the ADEQ under provisions of Section 208 of the federal Clean Water Act, is a comprehensive program to work toward achieving federal water goals in Arkansas. The initial 208 Plan, adopted in 1979, provides for annual updates, but can be revised more often if necessary. The 208 Plan has been revised to add an April Ammonia Nitrogen limit and to modify Ammonia Nitrogen limits for November-March to the existing water quality limitations:

May-October: CBOD5/TSS/NH3-N/DO = 10/15/2/5 mg/l

November-March: CBOD5/TSS/NH3-N/DO = 10/15/5/7.4 mg/l

April CBOD5/TSS/NH3-N/DO = 10/15/2.35/7.4 mg/l

Design flow (Q): 2.3 MGD

Background Flow of the receiving stream: 2.04 cfs (This value is the receiving stream background as well as the discharge from an upstream contributor, AR0041734)

d. **Toxics Pollutants**

(1) Post Third Round Policy and Strategy

Section 101 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) states that "...it is the national policy that the discharge of toxic pollutants in toxic amounts be prohibited...". To insure that the CWA's prohibitions on toxic discharges are met, EPA has issued a "Policy for the Development of Water Quality-Based Permit Limitations by Toxic Pollutants"(49 FR 9016-9019, 3/9/84). In support of the national policy, Region 6 adopted the "Policy for post Third Round Permitting" and the "Post Third Round Permit Implementation Strategy" on October 1, 1992. The Regional policy and strategy are designed to insure that no source will be allowed to discharge any wastewater which (1) results in instream aquatic toxicity; (2) causes a violation of an applicable narrative or numerical State water quality standard resulting in non-conformance with the provisions of 40 CFR Part 122.44(d); (3) results in the endangerment of a drinking water supply; or (4) results in aquatic bioaccumulation which threatens human health.

(2) Implementation

The State of Arkansas is currently implementing EPA's Post Third-Round Policy in conformance with the EPA Regional strategy. The 5-year discharge permits contain

technology-based effluent limitations reflecting the best controls available. Where these technology-based permit limits do not protect water quality or the designated uses, or where there are no applicable technology-based limits, additional water quality-based effluent limitations and/or conditions are included in the discharge permits. State narrative and numerical water quality standards from Regulation No. 2 are used in conjunction with EPA criteria and other available toxicity information to determine the adequacy of technology-based permit limits and the need for additional water quality-based controls.

(3) Priority Pollutant Scan (PPS)

In accordance with the regional policy ADEQ has reviewed and evaluated the effluent in evaluating the potential toxicity of each analyzed pollutant:

- (a) The results were evaluated and compared to EPA's Minimum Quantification Levels (MQLs) to determine the potential presence of a respective toxic pollutant. Those pollutants which are greater than or equal to the MQLs are determined to be reasonably present in the effluent and an evaluation of their potential toxicity is necessary.
- (b) Those pollutants with one datum shown as "non-detect" (ND), providing the level of detection is equal to or lower than MQL are determined to be not potentially present in the effluent and eliminated from further evaluation.
- (c) Those pollutants with a detectable value even if below the MQL are determined to be reasonably present in the effluent and an evaluation of their potential toxicity is necessary.
- (d) For those pollutants with multiple data values and all values are determined to be non-detect, therefore no further evaluation is necessary. However, where data set includes some detectable concentrations and some values as ND, one-half of the detection level is used for those values below the level of detection to calculate the geometric mean of the data set.

The concentration of each pollutant after mixing with the receiving stream was compared to the applicable water quality standards as established in the Arkansas Water Quality Standards, Reg. No. 2 and with the aquatic toxicity, human health, and drinking water criteria obtained from the "Quality Criteria for Water, 1986 (Gold Book)". The following expression was used to calculate the pollutant instream waste concentration (IWC):

$$IWC = ((C_c \times Q_c) + (C_b \times Q_b)) / (Q_c + Q_b)$$

where:

IWC = instream concentration of pollutant after mixing with receiving stream ($\mu\text{g/l}$)
 C_e = pollutant concentration in effluent ($\mu\text{g/l}$)
 Q_e = effluent flow of facility (cfs)
 C_b = background concentration of pollutant in receiving stream ($\mu\text{g/l}$)
 Q_b = background flow of receiving stream (cfs)

The following values were used in the IWC calculations:

C_e = varies with pollutant. A single value from the Priority Pollutant Screen (PPS) submitted by the permittee as part of the discharge permit application or the geometric mean of a group of data points (less than 20 data points) is multiplied by a factor of 2.13. This factor is based on EPA's Region VI procedure (See attachment IV of Continuing Planning Process (CPP)) to extrapolate limited data sets to better evaluate the potential toxicity for higher effluent concentrations to exceed water quality standards. This procedure employs a statistical approach which yields an estimate of a selected upper percentile value (the 95th percentile) of an effluent data set which would be expected to exceed 95% of effluent concentrations in a discharge. If 20 or more data points during the last two years are available, do not multiply by 2.13, but instead use the maximum reported values.

