



ARKANSAS
Department of Environmental Quality

NOV 30 2015

CERTIFIED MAIL: RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED (91 7199 9991 7030 4906 4919)

George Garten, Environmental Manager
Great Lakes Chemical Corporation - West Plant
2226 Haynesville Highway
El Dorado, AR 71730

RE: Discharge Permit Number AR0043516, AFIN 70-00101

Dear Mr. Garten:

Enclosed are the public notice, a copy of the draft permit and Statement of Basis which the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) has prepared and mailed to you on the above date under the authority of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) and the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act. A copy of the final permit will be mailed to you when the Department has made a final permitting decision.

In accordance with Reg. 8.207, the enclosed public notice will be or has been published by ADEQ in a newspaper of general circulation of your facility for one (1) day only. An invoice for the cost of publishing the public notice and proof of publication will be sent to you by the advertising newspaper. The permittee must send proof of publication and proof of payment to the address at the bottom of this letter as soon as possible but no later than 30 days from the above date. Until this Department receives proof of publication of the public notice and payment of all permit fees, no further action will be taken on the issuance of your discharge permit.

This is a modified permit. In accordance with 40 CFR 122.62, only the portions of the permit which are the subject of the modification are open for comment at this time.

For a list of changes, please see Section 6 of the enclosed Statement of Basis. Comments must be received at ADEQ prior to the close of the public comment period as described in the enclosed public notice. Once a final permit is issued by the Director and becomes effective, the permittee must comply with all terms and conditions of the permit, or be subject to enforcement actions for any instances of noncompliance during the duration of the permit, usually five (5) years. Consequently, it is imperative that you, as the applicant, thoroughly review the enclosed documentation for accuracy, applicability, and your ability to comply with all conditions therein.

Should you have any questions concerning any part of the draft permit, please contact Loretta Reiber, P.E. at (501) 682-0612.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ellen Carpenter", is written over a horizontal line.

Ellen Carpenter
Chief, Water Division

EC:lr

Enclosure

PUBLIC NOTICE OF DRAFT MODIFICATION OF DISCHARGE PERMIT
PERMIT NUMBER AR0043516, AFIN 70-00101

This is to give notice that the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ), Water Division, 5301 Northshore Drive, North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317 at telephone number (501) 682-0622, proposes a draft modification of the permit number AR0043516 for which an application was received on 3/26/2014 with all additional information received by 7/25/2014 for the following applicant under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) and the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act.

Applicant: Great Lakes Chemical Corporation - West Plant, 5812 Shuler Road, Marysville, AR 71753. Location: 5821 Shuler Road, Marysville, AR 71753 - go approximately 15 miles on Hwy. 82 West from El Dorado to Midway Road then south to Shuler Road then west - the facility is on the south side of the road; Latitude: 33° 11' 02.36"; Longitude: 92° 56' 16.02" in Union County, Arkansas. The discharge of stormwater runoff is into Sewell Creek, thence to West Three Creeks, thence to Three Creeks, thence to Cornie Bayou in Segment 2E of the Ouachita River Basin.

This is a modified permit. In accordance with 40 CFR 122.62, only the portions of the permit which are the subject of the modification are open for comment at this time.

1. Steam condensate, sanitary wastewater, cooling tower blowdown, boiler blowdown, and firewater pond have been removed from Parts IA and II (Conditions 6 and 7) of the permit.
2. The FCB and the temperature limits have been removed from the permit.
3. The requirement for a licensed municipal wastewater operator has been removed.
4. The sample type for TSS has been changed from composite to grab.
5. Conditions regarding when the samples must be taken have been added to Part IA of the permit as footnotes 4 and 5. These conditions are based on the general permit for stormwater runoff associated with industrial activity.

ADEQ's contact person for submitting written comments, requesting information regarding the draft permit, or obtaining a copy of the permit and the Statement of Basis is Loretta Reiber, P.E., at the above address and telephone number or by email at Water-Draft-Permit-Comment@adeq.state.ar.us. For those with Internet access, a copy of the proposed draft permit as well as the publication date may be found on the ADEQ's website at: http://www.adeq.state.ar.us/water/branch_permits/individual_permits/pn_permits/pnpermits.asp.

The comment period shall end at 4:30 P.M. (Central Time) on the 30th day after the publication date. If the last day of the comment period is a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday, the public comment period shall expire on the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday. For information regarding the actual publication date along with the actual date and time the comment period will end, please contact Loretta Reiber, P.E. at the above address and telephone number or by email at Water-Draft-Permit-Comment@adeq.state.ar.us. Public notice, comments, and hearings will be conducted in accordance with Regulation 6.104(A)(5) [40 CFR Parts 124.10 through 124.12 by reference] and Regulation 8.209 and 8.210 (Administrative Procedures). All persons, including the permittee, who wish to comment on ADEQ's draft permitting decision must submit written comments to ADEQ, along with their name and mailing address. A Public Hearing will be held when ADEQ finds a significant degree of public interest. After the public comment period, ADEQ will issue a final permitting decision. ADEQ will notify the applicant and each person who has submitted written comments or request notice of the final permitting decision. Any interested person who has submitted comments may appeal a final decision by ADEQ in accordance with the APCEC Regulation No. 8.603.

DRAFT

Statement of Basis

All changes to the Statement of Basis based upon the permit application are italicized.

This is a modified permit and only the modified portion of the permit can be reopened for comment. This Statement of Basis is for information and justification of the permit limits only. Please note that it is not enforceable. This *draft* permitting decision is for modification of the discharge Permit Number AR0043516 with Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Facility Identification Number (AFIN) 70-00101 to discharge to Waters of the State.

1. PERMITTING AUTHORITY.

The issuing office is:

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
5301 Northshore Drive
North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317

2. APPLICANT.

The applicant's mailing address is:

Great Lakes Chemical Corporation - West Plant
P.O. Box 7020
El Dorado, AR 71731

The facility address is:

Great Lakes Chemical Corporation - West Plant
5812 Shuler Road
Marysville, AR 71753

3. PREPARED BY.

The permit was prepared by:

Loretta Reiber, P.E.
Staff Engineer
Discharge Permits Section, Water Division
(501) 682-0612
E-mail: reiber@adeq.state.ar.us

*John Bailey, P.E.
Branch Manager
Permits Branch, Water Division
(501) 682-0629
bailey@adeq.state.ar.us*

4. PERMIT ACTIVITY.

Previous Permit Effective Date: 8/1/2013
Previous Permit Expiration Date: 7/31/2018

DRAFT

Page 2 of Statement of Basis
Permit Number: AR0043516
AFIN: 70-00101

The permittee submitted a permit application on 3/24/2014 with additional information received by 12/30/2014. In the permit application and supplemental information, the permittee requested several changes to the permit. The requested changes and the Department's responses are as follows:

REQUESTED CHANGES TO EFFLUENT DESCRIPTION

<i>Wastewater</i>	<i>GLCC Proposal</i>	<i>ADEQ Response</i>
<i>Sanitary WW</i>	<i>see below</i>	
<i>Air Conditioner Condensate</i>	<i>This stream will be discharged under the facility's IGP coverage.</i>	<i>Part 1.6.10 of IGP lists this stream as an acceptable non-stormwater discharge. The Department has no additional comments regarding this change.</i>
<i>cooling tower blowdown</i>	<i>Class V bromine spent brine disposal well</i>	<i>Disposal of these wastewaters in the Class V bromine spent brine disposal well has been approved by the Arkansas Oil and Gas Commission.</i>
<i>boiler blowdown</i>		
<i>Firewater Pond Overflow</i>	<i>This stream will be discharged under the facility's IGP coverage.</i>	<i>Part 1.6.12 of IGP lists this stream as an acceptable non-stormwater discharge. The Department has no additional comments regarding this change.</i>
<i>steam condensate</i>	<i>IGP or Class V bromine spent brine disposal well</i>	<i>Part 1.6.9 of IGP lists this stream as an acceptable non-stormwater discharge. Disposal of these wastewaters in the Class V bromine spent brine disposal well has been approved by the Arkansas Oil and Gas Commission.</i>

Sanitary Wastewater

The sanitary wastewater system serves approximately 13 people on the day shift and three people on the night shift. The permittee stated in a letter dated May 26, 2015, that the sanitary wastewater is being collected in a tank and hauled off site as necessary by a licensed septic hauler.

Cooling Tower Blowdown, Boiler Blowdown, and Steam Condensate

After several e-mails with the facility, EPA, and AOGC, AOGC sent an e-mail to the Department dated June 12, 2015, stated that they are "...satisfied that the process which includes certain waters, blowdown and condensate streams outside the feed brine inlet and put through line (system) is all part of what is required to process the feed brine and is integrally related in this process."

DRAFT

Page 3 of Statement of Basis
Permit Number: AR0043516
AFIN: 70-00101

The permittee will be injecting these waters into a Class V bromine spent brine disposal well as allowed by AOGC under Permit No. 27847 (a/k/a Sandy Creek Field in Section 31, Township 16S, Range 17 West).

The permittee may also discharge the steam condensate under the general permit for stormwater runoff associated with industrial activity, Section 1.6.9. The permittee will need to make all necessary updates to their Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan to reflect this discharge stream.

CHANGES TO PERMITTED PARAMETERS/REQUIREMENTS

FCB and Temperature

Request: *The permittee requested that the FCB and the temperature requirements be removed from the permit. The sanitary wastewater, cooling tower blowdown, and boiler blowdown are no longer discharged under this permit.*

ADEQ Response: *The FCB and the temperature limits will be removed from the permit. These limits are being removed due to material and substantial alterations to the permitted facility which occurred after the NPDES permit was renewed in August 2013. See CWA §402(o)(2)(A). Discussion regarding anti-backsliding may be found in Item 13.B of this Statement of Basis.*

TSS

Request: *The permittee requested that the TSS sample type be changed to grab due to the removal of several waste streams.*

ADEQ Response: *Since the discharges will now consist only of stormwater runoff, the TSS sample type will be changed from composite to grab. Conditions have been added to Part IA of the permit as footnotes 4 and 5 regarding when the samples must be taken. These conditions are based on the general permit for stormwater runoff associated with industrial activity (ARR000000).*

Licensed Operator

Request: *The permittee requested that the requirement for a licensed municipal wastewater operator be removed from the permit since there is not a treatment system for stormwater.*

ADEQ Response: *The permit included the requirement for a licensed municipal wastewater operator due to the presence of the sanitary wastewater treatment plant. Since the sanitary wastewater treatment system will no longer be discharging to a surface water, the requirement for the licensed operator will be removed. No treatment is associated with the*

stormwater discharges which will be allowed under this modified NPDES permit. Therefore, the permittee will not be required to have a licensed industrial wastewater operator. It is important to note that if the permittee installs any treatment equipment at a later date, they will need to modify this permit to include the requirement for a licensed operator.