Q_e = 2.3 MGD = 3.56 cfs

C_b = 0 $\mu\text{g/l}$ for all pollutants except Copper and Zinc. See Attachment 1 for Copper C_b and Zinc C_b . These values were calculated by calculating the average daily maximum from the upstream contributor, AR0041734.

Q_b = (See below):

(i) Aquatic Toxicity

Chronic Toxicity: Flow = 1.37 cfs, for comparison with chronic aquatic toxicity. This flow is 67 percent of the 7-day, 10-year low-flow (7Q10) for the receiving stream. The background flow of 2.04 cfs is based on the average flow from the Tyson plant that discharges upstream from the city (the stream without the Tyson flow of 0.5 cfs).

Acute Toxicity: Flow = 0.67 cfs, for comparison with acute aquatic toxicity. This flow is 33 percent of the 7Q10 for the receiving stream.

(ii) Bioaccumulation

Flow = 2.04 cfs, for comparison with bioaccumulation criteria

(iii) Drinking Water

Flow = 2.04 cfs, for comparison with drinking water criteria. This flow is the 7Q10 for the receiving stream and the average flow from the Tyson plant that discharges upstream from the city.

The following values were used to determine limits for the pollutants:

Hardness = 31 mg/l, based on attachment VI of CPP.

TSS = 5.5 mg/l, based on attachment V of CPP

pH = 6.93 s.u., based on compliance data from "Arkansas Water Quality Inventory Report"305(b), Water Quality Data Base System, utilizing ADEQ accumulated data for Station RED18B.

(4) Water Quality Standards for Metals and Cyanide

Standards for Chromium (VI), Mercury, Selenium, and Cyanide are expressed as a function of the pollutant's water-effect ratio (WER), while standards for cadmium, chromium (III), copper, lead, nickel, silver, and zinc are expressed as a function of the pollutant's water-effect ratio, and as a function of hardness.

The Water-effect ratio (WER) is assigned a value of 1.0 unless scientifically defensible study clearly demonstrates that a value less than 1.0 is necessary or a value greater than 1.0 is sufficient to fully protect the designated uses of the receiving stream from the toxic effects of the pollutant.

The WER approach compares bioavailability and toxicity of a specific pollutant in receiving water and in laboratory test water. It involves running toxicity tests for at least two species, measuring LC50 for the pollutant using the local receiving water collected from the site where the criterion is being implemented, and laboratory toxicity testing water made comparable to the site water in terms of chemical hardness. The ratio between site water and lab water LC50 is used to adjust the national acute and chronic criteria to site specific values.

(5) Conversion of Dissolved Metals Criteria for Aquatic Life to Total Recoverable Metal

Metals criteria established in APCEC Regulation No. 2, Section 2.508 for aquatic life protection are based on dissolved metals concentrations and hardness values. However, Federal Regulations cited at 40 CFR Part 122.45(c) require that effluent limitations for metals in discharge permits be expressed as total recoverable based on Attachment V of CPP. Therefore a dissolved to the total recoverable metal conversion must be implemented. This involves determining a linear partition coefficient for the metal of concern and using this coefficient to determine the fraction of metal dissolved, so that the dissolved metal ambient criteria may be translated to a total effluent limit. The formula for converting dissolved metals to total recoverable metals for streams and lakes are provided in Attachment V of CPP and Region 6 Implementation Guidance for Arkansas Water Quality Standards promulgated at 40 CFR Part 131.36.

(6) Comparison of the submitted information with the water quality standards and criteria

The following pollutants were determined to be present in the effluent for each pollutant as reported by the permittee.

Pollutant	Concentration Reported, µg/l	Number of Data Points from PPS and Pretreatment
Cadmium, total	1.38 ¹	23
Chromium, total	23.6	23
Copper, total	6.48	23
Nickel, total	143 ²	23
Selenium, total	9.5 ³	23
Zinc, total	35.1	23
Cyanide, total	14.2	23
Chloroform	1.29	23
Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	4.7	20

¹The high value of 3.57 µg/l was discarded due to the abnormality of the data point. The next highest value 1.38 µg/l was used during the Priority Pollutant Scan calculations.

²The high value of 174 µg/l was discarded due to the abnormality of the data point. The next highest value 143µg/l was used during the Priority Pollutant Scan calculations.

³The high value of 32.3 µg/l was discarded due to the abnormality of the data point. The next highest value 9.5µg/l was used during the Priority Pollutant Scan calculations.

However, ADEQ has determined from the information submitted by the permittee that no water quality standards or Gold Book criteria are exceeded with the exception of Cyanide and Selenium. Therefore, no permit action is necessary to maintain these standards or criteria (See Attachment 1.) with the exception of Cyanide and Selenium.

Aquatic Toxicity

(a) Pollutants with numerical water quality standards

ADEQ has determined from the information submitted by the permittee that there is a reasonable potential for the discharge to cause an instream excursion above the acute and/or chronic numeric standards as specified in the Arkansas Water Quality Standards, Reg. No. 2 (See Attachment 1.)

ADEQ has identified the following toxicants in the discharge in amounts which could potentially have a toxic impact on the receiving stream:

Chronic Aquatic Toxicity Results			
Pollutant	C _e , µg/l	IWC, µg/l	AWQS, µg/l
Cyanide	14.2	9.26	5.2
Selenium	9.5	20.96	5

IWC's have been calculated in the manner described on page 11 of the Fact Sheet.
 Permit Action

Under Federal Regulation 40 CFR Part 122.44(d), as adopted by Regulation No. 6, if a discharge poses the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance above a water quality standard, the permit must contain an effluent limitation for that pollutant. Effluent limitations for the toxicants listed above have been derived in a manner consistent with the Technical Support Document (TSD) for Water Quality-based Toxics Control (EPA, March 1991), the State's implementations procedures, and 40 CFR Part 122.45(c).

Permit Limit Determination

The instream waste load allocation (WLA), which is the level of effluent concentration that would comply with the water quality standard (WQS) of the receiving stream, is calculated for both chronic and acute WLA using the following equations:

$$WLA_c = (WQS \times (Q_d + Q_b) - Q_b \times C_b) / Q_d$$

where:

- WLA_c = chronic waste load allocation (µg/l)
- Q_d = discharge flow (cfs)
- Q_b = 0.67 X 7Q10 (cfs) or 0.67 X background flow (cfs)
- C_b = background concentration (µg/l)
- WQS = chronic aquatic toxicity standards (µg/l)

and;

$$WLA_a = (WQS \times (Q_d + Q_b) - Q_b \times C_b) / Q_d$$

where:

- WLA_a = acute waste load allocation (µg/l)
- Q_d = discharge flow (cfs)
- Q_b = 0.33 X 7Q10 (cfs) or 0.33 X background flow (cfs)
- C_b = background concentration (µg/l)
- WQS = acute aquatic toxicity standards (µg/l)

The long term average (LTA) effluent concentration is then calculated based on the chronic and acute WLA as follows:

$$LTA_c = 0.72 \times WLA_c$$

$$LTA_a = 0.57 \times WLA_a$$

The lowest of these two (2) values is selected as being the limiting LTA. The limiting LTA is then used to calculate the monthly average (AML) and daily maximum (DML) for the final limits. AML and DML are calculated as follows:

$$AML = 1.55 \times \text{Limiting LTA}$$

$$DML = 3.11 \times \text{Limiting LTA}$$

Limits included in the permit are as follows:

Arkansas Numerical Aquatic Toxicity Limits		
Parameter	AML*, µg/l	DML*, µg/l
Cyanide	8.03	16.12
Selenium	7.73	15.5
*See Attachment 1 for calculations		

NOTE: Due to new information about the background flow, the limits for Cyanide have increased from 7.44 µg/l to 8.03 µg/l. The previous permit used a 7Q10 of 1.5 cfs. Based upon new information concerning the discharge from an upstream contributor, AR0041734-Tyson Foods-Nashville. The average flow from that facility is 1MGD or 1.54 cfs. The 7Q10 of the stream prior to the Tyson discharge is 0.5 cfs. This 7Q10 added to the discharge of Tyson is 2.04 cfs. The new background flow changed the amount of Cyanide that could be added to the receiving stream.

b. Pollutants without applicable water quality standards

ADEQ has determined from the information submitted by the permittee that there is not a reasonable potential for the discharge to cause an instream excursion above the acute and/or chronic criteria as specified in the Gold Book (See Attachment 1.)

13. TOTAL RESIDUAL CHLORINE (TRC) REQUIREMENTS.

No measurable which is defined as <0.1 mg/l is continued from the previous permit. The effluent limitation for TRC is the instantaneous maximum and cannot be averaged for reporting purposes. TRC shall be measured with fifteen (15) minutes of sampling

14. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET).

a. Post Third Round Policy and Strategy

Section 101(a) (3) of the Clean Water Act states that ".....it is the national policy that the discharge of toxic pollutants in toxic amounts be prohibited....." To ensure that the

CWA's prohibitions for toxics are met, EPA has issued a "Policy for the Development of Water Quality-Based Permit Limitations for Toxic Pollutants (49 FR 9016-9019, 3/9/84)." In support of the national policy, Region 6 adopted the "Policy for Post Third Round NPDES Permitting" and the "Post Third Round NPDES Permit Implementation Strategy" on October 1, 1992. In addition, ADEQ is required under 40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1), adopted by reference in Regulation 6, to include conditions as necessary to achieve water quality standards as established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act.

The Regional policy and strategy are designed to ensure that no source will be allowed to discharge any wastewater which (1) results in instream aquatic toxicity; (2) causes a violation of an applicable narrative or numerical State Water Quality Standard (WQS) resulting in non-conformance with the provisions of 40 CFR Part 122.44(d); (3) results in the endangerment of a drinking water supply; or (4) results in aquatic bioaccumulation which threatens human health.