WET Testing Requirements

Request: *The permittee requested that the chronic WET language be modified to reflect short term discharges using the 48-hour definitive toxicity testing acute methods.*

ADEQ Response: The changes requested regarding the WET test requirements will not be made at this time for the following reasons:

- *Five WET tests have been conducted since the changes were implemented. Failures were indicated to have occurred during the 3rd quarter of 2014 and 2nd quarter 2015 WET tests. Therefore the permittee has not demonstrated that the sources of the toxicity issues have been eliminated. A minimum of four consecutive tests must be conducted using composite samples, without adjusting the hardness of the effluent, meeting the required holding time, and have no failures (lethal or sub-lethal) indicated in the results before the Department will consider modifying the WET requirements.*
- *The WET tests were conducted including a 100% effluent hardness adjusted aliquot. The use of hardness adjusted effluent in the WET tests was allowed in a letter from ADEQ dated 4/26/2004 because of the dominance of Sparta Aquifer groundwater. According to the request for modification, water which was pulled from the Sparta Aquifer and used at this facility can no longer be discharged since the changes listed in the permittee's request above have already been made. Therefore, the effluent used to conduct the WET tests did not contain any water which originated in the Sparta Aquifer. The hardness shall no longer be adjusted for any of the WET test aliquots.*
- *The WET tests were conducted using only one grab sample. The permittee stated that one grab sample was used as allowed by Part II, Condition 8.3.d.iv.*

Part II, Condition 8.3.d.iv states "If the flow from the outfall(s) being tested ceases during the collection of effluent samples, the requirements for the minimum number of effluent samples, the minimum number of effluent portions and the sample holding time are waived during that sampling period. However, the permittee must have collected an effluent composite sample volume during the period of discharge that is sufficient to complete the required toxicity tests with daily renewal of effluent. When possible, the effluent samples used for the toxicity tests shall be collected on separate days if the discharge occurs over multiple days. The effluent composite sample collection duration and the static renewal protocol associated with the abbreviated sample collection must be documented in the full report required in Item 3 of this section."

This condition does not automatically allow for the collection of only one grab sample for the chronic WET test. As specified in the underline portion of the condition above, a composite sample is required. The only condition under which a single grab sample would be allowed is if the discharge lasted less than one hour and no other discharges occurred during the days following the collection of the first sample.

The permittee had not provided documentation indicating that the discharges lasted less than one hour or that no other discharges occurred in the days following the collection of the grab sample.

- *The WET test report for the 2nd quarter test in 2014 indicated that the one grab sample was taken at 4 pm on 6/8/2014 but the tests did not start until 5:10 pm on 6/10/2014, over 49 hours after the collection of the sample. Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, Fourth Edition, October 2002 state that the holding time from sample collection to first use of the sample must not exceed 36 hours. If the delivery time cannot be met, the permitting authority can allow an option for onsite testing or a variance for an extension of shipped sample holding time. The request for a variance in sample holding time, directed to the USEPA Regional Administrator under 40 CFR 136.3(e) should include supportive data which show that the toxicity of the effluent sample is not reduced (e.g., because of volatilization and/or sorption of toxics on the sample container surfaces) by extending the holding time beyond more than 36 hours.*

This holding time is included in the permit in Part II, Condition 8.3.d.iii. Neither the permittee nor the testing laboratory contacted the Department to request an extension of the initial holding time.

The request to modify the WET test requirements may be resubmitted after four consecutive quarterly tests have demonstrated no lethal or sub-lethal failures and have been conducted in accordance with the requirements set forth in Part II, Condition 8 of the permit. Also, the permittee may not adjust the hardness of any of the effluent aliquots used in the WET tests.

DOCUMENT ABBREVIATIONS

In the document that follows, various abbreviations are used. They are as follows:

BAT - best available technology economically achievable
BCT - best conventional pollutant control technology
BMP - best management practice
BOD₅ - five-day biochemical oxygen demand
BPJ - best professional judgment
BPT - best practicable control technology currently available
CBOD₅ - carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand
CD - critical dilution
CFR - Code of Federal Regulations
cfs - cubic feet per second
COD - chemical oxygen demand
COE - United States Corp of Engineers
CPP - continuing planning process
CWA - Clean Water Act
DMR - discharge monitoring report
DO - dissolved oxygen
ELG - effluent limitation guidelines
EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency
ESA - Endangered Species Act
FCB - fecal coliform bacteria
gpm - gallons per minute
MGD - million gallons per day
MQL - minimum quantification level
NAICS - North American Industry Classification System
NH₃-N - ammonia nitrogen
NO₃ + NO₂-N - nitrate + nitrite nitrogen
NPDES - National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
O&G - oil and grease
Reg. 2 - APCEC Regulation No. 2
Reg. 6 - APCEC Regulation No. 6
Reg. 8 - APCEC Regulation No. 8
Reg. 9 - APCEC Regulation No. 9
RP - reasonable potential
SIC - standard industrial classification
TDS - total dissolved solids
TMDL - total maximum daily load
TP - total phosphorus
TRC - total residual chlorine
TSS - total suspended solids

UAA - use attainability analysis
USF&WS - United States Fish and Wildlife Service
WET - Whole effluent toxicity
WQMP - water quality management plan
WQS - Water Quality standards
WWTP - wastewater treatment plant

DMR Review:

The Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMR's) for the last three years were reviewed during the permit renewal process. There were violations of the FCB limits during the months of September 2010 and December 2011 noted during the review of permit data.

Legal Order Review:

There are currently no active Consent Administrative Orders (CAOs) or Notice of Violations (NOVs) for this facility.

5. FINANCIAL ASSURANCE

The permittee is not required to submit financial assurance for this permit since the sanitary sewer treatment plant only serves this business.

6. SIGNIFICANT CHANGES FROM THE PREVIOUSLY ISSUED PERMIT.

The permittee is responsible for carefully reading the permit in detail and becoming familiar with all of the changes therein: *See Item #4 of this Statement of Basis for additional information concerning these changes to the permit.*

- 1. Steam condensate, sanitary wastewater, cooling tower blowdown, boiler blowdown, and firewater pond have been removed from Parts IA and II (Conditions 6 and 7) of the permit.*
- 2. The FCB and the temperature limits have been removed from the permit.*
- 3. The requirement for a licensed municipal wastewater operator has been removed due to the removal of the sanitary wastewater treatment system.*
- 4. The sample type for TSS has been changed from composite to grab since only stormwater runoff may be discharged under this permit.*
- 5. Conditions regarding when the samples must be taken have been added to Part IA of the permit as footnotes 4 and 5. These conditions are based on the general permit for stormwater runoff associated with industrial activity.*

7. RECEIVING STREAM SEGMENT AND DISCHARGE LOCATION.

The outfall is located at the following coordinates based on the permit application and confirmed with Google Earth using WGS84:

Latitude: 33° 10' 52.90" Longitude: 92° 56' 17.40"

The receiving waters named:

Sewell Creek, thence to West Three Creeks, thence to Three Creeks, thence to Cornie Bayou in Segment 2E of the Ouachita River Basin. The receiving stream with USGS Hydrologic Unit Code (H.U.C) of 08040202 and reach #916 (NHD Med. Resolution) is a Water of the State classified for secondary contact recreation, raw water source for domestic (public and private), industrial, and agricultural water supplies, propagation of desirable species of fish and other aquatic life, and other compatible uses.

8. 303(d) LIST, ENDANGERED SPECIES, AND ANTI-DEGRADATION CONSIDERATIONS.

A. 303(d) List:

The receiving stream is not listed on the 2008 303(d) list. Therefore no permit action is needed.

B. Endangered Species:

No comments on the application were received from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USF&WS). The draft permit and Statement of Basis were sent to the USF&WS for their review.

C. Anti-Degradation:

The limitations and requirements set forth in this permit for discharge into waters of the State are consistent with the Antidegradation Policy and all other applicable water quality standards found in APC&EC Regulation No. 2.

DRAFT

Page 9 of Statement of Basis
Permit Number: AR0043516
AFIN: 70-00101

9. **OUTFALL, TREATMENT PROCESS DESCRIPTION, AND FACILITY CONSTRUCTION.**

The following is a description of the facility described in the application:

- A. Average Flow: *variable*
- B. Type of Treatment: *none*
- C. Discharge Description: *contaminated* stormwater runoff
- D. Facility Status: This facility was evaluated using the NPDES Permit Rating Worksheet (MRAT) to determine the correct permitting status. Since the facility's MRAT score of 20 is less than 80, this facility is classified as a Minor industrial.
- E. Facility Construction: This permit does not authorize or approve the construction or modification of any part of the treatment system or facilities. Approval for such construction must be by permit issued under Reg. 6.202.

10. **ACTIVITY.**

Under the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code of 2819 or North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code of 325180, the applicant's activities are the operation of an inorganic chemical manufacturing facility.

11. **SOLIDS PRACTICES.**

Solids will no longer be generated by the activities authorized under this permit.

12. **PERMIT CONDITIONS.**

The Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality has made a determination to issue a permit for the discharge described in the application. Permit requirements are based on federal regulations (40 CFR Parts 122, 124, and Subchapter N), the National Pretreatment Regulation in 40 CFR Part 403 and regulations promulgated pursuant to the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Ark. Code Ann. 8-4-101 et. seq.).

A. Effluent Limitations

Outfall 001 – *contaminated* stormwater runoff

1. Conventional and/or Toxic Pollutants

<u>Effluent Characteristics</u>	<u>Discharge Limitations</u>				<u>Monitoring Requirements</u>	
	Mass (lbs/day, unless otherwise specified)		Concentration (mg/l, unless otherwise specified)		Frequency	Sample Type
	Monthly Avg.	Daily Max	Monthly Avg.	Daily Max		
Flow	N/A	N/A	Report, MGD	Report, MGD	once/day	totalizing meter
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	N/A	N/A	N/A	35	once/quarter	grab
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	N/A	N/A	20	30	once/month	<i>grab</i>
Oil and Grease (O & G)	N/A	N/A	10	15	once/year	grab
pH	N/A	N/A	<u>Minimum</u> 6.0 s.u.	<u>Maximum</u> 9.0 s.u.	once/month	grab
<u>Whole Effluent Lethality*</u> (7-day NOEC)	<u>Daily Average Minimum</u> not < 100%		<u>7-day Minimum</u> not < 100%		once/quarter	composite
<u>Whole Effluent Sub-Lethality</u> <u>for <i>C. dubia</i></u> (7-day NOEC)	<u>Daily Average Minimum</u> not < 80%		<u>7-day Minimum</u> not < 80%		once/quarter	composite
<u>Whole Effluent Sub-Lethality</u> <u>for <i>P. promelas</i></u> (7-day NOEC)	N/A		Report %		once/quarter	composite

*WET lethal limit is applicable to both species.

- Solids, Foam, and Free Oil:** There shall be no discharge of distinctly visible solids, scum, or foam of a persistent nature, nor shall there be any formation of slime, bottom deposits, or sludge banks. There shall be no visible sheen due to the presence of oil (Sheen means an iridescent appearance on the surface of the water).

13. BASIS FOR PERMIT CONDITIONS.

The following is an explanation of the derivation of the conditions of the permit and the reasons for them or, in the case of notices of intent to deny or terminate, reasons suggesting the decisions as required under 40 CFR Part 124.7.

Technology-Based Versus Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations and Conditions

Following regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 122.44, the permit limits are based on either technology-based effluent limits pursuant to 40 CFR Part 122.44 (a) or on State water quality standards and requirements pursuant to 40 CFR Part 122.44 (d), whichever are more stringent as follows:

Parameter	Water Quality-Based		Technology-Based		Previous Permit		Final Permit	
	Monthly Avg. mg/l	Daily Max. mg/l	Monthly Avg. mg/l	Daily Max. mg/l	Monthly Avg. mg/l	Daily Max. mg/l	Monthly Avg. mg/l	Daily Max. mg/l
TOC	N/A	N/A	N/A	35	N/A	35	N/A	35
TSS	N/A	N/A	20	30	20	30	20	30
O & G	10	15	N/A	N/A	10	15	10	15
pH	6.0-9.0 s.u.		N/A		6.0-9.0 s.u.		6.0-9.0 s.u.	
Whole Effluent Lethality*	Not <100%		N/A		Not <100%		Not <100%	
Whole Effluent Sub-Lethality for <i>C. dubia</i>	Not < 80%		N/A		Not < 80%**		Not < 80%	
Whole Effluent Sub-Lethality for <i>P. promelas</i>	N/A		Report %		Report %		Report %	

*WET lethal limit is applicable to both species.

**Sub-lethal limit was added at the time of renewal.

A. Justification for Limitations and Conditions of the Draft Permit:

Parameter	Water Quality or Technology	Justification
TOC	Technology	40 CFR 122.44(l) and previous permit
TSS	Technology	40 CFR 122.44(l) and previous permit
O&G	Water Quality	Reg. 2.510
pH	Water Quality	Reg. 2.504
Whole Effluent Lethality and Sub-Lethality	Water Quality	Reg. 2.409

Limits or monitoring and reporting requirements for *TOC, TSS, O&G, pH, and WET* are not changing with this permit *modification*.

The FCB and the temperature requirements are being removed with this permit modification. See Item Nos. 4 and 13.B of this Statement of Basis for additional information.

See Item #14 of this Statement of Basis for information concerning the WET limits. A schedule of compliance for the sub-lethal WET limits has not been included because the permittee has already conducted a sub-lethal study plan.

B. Anti-backsliding

The permit is consistent with the requirements to meet Anti-backsliding provisions of the Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402(o) [40 CFR 122.44(l)]. The final effluent limitations for reissuance permits must be as stringent as those in the previous permit, unless the less stringent limitations can be justified using exceptions listed in 40 CFR 122.44 (l)(2)(i).

The permit maintains the requirements of the previous permit with the exception of the removal of the chlorides monitoring and reporting requirements. The purpose of the chlorides requirements was to demonstrate compliance with the condition forbidding the discharge of process wastewater to a Water of the State. The permittee disposes of process wastewater under ADEQ Permit Nos. 0009-UR-1 and 1755-WR-5. Records regarding the amounts of wastewater stored and injected into the UIC wells are required to be kept. The Department reserves the right to review those records to verify that process wastewater is being disposed in a legal manner.

The removal of the requirement does not violated the anti-backsliding requirements because it is being replaced with an equivalent requirement. Also, it was not a permit limit.

The FCB and the temperature limits were removed from the permit since sanitary wastewater and cooling tower blowdown are no longer being discharged under this permit. These limits were being removed due to material and substantial alterations to the permitted facility which occurred after the NPDES permit was renewed in August 2013. (CWA §402(o)(2)(A)) See Item #4 of this Statement of Basis for additional information.

The requirement for a licensed municipal wastewater operator has been removed from the permit since the permittee no longer discharges from a sanitary wastewater treatment system under this permit. The removal of this requirement does not violate the anti-

backsliding standards of 40 CFR 122.44(l) since the change is being made due to material and substantial alterations to the permitted facility which occurred after the NPDES permit was renewed in August 2013. (40 CFR 122.44(l)(2)(i)(A))

No treatment is associated with the stormwater discharges which will be allowed under this modified NPDES permit. Therefore, the permittee will not be required to have a licensed industrial wastewater operator.

C. Limits Calculations

1. Mass limits

Mass limits are not feasible for this facility. The majority of the effluent is comprised of cooling water and stormwater runoff. These types of wastewater are variable and dependent on the weather conditions.

2. Daily Maximum Limits

The daily maximum limit for TSS is based on Section 5.4.2 of the Technical Support Document for Water Quality-Based Toxics Control.

Daily Maximum limits = Monthly average limits X 1.5

The daily maximum limits for FCB and O & G are based on Regs. 2.507 and 2.510, respectively.

3. Applicable Effluent Limitations Guidelines

40 CFR Part 415 Inorganic Chemicals Manufacturing Point Source Category, Subpart AC – Bromine Production Subcategory states that there shall be no discharge of process wastewater pollutants to navigable waters, except that residual brine and depleted liquor may be returned to the body of water from which the process brine solution was originally withdrawn (40 CFR 415.292).

Part IA of the permit allows for the discharge of stormwater runoff. Condition No. 6 of Part II specifically states that the permittee is restricted to only discharging that type of wastewater. Since process wastewater is not a permissible type of effluent, the requirements of 40 CFR 415.292 are met.

The permittee is allowed to dispose of the process wastewater in a Class I Underground Injection Control (UIC) well under ADEQ Permit No. 0009-UR-1. The permittee also has the option of disposing of the process wastewater in a Class V UIC debrominated brine disposal well which is permitted by the Arkansas Oil and Gas

Commission. The permittee's brine management disposal system, i.e., holding facilities, emergency containment facilities, pipeline, and tanks, are permitted under ADEQ Permit No. 1755-WR-5. No discharge to a surface water is allowed under 0009-UR-1 or 1755-WR-5. The Department reserves the right to inspect the records required under both of those permits in order to verify compliance with Condition No. 6 of Part II of the permit.

4. Stormwater Runoff

The permittee is required to obtain separate permit coverage for all stormwater runoff associated with industrial activity at this site which is not discharged through Outfall 001. BMP requirements have been placed in this permit and are applicable to the areas where the stormwater runoff is routed to Outfall 001.

14. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY.

Section 101(a)(3) of the Clean Water Act states that ".....it is the national policy that the discharge of toxic pollutants in toxic amounts be prohibited....." To ensure that the CWA's prohibitions for toxics are met, EPA has issued a "Policy for the Development of Water Quality-Based Permit Limitations for Toxic Pollutants (49 FR 9016-9019, 3/9/84)." In support of the national policy, Region 6 adopted the "Policy for Post Third Round NPDES Permitting" and the "Post Third Round NPDES Permit Implementation Strategy" on October 1, 1992. In addition, ADEQ is required under 40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1), adopted by reference in Regulation 6, to include conditions as necessary to achieve water quality standards as established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act.

The Regional policy and strategy are designed to ensure that no source will be allowed to discharge any wastewater which (1) results in instream aquatic toxicity; (2) causes a violation of an applicable narrative or numerical State Water Quality Standard (WQS) resulting in non-conformance with the provisions of 40 CFR Part 122.44(d); (3) results in the endangerment of a drinking water supply; or (4) results in aquatic bioaccumulation which threatens human health.

Whole effluent toxicity (WET) testing has been established for assessing and protecting against impacts upon water quality and designated uses caused by the aggregate toxic effect of the discharge of pollutants. The stipulated test species, which are appropriate to measure whole effluent toxicity, are consistent with the requirements of the State Water Quality Standards. The WET testing frequency has been established to reflect the likelihood of ambient toxicity and to provide data representative of the toxic potential of the facility's discharge, in accordance with the regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 122.48.

Implementation

Arkansas has established a narrative water quality standard under the authority of Section 303 of the CWA which states "toxic materials shall not be present in receiving waters in such quantities as to be toxic to human, animal, plant or aquatic life or to interfere with the normal propagation, growth and survival of aquatic biota."

Whole effluent toxicity testing conducted by the permittee has shown potential ambient toxicity to be the result of the permittee's discharge to the receiving stream or water body, at the appropriate instream critical dilution. Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(v), ADEQ has determined from the permittee's self reporting that the discharge from this facility does have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an instream excursion above the narrative standard within the applicable State Water Quality Standards, in violation of Section 101(a)(3) of the Clean Water Act. Therefore, the draft permit must establish both monthly average and 7-day minimum effluent limitations for lethality and sub-lethality following Regulations promulgated by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(v). These effluent limitations for lethality and sub-lethality (7-day NOEC) are applied at Outfall 001 on the effective date of the permit. The daily average lethality and sub-lethality (7-day NOEC) and 7-day minimum lethality and sub-lethality (7-day NOEC) value shall not be less than 100% (Critical Dilution) effluent for the lethal limits and not less than 80% for the sub-lethal limits at Outfall 001.

WET testing of the effluent is thereby required as a condition of this permit to assess potential toxicity. The WET testing procedures stipulated as a condition of this permit are as follows:

TOXICITY TESTS

Chronic WET

FREQUENCY

Once/quarter

Requirements for measurement frequency are based on the CPP.

Since 7Q10 is less than 100 cfs (ft³/sec) and dilution ratio is less than 100:1, chronic WET testing requirements will be included in the permit.

The calculations for dilution used for chronic WET testing are as follows:

$$\text{Critical dilution (CD)} = (Q_d / (Q_d + Q_b)) \times 100$$

$$Q_d = \text{Average flow} = 0.37 \text{ MGD} = 0.57 \text{ cfs}$$

$$7Q_{10} = 0 \text{ cfs}$$

$$Q_b = \text{Background flow} = 0.67 \times 7Q_{10} = 0 \text{ cfs}$$

$$CD = (0.57) / (0.57 + 0) \times 100 = 100\%$$

Toxicity tests shall be performed in accordance with protocols described in "Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms", EPA/600/4-91/002, July 1994. A minimum of five effluent dilutions in addition to an appropriate control (0%) are to be used in the toxicity tests. These additional effluent concentrations are 32%, 42%, 56%, 80%, and 100% (See the CPP). The low-flow effluent concentration (critical dilution) is defined as 100% effluent. The requirement for chronic WET tests is based on the magnitude of the facility's discharge with respect to receiving stream flow. The stipulated test species, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and the Fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) are representative of organisms indigenous to the geographic area of the facility; the use of these is consistent with the requirements of the State water quality standards. The WET testing frequency has been established to provide data representative of the toxic potential of the facility's discharge, in accordance with the regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 122.48.

Results of all dilutions as well as the associated chemical monitoring of pH, temperature, hardness, dissolved oxygen conductivity, and alkalinity shall be reported according to EPA-821-R-02-013, October 2002 and shall be submitted as an attachment to the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR).

This permit may be reopened to require further WET testing studies, Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) and/or effluent limits if WET testing data submitted to the Department shows toxicity in the permittee's discharge. Modification or revocation of this permit is subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 122.62, as adopted by reference in ADEQ Regulation No. 6. Increased or intensified toxicity testing may also be required in accordance with Section 308 of the Clean Water Act and Section 8- 4-201 of the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Act 472 of 1949, as amended).

Administrative Records

The following information summarizes toxicity tests submitted by the permittee during the term of the current permit at Outfall 001.