Whole effluent toxicity (WET) testing has been establishing for assessing and protecting against impacts upon water quality and designated used caused by the aggregate toxic effect of the discharge of pollutants. The stipulated test species, which are appropriate to measure whole effluent toxicity, are consistent with the requirements of the State Water Quality Standards. The WET testing frequency has been established to reflect the likelihood of ambient toxicity and to provide data representative of the toxic potential of the facility's discharge, in accordance with the regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 122.48.

b. Implementation

Arkansas has established a narrative water quality standard under the authority of Section 303 of the CWA which states "toxic materials shall not be present in receiving waters in such quantities as to be toxic to human, animal, plant or aquatic life or to interfere with the normal propagation, growth and survival of aquatic biota."

Whole effluent toxicity testing conducted by the permittee has shown potential ambient toxicity to be the result of the permittee's discharge to receiving stream or water body, at the appropriate instream critical dilution. Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(v), ADEQ has determined from the permittee's self reporting that the discharge from this facility does have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an instream excursion above the narrative standard within the applicable State Water Quality Standards, in violation of Section 101(a)(3) of the Clean Water Act. Therefore, the permit must establish both monthly average and 7-day minimum effluent limitations for toxicity following Regulations promulgated by 40 CFR 122.44(d) (1) (v). These effluent limitations for toxicity (7-day NOEC) are applied at outfall **001** effective the date of the permit. The daily average toxicity (7-day NOEC) and 7-day minimum toxicity (7-day NOEC) value shall not be less than **73%** (Critical Dilution) effluent for outfall **001**.

WET testing of the effluent is thereby required as a condition of this permit to assess potential toxicity. The WET testing procedures stipulated as a condition of this permit are as follows:

TOXICITY TESTS	FREQUENCY
-----------------------	------------------

Chronic WET	once/quarter
-------------	--------------

Since the background flow is less than 100 cfs (ft³/sec) and dilution ratio is less than 100:1, chronic WET requirements will be included in the permit.

The calculations for dilution used for chronic WET are as follows

$$\text{Critical dilution (CD)} = (\text{Qd} / (\text{Qd} + \text{Qb})) \times 100$$

$$\text{Qd} = \text{Design flow} = 2.3 \text{ MGD} = 3.56 \text{ cfs}$$

$$7\text{Q}10 + \text{Average Flow of upstream contributor} = 2.04 \text{ cfs}$$

$$\text{Qb} = \text{Background flow} = (0.67) \times (7\text{Q}10 + \text{Background flow}) = 1.37 \text{ cfs}$$

$$\text{CD} = (3.56 / (3.56 + 1.37)) \times 100 = 73\%$$

A minimum of five effluent dilutions in addition to an appropriate control (0%) are to be used in the toxicity tests. These additional effluent concentrations are 31%, 41%, 55%, 73%, and 97% (Please see **Attachment I** of CPP). The low-flow effluent concentration (critical dilution) is defined as 73% effluent based on a 2.04 cfs background flow which included a 7Q10 flow of the receiving stream and Tyson's upstream flow contribution. Results of all dilutions as well as the associated chemical monitoring of pH, temperature, hardness, dissolved oxygen conductivity, and alkalinity shall be reported according to EPA/600/4-89/001 and shall be submitted as an attachment to the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR).

c. Administrative Records

The following information summarized toxicity test failures submitted by the permittee during the term of the current permit at outfall **001**.

**WET FREQUENCY RECOMMENDATION
AND RATIONALE FOR ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS**

Permit Number: **AR0021776**

Facility Name: **City of Nashville**

Previous Critical Dilution: **78%**

Proposed Critical Dilution: **73%**

Date of Review: **1/25/08**

Name of Reviewer: **Barnett**

Number of tests performed during previous 5 years by species:

***Pimephales promelas* (Fathead minnow): 28**

***Ceriodaphnia dubia* (water flea): 33**

Failed test dates during previous 5 years by species:

***Pimephales promelas* (Fathead minnow):**

<u>Lethal</u>	<u>Sub-lethal</u>
None	02-04
	04-04
	07-04
	01-05
	07-06
07-07	07-07

***Ceriodaphnia dubia* (water flea):**

<u>Lethal</u>	<u>Sub-lethal</u>
12-03	12-03
	03-04
	04-04
01-05	01-05
02-05	02-05
03-05	03-05
04-05	04-05
	10-05
	12-05
	10-06
01-07	01-07
02-07	02-07
	03-07
	04-07
05-07	05-07
06-07	06-07
	07-07
	09-07
	12-07

Previous TRE activities:

TRE required as part of CAO initiated January 5, 2006 (LIS No. 06-010). Due to a lack of toxicity during 2006 and based upon #9 of the Order and Agreement (LIS No. 06-010), completion of the TRE was not required.

However, the TRE process was once again initiated 09/04/07 due to multiple sub-lethal failures. The TRE plan was received on 11/5/07, and the revised TRE plan was received on 1/18/08. The revised TRE plan was approved 2/15/08 and the first three quarterly reports have been received.

***Pimephales promelas* (Fathead minnow): four/year**
***Ceriodaphnia dubia* (water flea): four/year**

Additional requirements (including WET Limits) rationale/comments concerning permitting: WET limits are appropriate.