DRAFT

Page 17 of Statement of Basis
 Permit Number: AR0043516
 AFIN: 70-00101

Permit Number:	AR0043516	AFIN:	70-00101	Outfall Number:	001
Date of Review:	8/4/2015	Reviewer:	M. Barnett		
Facility Name:	Great Lakes Chemical Company - West				
Previous Dilution series:	32, 45, 56, 75, 100	Proposed Dilution Series:	32, 42, 56, 80, 100		
Previous Critical Dilution:	100	Proposed Critical Dilution:	100		
Previous TRE activities:	TRE in 1996, Sub-lethal study plan approved July 14, 2008, final report received April 26, 2011				
Frequency recommendation by species					
Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow):		once per quarter			
Ceriodaphnia dubia (water flea):		once per quarter			
TEST DATA SUMMARY					
TEST DATE	Vertebrate		Invertebrate		
	Lethal	Sub-Lethal	Lethal	Sub-Lethal	
	NOEC	NOEC	NOEC	NOEC	
9/30/2010	100	75*	100	75	none
9/30/2010			100	75	hardness adjusted
12/31/2010	100	32*	75	75	none
12/31/2010			100	100	hardness adjusted
1/31/2011	100	100	32	0	none
2/28/2011			100	32	none
3/31/2011			0	0	none
4/30/2011	100	0	100	0	none
4/30/2011			75	0	hardness adjusted
5/30/2011			0	0	none
5/30/2011			0	0	hardness adjusted
6/30/2011			0	0	none
7/30/2011			100	56	none
7/30/2011			100	100	hardness adjusted
8/31/2011			100	100	hardness adjusted
8/31/2011			32	32	none
9/30/2011			75	75	hardness adjusted
9/30/2011	100	100	100	100	none
12/31/2011	100	100	100	100	none
1/31/2012	100	100	56	0	none
2/29/2012			56	0	none
3/31/2012			100	100	none
4/30/2012	100	100	100	100	none
5/31/2012			56	56	none
6/30/2012			56	0	none
7/30/2012	100	100	100	100	none
8/31/2012			0	0	none
9/30/2012			100	100	none
10/30/2012	100	100	100	45	none
11/30/2012			100	32	none
3/31/2013	100	100	100	75	none
6/30/2013	100	100	100	100	
9/30/2013	100	100	100	32	
9/30/2013			100	100	hardness adjusted
10/31/2013	100	75	100	100	
12/31/2013	100	100	100	100	
3/31/2014	100	100	100	0	
6/30/2014	100	100	100	100	**

9/30/2014	100	100	100	32	**
12/31/2014	100	100	100	100	**
2/15/2015	100	100	100	45	**
5/18/2015	100	100	100	100	**
Failures noted in BOLD					
Tests conducted during a period (March 1, 2011 to November 4, 2012) when the facility was using modifications to the disinfection (liquid bleach instead of chlorine gas).					
* Sub-lethal <i>P. promelas</i> failures were not noted in side by side UV tests conducted on 100% effluent.					
** April 4, 2014 facility began discharging stormwater only effluent.					
REASONABLE POTENTIAL CALCULATIONS					
	Vertebrate Lethal	Vertebrate Sub-Lethal	Invertebrate Lethal	Invertebrate Sub-Lethal	
Min NOEC Observed	100	31	31	31	
TU at Min Observed	1.00	3.23	3.23	3.23	
Count	22	22	43	43	
Failure Count	0	4	14	26	
Mean	1.000	1.228	1.454	2.004	
Std. Dev.	0.000	0.638	0.813	1.022	
CV	0	0.5	0.6	0.5	
RPMF	0	1.3	1.4	1.3	
Reasonable Potential	0.000	4.194	4.516	4.194	
100/Critical dilution	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Does Reasonable Potential Exist	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	
PERMIT ACTION					
<i>P. promelas</i> lethal - limit 100%					
<i>P. promelas</i> sub-lethal - monitoring					
<i>C. dubia</i> lethal - limit 100%					
<i>C. dubia</i> sub-lethal - limit 80%					

Additional requirements (including WET Limits) rationale/comments concerning permitting:

Hardness adjustment is no longer allowed when conducting WET tests since the permittee will no longer be discharging waters which originated in the Sparta Aquifer. See Item #4 of this Statement of Basis for additional information concerning this issue.

P. promelas

Reasonable potential does not exist for *P. promelas* lethality. Lethal limit is being carried over from the previous permit.

The facility previously demonstrated that in two of the four sub-lethal failures, the tests were conducted with a side by side UV treatment in which sub-lethality was not noted. The third reported *P. promelas* sub-lethal failure was noted during the period when the facility was using sulfur dioxide gas. The facility discontinued using this disinfectant November 4, 2012.

At this time, there is insufficient evidence to support the inclusion of sub-lethal limits. Additional data is needed to confirm the necessity of sub-lethal *P. promelas* limits; therefore they are not required at this time.

The inclusion of requirements for retests for failures will provide sufficient documentation concerning the necessity for a TRE, and the potential for inclusion of WET limits if appropriate.

C. dubia

Reasonable Potential exists for *C. dubia* lethality and sub-lethality. Of the 26 sub-lethal *C. dubia* wet test failures reported, 5 occurred prior to March 1, 2011 and 5 occurred after November 4, 2012. These failures cannot be attributed to “modifications to the disinfection”. Sub-lethal failures were noted in both untreated and hardness adjusted tests. The reported data shows that sub-lethal failures could be occurring for reasons other than the previously discussed contributing factors. Since the facility’s effluent became stormwater only, the facility has reported one *C. dubia* sub-lethal failure. The *C. dubia* lethal and sub-lethal limits are appropriate.

NOTE:

As required in the previous permit, when the failure of a WET Limit is reported (sub-lethal or lethal), the facility must test monthly for that species until three (3) consecutive monthly passing tests are reported.

15. SAMPLE TYPE AND FREQUENCY.

Requirements for sample type and sampling frequency have been based on the current discharge permit. The “24-hr composite” and “6-hr composite” sample types have been changed to “composite” to allow the permittee flexibility in how the required samples are obtained.

The sample type for TSS has been changed to “grab” based upon the changes to the types of effluent being discharged under this permit. See Item No. 4 of this Statement of Basis for additional information.

Parameter	Current Permit		<i>Modified Draft Permit</i>	
	Frequency of Sample	Sample Type	Frequency of Sample	Sample Type
Flow	once/day	totalizing meter	once/day	totalizing meter
TOC	once/quarter	grab	once/quarter	grab
TSS	once/month	composite	once/month	<i>grab</i>
O & G	once/year	grab	once/year	grab
pH	once/month	grab	once/month	grab

16. PERMIT COMPLIANCE *SCHEDULE*.

Compliance with all permit requirements is required on the effective date of the permit. A schedule of compliance for the new sub-lethal limit has not been given because the permittee has already completed a sub-lethal study plan. The purpose of the plan was to investigate the cause of the sub-lethal failures and determine what actions would be needed to prevent future failures.

17. MONITORING AND REPORTING.

The applicant is at all times required to monitor the discharge on a regular basis and report the results monthly. The monitoring results will be available to the public.

18. SOURCES.

The following sources were used to draft the permit:

- A. Application No. AR0043516 received 3/26/2014 with all additional information received by 7/25/2014.
- B. APCEC Regulation No. 2.
- C. APCEC Regulation No. 3.
- D. APCEC Regulation No. 6 *which incorporates by reference certain federal regulations included in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations at Reg. 6.104.*
- E. 40 CFR Parts 122, 125, and 415.
- F. Discharge permit file AR0043516.
- G. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs).
- H. "Arkansas Water Quality Inventory Report 2008 (305(b))", ADEQ.
- I. "Identification and Classification of Perennial Streams of Arkansas", Arkansas Geological Commission.
- J. Continuing Planning Process (CPP).

DRAFT

Page 21 of Statement of Basis
Permit Number: AR0043516
AFIN: 70-00101

- K. Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxic Control.
- L. Inspection Report dated 4/28/2008.
- M. *Telephone conversation between Department personnel and the permittee on 4/28/2015.*
- N. [Letter from the Department to the permittee](#) dated 5/15/2015 concerning Class V UIC Tail Brine Disposal Well Injectate.
- O. *E-mail from AOGC authorizing disposal of certain wastewaters in the Class V UIC bromine depleted brine well dated 6/12/2015.*

19. PUBLIC NOTICE.

The public notice describes the procedures for the formulation of final determinations and shall provide for a public comment period of 30 days. During this period, any interested persons may submit written comments on the permit and may request a public hearing to clarify issues involved in the permitting decision. A request for a public hearing shall be in writing and shall state the nature of the issue(s) proposed to be raised in the hearing.

A copy of the permit and public notice will be sent via email to the Corps of Engineers, the Regional Director of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Department of Arkansas Heritage, the EPA, and the Arkansas Department of Health.

20. POINT OF CONTACT.

For additional information, contact:

Loretta Reiber, P.E.
Permits Branch, Water Division
Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
5301 Northshore Drive
North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317
Telephone: (501) 682-0612

DRAFT

Permit Number: AR0043516
AFIN: 70-00101

**AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE WASTEWATER UNDER
THE NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM AND
THE ARKANSAS WATER AND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL ACT**

In accordance with the provisions of the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Ark. Code Ann. 8-4-101 et seq.), and the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq.),

Great Lakes Chemical Corporation - West Plant

is authorized to discharge contaminated stormwater runoff from a facility located as follows: 5812 Shuler Road, Marysville, AR 71753, travel approximately 15 miles on Hwy. 82 West from El Dorado to Midway Road then south to Shuler Road then west - the facility is on the south side of the road in Union County, Arkansas. The applicant's mailing address is: P.O. Box 7020, El Dorado, AR 71731.

Latitude: 33° 11' 02.36"; Longitude: 92° 56' 16.02"

to receiving waters named:

Sewell Creek, thence to West Three Creeks, thence to Three Creeks, thence to Cornie Bayou in Segment 2E of the Ouachita River Basin.

The outfall is located at the following coordinates:

Outfall 001: Latitude: 33° 10' 52.90"; Longitude: 92° 56' 17.40"

Discharge shall be in accordance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements, and other conditions set forth in this permit. Per Part III.D.10, the permittee must re-apply 180 days prior to expiration date below for permit coverage.

Effective Date: August 1, 2013

Major Modification Effective Date:

Expiration Date: July 31, 2018

Ellen Carpenter
Chief, Water Division
Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality

Modification Issue Date

PART I PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

SECTION A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS: OUTFALL 001 – contaminated stormwater runoff.

During the period beginning on the effective date and lasting until the date of expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge from Outfall 001. Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

<u>Effluent Characteristics</u>	<u>Discharge Limitations</u>				<u>Monitoring Requirements</u>	
	Mass (lbs/day, unless otherwise specified)		Concentration (mg/l, unless otherwise specified)		Frequency ⁴	Sample Type ⁵
	Monthly Avg.	Daily Max	Monthly Avg.	Daily Max		
Flow	N/A	N/A	Report, MGD	Report, MGD	once/day	totalizing meter
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	N/A	N/A	N/A	35	once/quarter	grab
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	N/A	N/A	20	30	once/month	grab
Oil and Grease (O & G)	N/A	N/A	10	15	once/year	grab
pH	N/A	N/A	<u>Minimum</u> 6.0 s.u.	<u>Maximum</u> 9.0 s.u.	once/month	grab
<u>Whole Effluent Lethality</u> ³ (7-day NOEC) ^{1,2} 22414	<u>Daily Average</u> <u>Minimum</u> not <100%		<u>7-day Minimum</u> not <100%		once/quarter	composite
<u>Whole Effluent Sub-Lethality for <i>C. dubia</i></u> (7-day NOEC) ^{1,2} 22414	<u>Daily Average</u> <u>Minimum</u> not < 80%		<u>7-day Minimum</u> not <80%		once/quarter	composite
<u>Whole Effluent Sub-Lethality for <i>P. promelas</i></u> (7-day NOEC) ^{1,2}	N/A		Report %		once/quarter	composite
<u>Pimephales promelas (Chronic)</u> ² Pass/Fail Lethality (7-day NOEC) TLP6C Pass/Fail Growth (7-day NOEC)TGP6C Survival (7-day NOEC) TOP6C Coefficient of Variation (Growth) TQP6C Growth (7-day NOEC) TPP6C	N/A		<u>7-Day Average</u> Report (Pass=0/Fail=1) Report (Pass=0/Fail=1) Report % Report % Report %		once/quarter once/quarter once/quarter once/quarter once/quarter	composite composite composite composite composite
<u>Ceriodaphnia dubia (Chronic)</u> ² Pass/Fail Lethality (7-day NOEC) TLP3B Pass/Fail production (7-day NOEC)TGP3B Survival (7-day NOEC) TOP3B Coefficient of Variation (Reproduction) TQP3B Reproduction (7-day NOEC) TPP3B			<u>7-Day Average</u> Report (Pass=0/Fail=1) Report (Pass=0/Fail=1) Report % Report % Report %		once/quarter once/quarter once/quarter once/quarter once/quarter	composite composite composite composite composite
			Report %		once/quarter	composite

1 The NOEC (No Observed Effect Concentration) is defined as the greatest effluent dilution at and below which toxicity (lethal or sub-lethal) that is statistically different from the control (0% effluent) at the 95% confidence level does not occur. Chronic lethal test failure is defined as a demonstration of a statistically significant lethal effect at test completion to a test species at or below the critical

DRAFT

Permit Number: AR0043516

AFIN: 70-00101

Page 2 of Part IA

dilution. Chronic sub-lethal test failure is defined as a demonstration of a statistically significant sub-lethal effect (i.e., growth or reproduction) at test completion to a test species at or below the critical dilution.

2 See Condition No. 8 of Part II (WET Testing Requirements).

3 Whole Effluent Toxicity limits for lethal endpoints for both species.

4 All required monitoring must be performed on a storm event that results in an actual discharge from the site ("measureable storm event") that follows the preceding measureable storm event by at least 72 hours (3 days). The 72 hour (3 day) storm interval does not apply if the facility is able to document that less than a 72 hour (3 day) interval is representative for local storm events during the sampling period. In the case of frozen precipitation, the measurable storm event begins when melting produces a measureable discharge at the facility and ends when the measureable discharge ceases at the facility.

5 Grab samples must be taken and composite samples must start to be taken within the first 30 minutes of a discharge resulting from a measureable storm event. If it is not possible to collect the grab sample or begin collecting the composite sample within the first 30 minutes of a measureable storm event, the sample must be collected (grab) or start to be collected (composite) as soon as practicable after the first 30 minutes and documentation must be kept on site explaining why it was not possible to take samples or begin to collect composite samples within the first 30 minutes.

There shall be no discharge of distinctly visible solids, scum, or foam of a persistent nature, nor shall there be any formation of slime, bottom deposits, or sludge banks. There shall be no visible sheen as defined in Part IV of this permit.

Samples and measurements taken as required herein shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge during the entire monitoring period. Samples shall be taken after all wastewaters have commingled and prior to entering the receiving stream.

DRAFT

Permit Number: AR0043516

AFIN: 70-00101

Page 1 of Part IB

SECTION B. PERMIT COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE

None.

PART II OTHER CONDITIONS

1. Reserved.
2. In accordance with 40 CFR Parts 122.62 (a)(2) and 124.5, this permit may be reopened for modification or revocation and/or reissuance to require additional monitoring and/or effluent limitations when new information is received that actual or potential exceedance of State water quality criteria and/or narrative criteria are determined to be the result of the permittee's discharge(s) to a relevant water body or a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) is established or revised for the water body that was not available at the time of the permit issuance that would have justified the application of different permit conditions at the time of permit issuance.

3. Other Specified Monitoring Requirements

The permittee may use alternative appropriate monitoring methods and analytical instruments other than as specified in Part I Section A of the permit without a major permit modification under the following conditions:

- The monitoring and analytical instruments are consistent with accepted scientific practices;
- The requests shall be submitted in writing to the Permits Section of the Water Division of the ADEQ for use of the alternate method or instrument.
- The method and/or instrument is in compliance with 40 CFR Part 136 or approved in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136.5; and
- All associated devices are installed, calibrated, and maintained to insure the accuracy of the measurements and are consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. The calibration and maintenance shall be performed as part of the permittee's laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance program.

Upon written approval of the alternative monitoring method and/or analytical instruments, these methods or instruments must be consistently utilized throughout the monitoring period. ADEQ must be notified in writing and the permittee must receive written approval from ADEQ if the permittee decides to return to the original permit monitoring requirements.

4. Best Management Practices (BMPs), as defined in Part IV.6, must be implemented for the facility to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the State from stormwater runoff, spills or leaks, and/or waste disposal. The permittee must amend the BMPs whenever there is a change in the facility or a change in the operation of the facility.

DRAFT

Permit Number: AR0043516

AFIN: 70-00101

Page 2 of Part II

5. Stormwater runoff discharged from Outfall 001 shall be managed in accordance with Best Management Practices (BMPs) to control the quality of stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity that are authorized by this permit. Use of BMPs in lieu of numeric effluent limitations in NPDES permits is authorized under 40 CFR 122.44(k) when the Permitting Authority finds numeric effluent limitations to be infeasible to carry out the purposes of the Clean Water Act. All spilled products and other spilled wastes must be immediately cleaned up and properly disposed. The permittee must amend the BMPs whenever there is a change in the facility or a change in the operation of the facility.
6. The permittee is restricted to discharging only stormwater runoff through Outfall 001 under this permit. All other process and non-process wastewater generated at this facility must be properly disposed of in accordance with any applicable local, state, or federal regulations as required by Part III, Section A, Condition Nos. 7 and 10 of this permit.
7. The stormwater runoff collected in containment from all of the operating units, lab sink drains, NASH plant condensate, and depleted brine shall be collected and returned to the tail brine system for reinjection.
8. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY LIMITS (7-DAY CHRONIC NOEC FRESHWATER)

1. SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

- a. The permittee shall test the effluent for toxicity in accordance with the provisions in this section.

APPLICABLE TO FINAL OUTFALL(S): 001

REPORTED ON DMR AS FINAL OUTFALL: 001

CRITICAL DILUTION (%): 100%

EFFLUENT DILUTION SERIES (%): 32%, 42%, 56%, 80%, & 100%

LETHAL LIMIT: 100% (both species)

SUB-LETHAL LIMIT: 80% (*C. dubia* only)

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE: NO

TESTING FREQUENCY: once/quarter

COMPOSITE SAMPLE TYPE: Defined at PART I

TEST SPECIES/METHODS:

40 CFR Part 136

Ceriodaphnia dubia chronic static renewal survival and reproduction test, Method 1002.0, EPA-821-R-02-013, or the most recent update thereof. This test should be terminated when 60% of the surviving females in the control produce three broods or at the end of eight days, whichever comes first.

Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow) chronic static renewal 7-day larval survival and growth test, Method 1000.0, EPA-821-R-02-013, or the most recent update thereof. A minimum of five (5) replicates with eight (8) organisms per replicate must be used in the control and in each effluent dilution of this test.

- b. The NOEC (No Observed Effect Concentration) is herein defined as the greatest effluent dilution at and below which toxicity (lethal or sub-lethal) that is statistically different from the control (0% effluent) at the 95% confidence level does not occur. Chronic lethal test failure is defined as a demonstration of a statistically significant lethal effect at test completion to a test species at or below the critical dilution. Chronic sub-lethal test failure is defined as a demonstration of a statistically significant sub-lethal effect (i.e., growth or reproduction) at test completion to a test species at or below the critical dilution.
- c. The conditions of this item are effective beginning with the effective date of the WET limit. When the testing frequency stated above is less than monthly and the effluent fails the lethal or sub-lethal endpoint at or below the required limit specified in Item 1.a., the permittee shall be considered in violation of this permit limit and the frequency for the affected species will increase to monthly until such time compliance with the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) effluent limitation is demonstrated for a period of three consecutive months, at which time the permittee may return to the testing frequency stated in PART I of this permit. During the period the permittee is out of compliance, test results shall be reported on the DMR for that reporting period. The purpose of additional tests (also referred to as 'retests' or confirmation tests) is to determine the duration of a toxic event. A test that meets all test acceptability criteria and demonstrates significant toxic effects does not need additional confirmation. Such testing cannot confirm or disprove a previous test result.
- d. This permit may be reopened to require chemical specific effluent limits, additional testing, and/or other appropriate actions to address toxicity.

2. PERSISTENT SUB-LETHAL EFFECTS

This section applies only to *P. promelas*.

The requirements of this subsection apply only when a toxicity test demonstrates significant sub-lethal effects at or below the critical dilution. The purpose of additional tests (also referred to as 'retests' or confirmation tests) is to determine the duration of a toxic event. A test that meets all test acceptability criteria and demonstrates significant toxic effects does not need additional confirmation. Such testing cannot confirm or disprove a previous test result. In addition:

a. Part I Testing Frequency Other Than Monthly

- i. The permittee shall conduct a total of three (3) additional tests for any species that demonstrates significant toxic effects at or below the critical dilution. The additional tests shall be conducted monthly during the next three consecutive months. If testing on a quarterly basis, the permittee may substitute one of the additional tests in lieu of one routine toxicity test. A full report shall be prepared for each test required by this section in accordance with procedures outlined in Item 4 of this section and submitted with the period discharge monitoring report (DMR) to the permitting authority for review.
- ii. IF SUB-LETHAL EFFECTS ONLY HAVE BEEN DEMONSTRATED If any two of the three additional tests demonstrates significant sub-lethal effects at 75% effluent or lower, the permittee shall initiate the Sub-Lethal Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE_{SL}) requirements as specified in Item 5 of this section. The permittee shall notify ADEQ in writing within 5 days of the failure of any retest, and the Sub-Lethal Effects TRE initiation date will be the test completion date of the first failed retest. A TRE may be also be required for failure to perform the required retests.
- iii. The provisions of Item 2.a.i. are suspended upon submittal of the TRE Action Plan.

b. Part I Testing Frequency of Monthly

The permittee shall initiate the Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) requirements as specified in Item 5 of this section when any two of three consecutive monthly toxicity tests exhibit significant toxic effects at or below the critical dilution. A TRE may also be required due to a demonstration of intermittent lethal and/or sub-lethal effects at or below the critical dilution, or for failure to perform the required retests.