Rationale: According to the EPA Region 6 Post-Third Round Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Frequencies: "All major dischargers, and those minor dischargers specifically identified by EPA or the State permitting authority (based on available information on a case-by case basis) as posing a significant unaddressed toxic risk, will be required to perform Whole Effluent Toxicity testing at a frequency of once per quarter for the vertebrate and invertebrate tests species for the first year of a new or reissued permit."

The City of Nashville has shown a history of sporadic sub-lethal toxicity to *P. promelas*, sporadic lethal toxicity to *C. dubia*, and a history of sub-lethal toxicity to *C. dubia*, WET testing of four times per year is appropriate due to the ongoing TRE.

Upon the completion of the TRE, City of Nashville should have determined the source of toxicity, and effectively reduced it such that they are in compliance with permit requirements. If, within three years of the effective date of the permit, the Department determines that the City of Nashville has identified the toxicant and appropriate control(s), the permit may be modified to remove the sub-lethal WET limit and replace it with an appropriate chemical-specific limit.

A Toxicity Reduction Evaluation is an investigation intended to determine those actions necessary to achieve compliance with water quality-based effluent limits by reducing an effluent's toxicity to an acceptable level. A TRE is defined as a step-wise process which combines toxicity testing and analyses of the physical and chemical characteristics of a toxic effluent to identify the constituents causing effluent toxicity and/or treatment methods which will reduce the effluent toxicity.

The City of Nashville will submit a Final Report on Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Activities which will provide information pertaining to the specific control mechanism selected that will, when implemented, result in reduction of effluent toxicity to no significant lethality at the critical dilution. The report will also provide a specific corrective action schedule for implementing the selected control mechanism(s).

Quarterly testing during the TRE is a minimum monitoring requirement. EPA recommends that permittees required to perform a TRE not rely on quarterly testing alone to ensure success in the TRE, and that additional screening tests be performed to capture toxic samples for identification of toxicants.

15. SAMPLE TYPE AND FREQUENCY.

Regulations require permits to establish monitoring requirements to yield data representative of the monitored activity [40 CFR Part 122.48(b)] and to ensure compliance with permit limitations [40 CFR Part 122.44(i) (l)]

Requirements for sample type and sampling frequency have been based on the current discharge permit for all parameters except Total Phosphorus, Nitrite + Nitrate Nitrogen and Total Recoverable Selenium. The sample type and sample frequency for Total Phosphorus and Nitrite + Nitrate Nitrogen have been based upon similar parameters already in the permit. The sample type and sample frequency for Total Recoverable Selenium have been based upon other metals already in the permit.

Parameter	Previous Permit		Final Permit	
	Frequency of Sample	Sample Type	Frequency of Sample	Sample Type
Flow	once/day	totalizing meter	once/day	totalizing meter
CBOD5	three/week	6-hr composite	three/week	6-hr composite
TSS	three/week	6-hr composite	three/week	6-hr composite
NH3-N				
(May-Oct)	three/week	6-hr composite	three/week	6-hr composite
(April)	three/week	6-hr composite	three/week	6-hr composite
(Nov-Mar)	three/week	6-hr composite	three/week	6-hr composite
Dissolved Oxygen				
(May-Oct)	three/week	grab	three/week	grab
(Nov-Apr)	three/week	grab	three/week	grab
FCB				
(Apr-Sept)	three/week	grab	three/week	grab
(Oct-Mar)	three/week	grab	three/week	grab
TRC	three/week	grab	three/week	grab
Phosphorus, total	N/A	N/A	three/week	grab
Nitrite + Nitrate Nitrogen (NO2 + NO3-N)	N/A	N/A	three/week	grab
Cyanide, total	once/quarter	grab	once/quarter	grab
Selenium, total	N/A	N/A	once/quarter	grab
pH	three/week	grab	three/week	grab

16. STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN REQUIREMENTS.

In lieu of storm water pollution prevention plan requirements in this permit, the permittee must obtain permit coverage under the Industrial Stormwater General Permit, ARR00000. The storm water pollution prevention plan requirements contained in special Condition No. 12 of Part II pertaining to storm water have been deleted from the final permit.

17. PERMIT COMPLIANCE.

The permittee shall achieve compliance with the effluent limitations specified for discharges in accordance with the following schedule:

- a. Compliance with the interim limits is required on the effective date of the permit.
- b. The permittee shall submit progress reports addressing the progress towards attaining the final effluent limits for Total Recoverable Selenium and Ammonia Nitrogen for the months of April and November-March according to the following schedule:

<u>ACTIVITY</u>	<u>DUE DATE</u>
Submit Progress Report	One (1) year from effective date
Submit Progress Report	Two (2) years from effective date
Achieve Final Limits	Three (3) years from effective date

- c. Submit proposed Pretreatment Program modifications within 12 months of the permit effective date.
- d. An annual Pretreatment Status Report is due during the month of February each year.
- e. Submit certification/notification statement for technically based local limits (TBLL) within 60 days of the effective date.
OR: If the TBLLs are revised, submit a revised sewer use ordinance within 12 months of the effective date.
- f. The permittee shall continue to conduct the Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) to address *C. dubia* toxicity observed in the effluent from Outfall 001.
- g. The permittee shall continue to submit progress reports to the Technical Assistance Manger of the Water Quality Planning Section addressing the progress of the TRE and the progress towards attaining the final effluent limits for sub-lethal toxicity according to the following schedule as outlined in the TRE language in Part II:

<u>ACTIVITY</u>	<u>DUE DATE</u>
Quarterly Report	The last day of each January, April, July and October
Final Report	Twenty eight (28) months from confirming toxicity in the retests
Achieve Final Limits	Three (3) years from effective date

- h. If the TRE has led to the successful elimination of effluent toxicity at the critical dilution, the sub-lethal WET final effluent limits may be replaced by monitoring and reporting only requirement through a minor modification. Otherwise, the permittee must comply with the final sub-lethal WET effluent limits
- i. If the TRE has not led to the successful elimination of effluent toxicity at the critical dilution, but has indicated a causal parameter, the sub-lethal WET final effluent limit may be replaced by monitoring and reporting only requirement by a minor modification of the permit, with the addition of a limit for the causal constituent.

The permittee has the option to undertake any study deemed necessary to meet the final limitations during the interim period. Any additional treatment must be approved and construction approval granted prior to final installation.

18. MONITORING AND REPORTING.

The applicant is at all times required to monitor the discharge on a regular basis and report the results monthly. The monitoring results will be available to the public.

19. SOURCES.

The following sources were used to draft the permit:

- a. Application No. AR0021776 received 1/22/2008.
- b. Additional information received 9/11/2008.
- c. Arkansas Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP).
- d. APCEC Regulation No. 2.
- e. APCEC Regulation No. 3.
- f. APCEC Regulation No. 6.
- g. APCEC Regulation No. 8.
- h. 40 CFR Parts 122, 125, 133 and 403.
- i. Discharge permit file AR0021776.
- j. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs).
- k. "Arkansas Water Quality Inventory Report 2004 (305B)", ADEQ.
- l. Memo from Mo Shafii to Engineers dated March 28, 2005
- m. "Identification and Classification of Perennial Streams of Arkansas", Arkansas Geological Commission.
- n. Continuing Planning Process (CPP).
- o. Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxic Control.

- p. Region 6 Implementation Guidance for Arkansas Water Quality Standards promulgated at 40 CFR Part 131.36.
- q. Inspection Report dated 11/7/2007
- r. Site visit conducted on 2/6/2008
- s. Discharge Monitoring Reports from AR0041734
- t. TMDL recommendations on Mine Creek (ADEQ, 2000).
- u. Consent Administrative Order (ADEQ, 2006)

20. POINT OF CONTACT.

For additional information, contact:

Jennifer Harmon
Permits Branch, Water Division
Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
5301 Northshore Drive
North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317
Telephone: (501) 682-0627

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	
83											Dissolved	Total					
84	The following formulas are used to calculate water quality criteria based on Regulation No. 2 (Act 472 of Ark 1949)										WQC (ug/l)	WQC(ug/l)					
85	Cadmium			Acute			WER X CF1 X e(1.128[ln(hardness)]-3.828)				1.04						
86				Chronic			WER X CF2 X e(0.7852[ln(hardness)]-3.490)				0.43						
87																	
88	Chromium Tri			Acute			WER X 0.316 X e(0.819[ln(hardness)]+3.688)				210.28						
89				Chronic			WER X 0.86 X e(0.819[ln(hardness)]+1.561)				68.21						
90																	
91	Chromium Hex			Acute			WER X 0.982 X 16				15.71						
92				Chronic			WER X 11 X 0.962				10.58						
93																	
94	Copper			Acute			WER X 0.96 X e(0.9422[ln(hardness)]-1.464)				5.64						
95				Chronic			WER X 0.96 X e(0.8545[ln(hardness)]-1.465)				4.17						
96																	
97	Lead			Acute			WER X e(1.273[ln(hardness)]-1.460)*CF3				17.68						
98				Chronic			WER X e(1.273[ln(hardness)]-4.705)*CF3				0.69						
99																	
100	Mercury			Acute			WER X 0.85 X 2.4				2.04						
101				Chronic			WER X 0.012				0.01						
102																	
103	Nickel			Acute			WER X 0.998 X e(0.8460[ln(hardness)]+3.3612)				525.50						
104				Chronic			WER X 0.997 X e(0.8460[ln(hardness)]+1.1645)				68.36						
105																	
106	Zinc			Acute			WER X 0.978 X e(0.8473[ln(hardness)]+0.8604)				42.43						
107				Chronic			WER X 0.986 X e(0.8473[ln(hardness)]+0.7614)				38.74						
108																	
109	Silver			Acute			WER X 0.85 X e(1.72[ln(hardness)]-6.52)				0.46						
110																	
111	Cyanide			Acute			WER X 22.36				22.36						
112				Chronic			WER X 5.2				5.20						
113																	
114	Arsenic			Acute			WER X 360				360.00						
115				Chronic			WER X 190				190.00						
116																	
117	Beryllium			Acute			WER X 130				130.00						
118				Chronic			WER X 5.3				5.30						
119																	
120	Selenium			Acute			WER X 20				20.00						
121				Chronic			WER X 5				5.00						
122																	
123	The following formulas are applicable to the Jet Stream Model for lakes for calculating the Dilution Factor (DF):																
124							DF = ((2.8 * D * 3.1416^0.5) / X) where DF is % of effluent at distance X, D is the diameter of the outfall pipe										
125							and X is aquatic life criteria--25 feet for ZID; 100 feet for mixing zone; human health criteria 200 feet for mixing zone.										
126							DF =	#VALUE!	Acute	#VALUE!	Chronic	#VALUE!	Bioacc.				
127																	
128	The following formulas are used to calculate the instream waste concentration (IWC) for each pollutant:																
129																	
130	Streams, Rivers & Lake						IWC = [(Frac X Critical Flow X Cb) + (2.13 X Ce X Qd)] / [Frac X Critical Flow + Qd] where the critical flow is the 7Q10 except for lakes with the Jet Stream Model.										
131							IWC = (DF X Ce) + Cb for lakes with Jet Stream Model.										