3. REQUIRED TOXICITY TESTING CONDITIONS

a. Test Acceptance

The permittee shall repeat a test, including the control and all effluent dilutions, if the procedures and quality assurance requirements defined in the test methods or in this permit are not satisfied, including the following additional criteria:

- i. The toxicity test control (0% effluent) must have survival equal to or greater than 80%.
- ii. The mean number of Ceriodaphnia dubia neonates produced per surviving female in the control (0% effluent) must be 15 or more.
- iii. 60% of the surviving control females must produce three broods.
- iv. The mean dry weight of surviving Fathead minnow larvae at the end of the 7 days in the control (0% effluent) must be 0.25 mg per larva or greater.
- v. The percent coefficient of variation between replicates shall be 40% or less in the control (0% effluent) for: the young of surviving females in the Ceriodaphnia dubia reproduction test, the growth and survival of the Fathead minnow test.
- vi. The percent coefficient of variation between replicates shall be 40% or less in the critical dilution, unless significant lethal or sub-lethal effects are exhibited for: the young of surviving females in the Ceriodaphnia dubia reproduction test; the growth and survival endpoints in the Fathead minnow test.
- vii. If a test passes, yet the percent coefficient of variation between replicates is greater than 40% in the control (0% effluent) and/or in the critical dilution for: the young of surviving females in the Ceriodaphnia dubia reproduction test; the growth and survival endpoints of the Fathead minnow test, the test is determined to be invalid. A repeat test shall be conducted within the required reporting period of any test determined to be invalid.
- viii. If a test fails, test failure may not be construed or reported as invalid due to a coefficient of variation value of greater than 40%.
- ix. A Percent Minimum Significant Difference (PMSD) range of 13 - 47 for Ceriodaphnia dubia reproduction;
- x. A PMSD range of 12 - 30 for Fathead minnow growth.

b. Statistical Interpretation

- i. For the Ceriodaphnia dubia survival test, the statistical analyses used to determine if there is a significant difference between the control and the critical dilution shall be Fisher's Exact Test as described in EPA-821-R-02-013 or the most recent update thereof.
- ii. For the Ceriodaphnia dubia reproduction test and the Fathead minnow larval survival and growth test, the statistical analyses used to determine if there is a significant difference between the control and the critical dilution shall be in accordance with the methods for determining the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) as described in EPA-821-R-02-013, or the most recent update thereof.
- iii. If the conditions of Test Acceptability are met in Item 3.a above and the percent survival of the test organism is equal to or greater than 80% in the critical dilution concentration and all lower dilution concentrations, the test shall be considered to be a passing test, and the permittee shall report a survival NOEC of not less than the critical dilution for the DMR reporting requirements found in Item 4 below.

c. Dilution Water

- i. Dilution water used in the toxicity tests will be receiving water collected as close to the point of discharge as possible but unaffected by the discharge. The permittee shall substitute synthetic dilution water of similar pH, hardness, and alkalinity to the closest downstream perennial water where the receiving stream is classified as intermittent or where the receiving stream has no flow due to zero flow conditions.
- ii. If the receiving water is unsatisfactory as a result of instream toxicity (fails to fulfill the test acceptance criteria of Item 3.a), the permittee may substitute synthetic dilution water for the receiving water in all subsequent tests provided the unacceptable receiving water test met the following stipulations:
 - (A) a synthetic dilution water control which fulfills the test acceptance requirements of Item 3.a was run concurrently with the receiving water control;
 - (B) the test indicating receiving water toxicity has been carried out to completion (i.e., 7 days);
 - (C) the permittee includes all test results indicating receiving water toxicity with the full report and information required by Item 4.a below; and

(D) the synthetic dilution water shall have a pH, hardness, and alkalinity similar to that of the receiving water or closest downstream perennial water not adversely affected by the discharge, provided the magnitude of these parameters will not cause toxicity in the synthetic dilution water.

d. Samples and Composites

- i. The permittee shall collect a minimum of three flow-weighted composite samples from the outfall(s) listed at Item 1.a above. Unless otherwise stated in this section, a composite sample for WET shall consist of a minimum of 12 subsamples gathered at equal time intervals during a 24-hour period.
- ii. The permittee must collect all three flow-weighted composite samples within the monitoring period. The permittee shall collect second and third composite samples for use during 24-hour renewals of each dilution concentration for each test. The permittee must collect the composite samples such that the effluent samples are representative of any periodic episode of chlorination, biocide usage or other potentially toxic substance discharged on a regular or intermittent basis.
- iii. The permittee must collect the composite samples so that the maximum holding time for any effluent sample shall not exceed 72 hours. The permittee must have initiated the toxicity test within 36 hours after the collection of the last portion of the first composite sample. Samples shall be chilled to between 0 and 6 degrees Centigrade during collection, shipping, and/or storage.
- iv. If the flow from the outfall(s) being tested ceases during the collection of effluent samples, the requirements for the minimum number of effluent samples, the minimum number of effluent portions and the sample holding time are waived during that sampling period. However, the permittee must have collected an effluent composite sample volume during the period of discharge that is sufficient to complete the required toxicity tests with daily renewal of effluent. When possible, the effluent samples used for the toxicity tests shall be collected on separate days if the discharge occurs over multiple days. The effluent composite sample collection duration and the static renewal protocol associated with the abbreviated sample collection must be documented in the full report required in Item 3 of this section
- v. MULTIPLE OUTFALLS: If the provisions of this section are applicable to multiple outfalls, the permittee shall combine the composite effluent samples in proportion to the average flow from the outfalls listed in Item 1.a above for the day the sample was collected. The permittee shall perform the toxicity test on the flow-weighted composite of the outfall samples.

- vi. If chlorination is part of the treatment process, the permittee shall not allow the sample to be dechlorinated at the laboratory. At the time of sample collection the permittee shall measure the TRC of the effluent. The measured concentration of TRC for each sample shall be included in the lab report submitted by the permittee.

4. REPORTING

- a. The permittee shall prepare a full report of the results of all tests conducted pursuant to this section in accordance with the Report Preparation Section of EPA-821-R-02-013, or the most current publication, for every valid or invalid toxicity test initiated whether carried to completion or not. The permittee shall retain each full report pursuant to the provisions of PART III.C.7 of this permit. The permittee shall submit full reports. For any test which fails, is considered invalid or which is terminated early for any reason, the full report must be submitted for agency review.
- b. The permittee shall report the Whole Effluent Toxicity values for the 30-Day Average Minimum and the 7-Day Minimum under Parameter No. 22414 on the DMR for that reporting period in accordance with PART III.D.4 of this permit.

If more than one valid test for a species was performed during the reporting period, the test NOECs will be averaged arithmetically and reported as the DAILY AVERAGE MINIMUM NOEC for that reporting period.

If more than one species is tested during the reporting period (in accordance with item 1.a.), the permittee shall report the lowest 30-Day Average Minimum NOEC and the lowest 7-Day Minimum NOEC for Whole Effluent Toxicity.

A valid test for each species must be reported on the DMR during each reporting period specified in PART I of this permit. Only ONE set of WET test data for each species is to be recorded on the DMR for each reporting period. The data submitted should reflect the LOWEST lethal and sub-lethal effects results for each species during the reporting period. The full reports for all invalid tests, repeat tests (for invalid tests), and retests (for tests previously failed) performed during the reporting period must be attached to the DMR for Agency review.

- c. The permittee shall submit the results of the valid toxicity test on the DMR for that reporting period in accordance with PART III.D.4 of this permit, as follows below. Submit retest information clearly marked as such with the following month's DMR. Only results of valid tests are to be reported on the DMR.

- i. Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow)
 - A. If the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) for survival is less than or equal to the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0" for Parameter No. TLP6C
 - B. Report the NOEC value for survival, Parameter No. TOP6C
 - C. Report the NOEC value for growth, Parameter No. TPP6C
 - D. If the NOEC for growth is less than or equal to the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0" for Parameter No. TGP6C
 - E. Report the highest (critical dilution or control) Coefficient of Variation for growth, Parameter No. TQP6C
 - ii. Ceriodaphnia dubia
 - A. If the NOEC for survival is less than or equal to the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0" for Parameter No. TLP3B
 - B. Report the NOEC value for survival, Parameter No. TOP3B
 - C. Report the NOEC value for reproduction, Parameter No. TPP3B
 - D. If the NOEC for reproduction is less than or equal to the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0" for Parameter No. TGP3B
 - E. Report the higher (critical dilution or control) Coefficient of Variation for reproduction, Parameter No. TQP3B
5. TOXICITY REDUCTION EVALUATIONS (TREs)

This section applies only to sub-lethality for *P. promelas*.

TREs for lethal and sub-lethal effects are performed in a very similar manner. EPA Region 6 is currently addressing TREs as follows: a sub-lethal TRE (TRE_{SL}) is triggered based on three sub-lethal test failures while a lethal effects TRE (TRE_L) is triggered based on only two test failures for lethality. In addition, EPA Region 6 will consider the magnitude of toxicity and use flexibility when considering a TRE_{SL} where there are no effects at effluent dilutions of 75% or lower.

- a. Within ninety (90) days of confirming persistent toxicity, the permittee shall submit a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE) Action Plan and Schedule for conducting a TRE. The TRE Action Plan shall specify the approach and methodology to be used in performing the TRE. A Toxicity Reduction Evaluation is an investigation intended to determine those actions necessary to achieve compliance with water quality-based effluent limits by reducing an effluent's toxicity to an acceptable level. A TRE is defined as a step-wise process which combines toxicity testing and analyses of the physical and chemical characteristics of a toxic effluent to identify the constituents causing effluent toxicity and/or treatment methods which will reduce the effluent toxicity. The goal of the TRE is to maximally reduce the toxic effects of effluent at the critical dilution and includes the following:
 - i. **Specific Activities.** The plan shall detail the specific approach the permittee intends to utilize in conducting the TRE. The approach may include toxicity characterizations, identifications and confirmation activities, source evaluation, treatability studies, or alternative approaches. When the permittee conducts Toxicity Characterization Procedures the permittee shall perform multiple characterizations and follow the procedures specified in the documents 'Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations: Phase I Toxicity Characterization Procedures' (EPA-600/6-91/003) and 'Toxicity Identification Evaluation: Characterization of Chronically Toxic Effluents, Phase I' (EPA-600/6-91/005F), or alternate procedures. When the permittee conducts Toxicity Identification Evaluations and Confirmations, the permittee shall perform multiple identifications and follow the methods specified in the documents 'Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase II Toxicity Identification Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity' (EPA/600/R-92/080) and 'Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase III Toxicity Confirmation Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity' (EPA/600/R-92/081), as appropriate.

The documents referenced above may be obtained through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) by phone at (703) 487-4650, or by writing:

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161

- ii. **Sampling Plan** (e.g., locations, methods, holding times, chain of custody, preservation, etc.). The effluent sample volume collected for all tests shall be adequate to perform the toxicity test, toxicity characterization, identification and confirmation procedures, and conduct chemical specific analyses when a probable toxicant has been identified;

- iii. Where the permittee has identified or suspects specific pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity, the permittee shall conduct, concurrent with toxicity testing, chemical specific analyses for the identified and/or suspected pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity. Where lethality was demonstrated within 48 hours of test initiation, each composite sample shall be analyzed independently. Otherwise the permittee may substitute a composite sample, comprised of equal portions of the individual composite samples, for the chemical specific analysis;
 - iv. Quality Assurance Plan (e.g., QA/QC implementation, corrective actions, etc.); and
 - v. Project Organization (e.g., project staff, project manager, consulting services, etc.).
- b. The permittee shall initiate the TRE Action Plan within thirty (30) days of plan and schedule submittal. The permittee shall assume all risks for failure to achieve the required toxicity reduction.
 - c. The permittee shall submit a quarterly TRE Activities Report, with the Discharge Monitoring Report in the months of January, April, July and October, containing information on toxicity reduction evaluation activities including:
 - 1. any data and/or substantiating documentation which identifies the pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity;
 - 2. any studies/evaluations and results on the treatability of the facility's effluent toxicity; and
 - 3. any data which identifies effluent toxicity control mechanisms that will reduce effluent toxicity to the level necessary to meet no significant toxicity at the critical dilution.
 - d. The permittee shall submit a Final Report on Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Activities no later than twenty-eight (28) months from confirming toxicity in the retests, which provides information pertaining to the specific control mechanism selected that will, when implemented, result in reduction of effluent toxicity to no significant toxicity at the critical dilution. The report will also provide a specific corrective action schedule for implementing the selected control mechanism.
 - e. Quarterly testing during the TRE is a minimum monitoring requirement. EPA recommends that permittees required to perform a TRE not rely on quarterly testing alone to ensure success in the TRE, and that additional screening tests be performed

DRAFT

Permit Number: AR0043516

AFIN: 70-00101

Page 12 of Part II

to capture toxic samples for identification of toxicants. Failure to identify the specific chemical compound causing toxicity test failure will normally result in a permit limit for whole effluent toxicity limits per federal regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(v).