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
132																
133	POLLUTANTS				MLL	Background Conc. Cb ug/l	Effluent Conc. Ce ug/l	Domestic Supply IWC ug/l	Acute Aquatic IWC ug/l	Chronic Aquatic IWC ug/l	Bioacc. IWC ug/l	Domestic Criteria ug/l	Arkansas Acute Aquatic ug/l	Arkansas Chronic Aquatic ug/l	Arkansas Bioacc. ug/l	
134					ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
138	METALS AND CYANIDE															
139	1	Antimony Total			60	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9000.00	1600.00	4300	
140	2	Arsenic Total			0.5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50	633.81	334.51	1.4	
141	3	Beryllium Total			0.5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	130.00	5.30	0.076	
142	4	Cadmium Total			1	0	1.38	0.88	1.16	1.00	0.51	10	4.37	1.82	#####	
143	6	Chromium (Tr)			10	0	23.6	14.99	19.84	17.04	8.67	50	1006.35	326.45	#####	
144	7	Chromium (hex)			10	0	7.35	4.67	6.18	5.31	2.70	50	15.71	10.58	#####	
145	8	Copper Total			0.5	10.33	6.45	7.87	7.07	7.53	8.90	#####	14.79	10.93	#####	
146	9	Lead Total			0.5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50	87.29	3.40	#####	
147	10	Mercury Total			0.005	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2	6.70	0.012	0.15	
148	12	Nickel Total			0.5	0	143	90.85	120.22	103.28	52.53	#####	1061.45	117.88	4600	
149	13	Selenium Total			5	0	32.3	20.52	27.16	23.33	11.87	10	20.00	5.00	#####	
150	14	Silver Total			0.5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50	1.51	#####	#####	
151	15	Thallium Total			0.5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	1400.00	#####	6.3	
152	16	Zinc Total			20	65.33	35.1	46.13	39.91	43.50	54.23	#####	130.87	119.50	#####	
153	129	Phenols, Total			5	0	73.8	46.88	62.05	53.30	27.11	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
154	17	Cyanide Total			10	0	14.2	9.02	11.94	10.26	5.22	#####	22.36	5.2	220000	
157	DIOXIN															
158	18	2-3-7-8-TCDD			0.00001	0	?	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#####	0.01	1.00E+07	1.00E-06	
160	VOLATILE COMPOUNDS															
161	19	Acrolein			50	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	68	21	780	
162	20	Acrylonitrile			20	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	7550	2600	6.6	
163	21	Benzene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5	5300	9999999	710	
164	22	Bromoform			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999	#####	3600	
165	23	Carbon Tetrach			2	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5	35200	#####	44	
166	24	Chlorobenzene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	250	50	2.10E+04	
167	25	Chlorodibromomethane			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999	#####	340	
168	26	Chloroethane			50	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999	#####	1.00E+07	
169	27	2-Chloroethylvinyl ether			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999	#####	1.00E+07	
170	28	Chloroform			10	0	1.29	0.82	1.08	0.93	0.47	#####	28900	1240	4700	
171	29	Dichlorobromomethane			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999	#####	220	
172	30	1-1-Dichloroethane			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7	9999999.00	#####	#####	
173	31	1-2-Dichloroethane			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5	11800	20000	990	
174	32	1-1-Dichloroethylene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	11600	#####	32	
175	33	1,2-Dichloropropane			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	23000	5700	#####	
176	34	1,3-Dichloropropylene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	6060	244	1700	
177	35	Ethylbenzene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	32000	#####	29000	
178	37	Methyl Chloride			50	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
179	36	Methyl bromide			50	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	4000	
180	38	Methylene chloride			20	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	16000	
181	39	1-1-2-2-Tetrachloroethane			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9320	2400	110	
182	40	Tetrachloroethylene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	5280	840	88.5	
183	41	Toluene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	17500	#####	2.00E+05	
184	42	1,2-trans-dichloroethylene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
185	44	1-1-2-Trichloroethane			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	18000	9400	420	
186	43	1-1-1-Trichloroethane			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	200	18000	#####	#####	
187	45	Trichloroethylene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5	45000	21900	810	
188	46	Vinyl Chloride			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2	9999999.00	#####	5250	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
190	ACID COMPOUNDS															
191	47	2-Chlorophenol			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
192	48	2,4-Dichlorophenol			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	4380	#####	#####	
193	49	2,4-Dimethylphenol			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	2020	365	#####	
194	50	4,6-Dinitro-o-Cresol			50	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
195	51	2,4-Dinitrophenol			50	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	765	
196	52-53	Nitrophenols			20	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	14000	
197	54	4-Chloro-3-methylphenol			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	230	150	#####	
198	55	Pentachlorophenol			5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	30	#####	#####	
199	56	Phenol			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	8.45	5.34	82	
200	57	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	10200	2560	4800000	