PART III STANDARD CONDITIONS

SECTION A – GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. Duty to Comply

The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the federal Clean Water Act and the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; and/or for denial of a permit renewal application. **Any values reported in the required Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) which are in excess of an effluent limitation specified in Part I shall constitute evidence of violation of such effluent limitation and of this permit.**

2. Penalties for Violations of Permit Conditions

The Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act provides that any person who violates any provisions of a permit issued under the Act shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to imprisonment for not more than one (1) year, or a fine of not more than twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) or by both such fine and imprisonment for each day of such violation. Any person who violates any provision of a permit issued under the Act may also be subject to civil penalty in such amount as the court shall find appropriate, not to exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each day of such violation. The fact that any such violation may constitute a misdemeanor shall not be a bar to the maintenance of such civil action.

3. Permit Actions

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause including, but not limited to the following:

- A. Violation of any terms or conditions of this permit; or
- B. Obtaining this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully all relevant facts; or
- C. A change in any conditions that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; or
- D. A determination that the permitted activity endangers human health or the environment and can only be regulated to acceptable levels by permit modification or termination.
- E. Failure of the permittee to comply with the provisions of APCEC Regulation No. 9 (Permit fees) as required by Part III.A.11 herein.

The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance, does not stay any permit condition.

4. Toxic Pollutants

Notwithstanding Part III.A.3, if any toxic effluent standard or prohibition (including any schedule of compliance specified in such effluent standard or prohibition) is promulgated under APCEC Regulation No. 2, as amended, or Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for a toxic pollutant which is present in the discharge and that standard or prohibition is more stringent than any limitations on the pollutant in this permit, this permit shall be modified or revoked and reissued to conform to the toxic effluent standards or prohibition and the permittee so notified.

The permittee shall comply with effluent standards, narrative criteria, or prohibitions established under APCEC Regulation No. 2, as amended, or Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants within the time provided in the regulations that establish those standards or prohibitions, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.

5. Civil and Criminal Liability

Except as provided in permit conditions for “Bypass of Treatment Facilities” (Part III.B.4), and “Upset” (Part III.B.5), nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the permittee from civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance. Any false or materially misleading representation or concealment of information required to be reported by the provisions of this permit or applicable state and federal statutes or regulations which defeats the regulatory purposes of the permit may subject the permittee to criminal enforcement pursuant to the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Ark. Code Ann. § 8-4-101 et seq.).

6. Oil and Hazardous Substance Liability

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties to which the permittee is or may be subject to under Section 311 of the Clean Water Act.

7. State Laws

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to preclude the institution of any legal action or relieve the permittee from any responsibilities, liabilities, or penalties established pursuant to any applicable State law or regulation under authority preserved by Section 510 of the Clean Water Act.

8. Property Rights

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of Federal, State, or local laws or regulations.

9. Severability

The provisions of this permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit, or the application of any provisions of this permit to any circumstance is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of this permit, shall not be affected thereby.

10. Applicable Federal, State or Local Requirements

Permittees are responsible for compliance with all applicable terms and conditions of this permit. Receipt of this permit does not relieve any operator of the responsibility to comply with any other applicable federal such as endangered species, state or local statute, ordinance or regulation.

11. Permit Fees

The permittee shall comply with all applicable permit fee requirements (i.e., including annual permit fees following the initial permit fee that will be invoiced every year the permit is active) for wastewater discharge permits as described in APCEC Regulation No. 9 (Regulation for the Fee System for Environmental Permits). Failure to promptly remit all required fees shall be grounds for the Director to initiate action to terminate this permit under the provisions of 40 CFR Parts 122.64 and 124.5(d), as adopted in APCEC Regulation No. 6 and the provisions of APCEC Regulation No. 8.

SECTION B – OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF POLLUTION CONTROLS

1. Proper Operation and Maintenance

- A. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.

- B. The permittee shall provide an adequate operating staff which is duly qualified to carryout operation, maintenance, and testing functions required to insure compliance with the conditions of this permit.

2. Need to Halt or Reduce not a Defense

It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit. Upon reduction, loss, or failure of the treatment facility, the permittee shall, to the extent necessary to maintain compliance with its permit, control production or discharges or both until the facility is restored or an alternative method of treatment is provided. This requirement applies, for example, when the primary source of power for the treatment facility is reduced, is lost, or alternate power supply fails.

3. Duty to Mitigate

The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment or the water receiving the discharge.

4. Bypass of Treatment Facilities

A. Bypass not exceeding limitation

The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of Parts III.B.4.b and 4.c.

B. Notice

1. Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least ten days before the date of the bypass.
2. Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Part III.D.6 (24-hour notice).

C. Prohibition of bypass

1. Bypass is prohibited and the Director may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 - (a) Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;

- (b) There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if the permittee could have installed adequate backup equipment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal or preventive maintenance; and
 - (c) The permittee submitted notices as required by Part III.B.4.b.
- 2. The Director may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Director determines that it will meet the three conditions listed above in Part III.B.4.c.(1).

5. Upset Conditions

- A. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of Part III.B.5.b of this section are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
- B. Conditions necessary for demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - 1. An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the specific cause(s) of the upset;
 - 2. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated.
 - 3. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required by Part III.D.6; and
 - 4. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required by Part III.B.3.
- C. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

6. Removed Substances

Solids, sludges, filter backwash, or other pollutants removed in the course of treatment or control of wastewaters shall be disposed of in a manner such as to prevent any pollutant from such materials from entering the waters of the State. The permittee shall give at least 180 days prior notice to the Director of any change planned in the permittee's disposal practices. Produced sludge shall be disposed of by land application only when allowed through a separate land application permit issued in accordance with the applicable provisions of 40 CFR Part 503.

7. Power Failure

The permittee is responsible for maintaining adequate safeguards to prevent the discharge of untreated or inadequately treated wastes during electrical power failure either by means of alternate power sources, standby generators, or retention of inadequately treated effluent.

SECTION C – MONITORING AND RECORDS

1. Representative Sampling

Samples and measurements taken as required herein shall be representative of the volume and nature of the monitored discharge during the entire monitoring period. All samples shall be taken at the monitoring points specified in this permit and, unless otherwise specified, before the effluent joins or is diluted by any other waste stream, body of water, or substance. Monitoring points shall not be changed without notification to and the approval of the Director. Intermittent discharge shall be monitored.

2. Flow Measurement

Appropriate flow measurement devices and methods consistent with accepted scientific practices shall be selected and used to insure the accuracy and reliability of measurements of the volume of monitored discharges. The devices shall be installed, calibrated, and maintained to insure the accuracy of the measurements are consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. Devices selected shall be capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviation of less than +/- 10% from true discharge rates throughout the range of expected discharge volumes and shall be installed at the monitoring point of the discharge.

Calculated Flow Measurement

For calculated flow measurements that are performed in accordance with either the permit requirements or a Department approved method (i.e., as allowed under Part II.3), the +/- 10% accuracy requirement described above is waived. This waiver is only applicable when the method used for calculation of the flow has been reviewed and approved by the Department.

3. Monitoring Procedures

Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit. The permittee shall calibrate and perform maintenance procedures on all monitoring and analytical instrumentation at intervals frequent enough to insure accuracy of measurements and shall insure that both calibration and maintenance activities will be conducted. An adequate analytical quality control program, including the analysis of sufficient standards, spikes, and duplicate samples to insure the accuracy of all required analytical results shall be maintained by the permittee or designated commercial laboratory. At a minimum, spikes and duplicate samples are to be analyzed on 10% of the samples.

4. Penalties for Tampering

The Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate, any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under the Act shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be subject to imprisonment for not more than one (1) year or a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) or by both such fine and imprisonment.

5. Reporting of Monitoring Results

Monitoring results must be reported on a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) form provided by the Department or other form/method approved in writing by the Department (e.g., electronic submittal of DMR once approved). Monitoring results obtained during the previous monitoring period shall be summarized and reported on a DMR form postmarked no later than the 25th day of the month or submitted electronically by 6:00 p.m. of the 25th (after NETDMR is approved), following the completed reporting period beginning on the effective date of the permit. When mailing the DMRs, duplicate copies of the forms signed and certified as required by Part III.D.11 and all other reports required by Part III.D, shall be submitted to the Director at the following address:

Enforcement Branch
Water Division
Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
5301 Northshore Drive
North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

If permittee uses outside laboratory facilities for sampling and/or analysis, the name and address of the contract laboratory shall be included on the DMR.

6. Additional Monitoring by the Permittee

If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by this permit, using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136 or as specified in this permit, the results of this monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reporting of the data submitted in the DMR. Such increased frequency shall also be indicated on the DMR.

7. Retention of Records

The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for this permit for a period of at least 3 years from the date of the sample, measurement, report, or application. This period may be extended by request of the Director at any time.

8. Record Contents

Records and monitoring information shall include:

- A. The date, exact place, time and methods of sampling or measurements, and preservatives used, if any;
- B. The individuals(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
- C. The date(s) and time analyses were performed;
- D. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
- E. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
- F. The measurements and results of such analyses.

9. Inspection and Entry

The permittee shall allow the Director, or an authorized representative, upon the presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:

- A. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- B. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
- C. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit, and
- D. Sample, inspect, or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Clean Water Act, any substances or parameters at any location.

SECTION D – REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. Planned Changes

The permittee shall give notice within 180 days and provide plans and specification (if applicable) to the Director for review and approval prior to any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. In no case are any new connections, increased flows, removal of substances, or significant changes in influent quality permitted that cause violation of the effluent limitations specified herein.

2. Anticipated Noncompliance

The permittee shall give advance notice to the Director of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements.

3. Transfers

The permit is nontransferable to any person except after notice to the Director. The Director may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Act.

4. Monitoring Reports

Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals and in the form specified in Part III.C.5. **Discharge Monitoring Reports must be submitted even when no discharge occurs during the reporting period.**

5. Compliance Schedule

Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of this permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. Any reports of noncompliance shall include the cause of noncompliance, any remedial actions taken, and the probability of meeting the next scheduled requirement.