												#####	9999999.00	#####	65	
202						Ambient Background Conc.	Effluent Conc.	Domestic Supply IWC	Acute Aquatic IWC	Chronic Aquatic IWC	Human Health IWC	Domestic Criteria ug/l	Acute Aquatic Criteria ug/l	Chronic Aquatic Criteria ug/l	Human Health Criteria ug/l	
203	BASE/NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS															
204	58	Acenaphthene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	1700	520	#####	
205	59	Acenaphthylene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
206	60	Anthracene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	110000	
207	61	Benzidine			50	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	2500	#####	5.40E-03	
208	62	Benzo(a)anthracene			5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	0.31	
209	63	Benzo(a)pyrene			5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	0.31	
210	64	3,4-benzofluranthene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	0.31	
211	65	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene			20	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
212	66	Benzo(k)fluoranthene			5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	0.31	
213	67	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
214	68	Bis(2-chloroethyl) Ether			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	14	
215	69	Bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) eth			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	1.70E+05	
216	70	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate			10	0	4.7	2.99	3.95	3.39	1.73	#####	9999999.00	#####	50	
217	71	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
218	72	Butylbenzyl phthalate			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
219	73	2-chloronaphthalene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	1600	#####	#####	
220	74	4-chlorophenyl phenyl ether			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
221	75	Chrysene			5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	0.31	
222	76	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene			5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	0.31	
223	77-79	Dichlorobenzene(1,2-1,3-1,4)			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	1120	763	2600	
224	80	3,3' Dichlorobenzidine			5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	0.77	
225	81	Diethyl Phthalate			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	1.20E+05	
226	82	Dimethyl phthalate			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	2.90E+08	
227	83	Di-n-Butyl phthalate			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	1.20E+04	
228	84	2,4-Dinitrotoluene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	330	230	91	
229	85	2,6-Dinitrotoluene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
230	86	Di-n-octyl phthalate			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
231	87	1,2-diphenylhydrazine			20	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	270	#####	5.4	
232	88	Fluoranthene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	3980	#####	370	
233	89	Fluorene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	14500	
234	90	Hexachlorobenzene			5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	0.0077	
235	91	Hexachlorobutadiene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	90	9.3	500	
236	92	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	7	5.2	1.74E+04	
237	93	Hexachloroethane			20	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	980	540	89	
238		Hexachlorocyclohexane				0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	2	0.08	#####	
239	94	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene			5	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	0.31	
240	95	Isophorone			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	117000	#####	8000	
241	96	Naphthalene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	2300	620	#####	
242	97	Nitrobenzene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	27000	#####	1900	
243	98	N-nitrosodimethylamine			50	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	81	
244	99	N-nitrosodi-n-propylamine			20	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
245	100	N-nitrosodiphenylamine			20	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	160	
246	101	Phenanthrene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
247	103	1,2,4-trichlorobenzene			10	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	9999999.00	#####	#####	
248																
249	PESTICIDES															
250	104	Aldrin			0.01	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	3.00	#####	0.0014	
251	105	Alpha-BHC			0.05	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	2.00	0.08	0.0373	
252	106	Beta-BHC			0.05	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	2.00	0.08	0.46	
253	107	Gamma-BHC			0.05	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	2.00	0.05	0.63	
254	108	Delta-BHC			0.05	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	2.00	0.08	#####	
255	109	Chlordane			0.2	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	2.40	0.0043	0.009	
256	110	4,4'-DDT			0.02	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	1.10	0.001	0.0060	
257	111	4,4'-DDE			0.1	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	1.10	0.001	0.0060	
258	112	4,4'-DDD			0.1	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	1.10	0.001	0.0084	
259	113	Endrin			0.02	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	2.50	0.0019	0.0012	
260	114	Alpha-endosulfan			0.01	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	0.22	0.056	2	
261	115	Beta-endosulfan			0.02	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#####	0.22	0.056	2	