6. Twenty-four Hour Report

A. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Any information shall be provided orally within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. A written submission shall also be provided within 5 days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain the following information:

DRAFT

Permit Number: AR0043516

AFIN: 70-00101

Page 10 of Part III

1. A description of the noncompliance and its cause;
 2. The period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and
 3. Steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.
- B. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours:
1. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit;
 2. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit and
 3. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Director in Part I of the permit to be reported within 24 hours to the Enforcement Section of the Water Division of the ADEQ.
- C. The Director may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis if the oral report has been received within 24 hours to the Enforcement Section of the Water Division of the ADEQ.

7. Other Noncompliance

The permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under Parts III.D.4, 5, and 6, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed at Part III.D.6.

8. Changes in Discharge of Toxic Substances for Industrial Dischargers

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as he/she knows or has reason to believe:

- A. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge on a routine or frequent basis of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the “notification levels” described in 40 CFR Part 122.42(a)(1); or
- B. That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in any discharge on a non-routine or infrequent basis of a toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the “notification levels” described in 40 CFR Part 122.42(a)(2).

9. Duty to Provide Information

The permittee shall furnish to the Director, within a reasonable time, any information which the Director may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Director, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit. Information shall be submitted in the form, manner and time frame requested by the Director.

10. Duty to Reapply

If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit. The complete application shall be submitted at least 180 days before the expiration date of this permit. The Director may grant permission to submit an application less than 180 days in advance but no later than the permit expiration date. Continuation of expiring permits shall be governed by regulations promulgated in APCEC Regulation No. 6.

11. Signatory Requirements

All applications, reports, or information submitted to the Director shall be signed and certified as follows:

A. All **permit applications** shall be signed as follows:

1. For a corporation: by a responsible corporate officer. For the purpose of this section, a responsible corporate officer means:
 - (a) A president, secretary, treasurer, or vice-president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy or decision-making functions for the corporation; or
 - (b) The manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operation facilities, provided: the manager is authorized to make management decisions which govern the operation of the regulated facility including having the explicit or implicit duty of making major capital investment recommendations, and initiating and directing other comprehensive measures to assure long term environmental compliance with environmental laws and regulations; the manager can ensure that the necessary systems are established or actions taken to gather complete and accurate information for permit application requirements; and where authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.

2. For a partnership or sole proprietorship: by a general partner or proprietor, respectively; or
 3. For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public agency, by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official. For purposes of this section, a principal executive officer of a Federal agency includes:
 - (a) The chief executive officer of the agency, or
 - (b) A senior executive officer having responsibility for the overall operations of a principal geographic unit of the agency.
- B. All **reports** required by the permit and **other information** requested by the Director shall be signed by a person described above or by a duly authorized representative of that person. A person is a duly authorized representative only if:
1. The authorization is made in writing by a person described above.
 2. The authorization specified either an individual or a position having responsibility for the overall operation of the regulated facility or activity, such as the position of plant manager, operator of a well or a well field, superintendent, or position of equivalent responsibility. (A duly authorized representative may thus be either a named individual or any individual occupying a named position); and
 3. The written authorization is submitted to the Director.
- C. Certification. Any person signing a document under this section shall make the following certification:
- “I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.”

12. Availability of Reports

Except for data determined to be confidential under 40 CFR Part 2 and APCEC Regulation No. 6, all reports prepared in accordance with the terms of this permit shall be available for public inspection at the offices of the Department of Environmental Quality. As required by the Regulations, the name and address of any permit applicant or permittee, permit applications, permits, and effluent data shall not be considered confidential.

13. Penalties for Falsification of Reports

The Arkansas Air and Water Pollution Control Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained under this permit shall be subject to civil penalties specified in Part III.A.2. and/or criminal penalties under the authority of the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (Ark. Code Ann. § 8-4-101 et seq.).

14. Applicable Federal, State or Local Requirements

Permittees are responsible for compliance with all applicable terms and conditions of this permit. Receipt of this permit does not relieve any operator of the responsibility to comply with any other applicable federal, state, or local statute, ordinance, policy, or regulation.

PART IV DEFINITIONS

All definitions contained in Section 502 of the Clean Water Act and 40 CFR 122.2 shall apply to this permit and are incorporated herein by reference. Additional definitions of words or phrases used in this permit are as follows:

1. **“Act”** means the Clean Water Act, Public Law 95-217 (33.U.S.C. 1251 et seq.) as amended.
2. **“Administrator”** means the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
3. **“APCEC”** means the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission.
4. **“Applicable effluent standards and limitations”** means all State and Federal effluent standards and limitations to which a discharge is subject under the Act, including, but not limited to, effluent limitations, standards of performance, toxic effluent standards and prohibitions, and pretreatment standards.
5. **“Applicable water quality standards”** means all water quality standards to which a discharge is subject under the federal Clean Water Act and which has been (a) approved or permitted to remain in effect by the Administrator following submission to the Administrator pursuant to Section 303(a) of the Act, or (b) promulgated by the Director pursuant to Section 303(b) or 303(c) of the Act, and standards promulgated under (APCEC) Regulation No. 2, as amended.
6. **“Best Management Practices (BMPs)”** are activities, practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices designed to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the State. BMPs also include treatment technologies, operating procedures, and practices to control plant site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw sewage. BMPs may include structural devices or nonstructural practices.
7. **“Bypass”** As defined at 122.41(m).
8. **“Composite sample”** is a mixture of grab samples collected at the same sampling point at different times, formed either by continuous sampling or by mixing a minimum of 4 effluent portions collected at equal time intervals (but not closer than one hour apart) during operational hours, within the 24-hour period, and combined proportional to flow or a sample collected at more frequent intervals proportional to flow over the 24-hour period.
9. **“Daily Discharge”** means the discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for purposes of sampling.
 - A. **Mass Calculations:** For pollutants with limitations expressed in terms of mass, the “daily discharge” is calculated as the total mass of pollutant discharged over the sampling day.
 - B. **Concentration Calculations:** For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the “daily discharge” is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.
10. **“Daily Maximum”** discharge limitation means the highest allowable “daily discharge” during the calendar month. The 7-day average for Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB) or E-Coli is the geometric mean of the values of all effluent samples collected during the calendar week in colonies per 100 ml.

11. **“Department”** means the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (**ADEQ**).
12. **“Director”** means the Director of the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality.
13. **“Dissolved oxygen limit”**, shall be defined as follows:
 - A. When limited in the permit as a minimum monthly average, shall mean the lowest acceptable monthly average value, determined by averaging all samples taken during the calendar month;
 - B. When limited in the permit as an instantaneous minimum value, shall mean that no value measured during the reporting period may fall below the stated value.
14. **“E-Coli”** a sample consists of one effluent grab portion collected during a 24-hour period at peak loads. For E-Coli, report the monthly average as a 30-day geometric mean in colonies per 100 ml.
15. **“Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB)”**a sample consists of one effluent grab portion collected during a 24-hour period at peak loads. For Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB) report the monthly average as a 30-day geometric mean in colonies per 100 ml.
16. **“Grab sample”** means an individual sample collected in less than 15 minutes in conjunction with an instantaneous flow measurement.
17. **“Industrial User”** means a nondomestic discharger, as identified in 40 CFR Part 403, introducing pollutants to a POTW.
18. **“Instantaneous Maximum”** when limited in the permit as an instantaneous maximum value, shall mean that no value measured during the reporting period may fall above the stated value.
19. **“Instantaneous Minimum”** an instantaneous minimum value, shall mean that no value measured during the reporting period may fall below the stated value.
20. **“Monthly average”** means the highest allowable average of “daily discharges” over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of all “daily discharges” measured during a calendar month divided by the number of “daily discharges” measured during that month. For Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB) or E-Coli, report the monthly average.
21. **“National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System”** means the national program for issuing, modifying, revoking and reissuing, terminating, monitoring and enforcing permits, and imposing and enforcing pretreatment requirements under Sections 307, 402, 318, and 405 of the Clean Water Act.
22. **“POTW”** means a Publicly Owned Treatment Works.
23. **“Severe property damage”** means substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in products.
24. **“Sewage sludge”** means the solids, residues, and precipitate separated from or created in sewage by the unit processes at a POTW. Sewage as used in this definition means any wastes, including wastes from humans, households, commercial establishments, industries, and stormwater runoff that are discharged to or otherwise enter a POTW.
25. **“7-day average”** Also known as Average weekly. means the highest allowable average of “daily discharges” over a calendar week, calculated as the sum of all “daily discharges”

measured during a calendar week divided by the number of “daily discharges” measured during that week.

26. **“Treatment works”** means any devices and systems used in storage, treatment, recycling, and reclamation of municipal sewage and industrial wastes, of a liquid nature to implement section 201 of the Act, or necessary to recycle reuse water at the most economic cost over the estimated life of the works, including intercepting sewers, sewage collection systems, pumping, power and other equipment, and alterations thereof; elements essential to provide a reliable recycled supply such as standby treatment units and clear well facilities, and any works, including site acquisition of the land that will be an integral part of the treatment process or is used for ultimate disposal of residues resulting from such treatment.
27. **“Upset”** means an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology-based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. Any upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless of improper operations.
28. **“Visible sheen”** means the presence of a film or sheen upon or a discoloration of the surface of the discharge. A sheen can also be from a thin glistening layer of oil on the surface of the discharge.
29. **“MGD”** shall mean million gallons per day.
30. **“mg/l”** shall mean milligrams per liter or parts per million (ppm).
31. **“µg/l”** shall mean micrograms per liter or parts per billion (ppb).
32. **“cfs”** shall mean cubic feet per second.
33. **“ppm”** shall mean parts per million.
34. **“s.u.”** shall mean standard units.
35. **“Weekday”** means Monday – Friday.
36. **Monitoring and Reporting:**
37. When a permit becomes effective, monitoring requirements are of the immediate period of the permit effective date. Where the monitoring requirement for an effluent characteristic is monthly or more frequently, the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) shall be submitted by the 25th of the month following the sampling. Where the monitoring requirement for an effluent characteristic is Quarterly, Semi-Annual, Annual, or Yearly, the DMR shall be submitted by the 25th of the month following the monitoring period end date.
 - A. **MONTHLY:**

is defined as a calendar month or any portion of a calendar month for monitoring requirement frequency of once/month or more frequently.
 - B. **BI-MONTHLY:**

is defined as two (2) calendar months or any portion of 2 calendar months for monitoring requirement frequency of once/2 months or more frequently.

C. QUARTERLY:

1. is defined as a **fixed calendar quarter** or any part of the fixed calendar quarter for a non-seasonal effluent characteristic with a measurement frequency of once/quarter. Fixed calendar quarters are: January through March, April through June, July through September, and October through December; or
2. is defined as a **fixed three month period** (or any part of the fixed three month period) of or dependent upon the seasons specified in the permit for a seasonal effluent characteristic with a monitoring requirement frequency of once/quarter that does not coincide with the fixed calendar quarter. Seasonal calendar quarters are: May through July, August through October, November through January, and February through April.

D. SEMI-ANNUAL:

is defined as the fixed time periods January through June, and July through December (or any portion thereof) for an effluent characteristic with a measurement frequency of once/6 months or twice/year.

E. ANNUAL or YEARLY:

is defined as a fixed calendar year or any portion of the fixed calendar year for an effluent characteristic or parameter with a measurement frequency of once/year. A calendar year is January through December, or any portion thereof.