April 11, 2013

Ms. Linda Newkirk  
State Executive Director  
Farm Service Agency  
U.S. Department of Agriculture  
Farm Service Agency  
Federal Building, Room 3416  
700 West Capitol Avenue  
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201

RE: Newton County – General  
Section 106 Review – FSA  
Proposed C&H Hog Farms, Inc. Project  
in Newton County, Arkansas  
AHPP Tracking Number 82772

Dear Ms. Newkirk:

My staff has reviewed the Environmental Assessment (EA) and supporting documentation on the referenced undertaking and offers the following comments and recommendations for your consideration.

1. We stand by our July 17, 2012 review of this project. Specifically, we stated that “No known historic properties will be affected by this undertaking. This effect determination could change should new information come to light.” This latter sentence is in regards to post-review discoveries of any unknown archeological sites that might be encountered during construction. The FSA should investigate any such discoveries as per 36 CFR Part 800.13.

2. The comments made by the Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer (DSHPO) were made as per our consulting party status as set forth in 36 CFR Part 800, the implementing regulations of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended. It is important to note that our role in the Section 106 review process is that we are only afforded the opportunity to comment on the undertaking.

3. We disagree with the comment regarding cultural resources in Section 3.3.2 of the Environmental Assessment. That is, “SHPO has issued a blanket clearance letter for existing operations.” As previously stated, the SHPO is only afforded the opportunity to comment and has no authority to clear projects.
4. Our July 17, 2012 review comment to Farm Credit of Western Arkansas is only for this specific project. It does not apply to other hog farm operations in other parts of the state. Therefore, each FSA undertaking should be submitted to this office for review. This is unclear in the Environmental Assessment.

5. We also wish to point out that under 36 CFR Part 800 the federal agency official must consult with federally recognized Indian tribes and other consulting parties that may be affected by the undertaking. If you need assistance in identifying these parties, we would be happy to assist you.

Thank you for the opportunity to review the Environmental Assessment and clarify our position. Please be aware that these comments apply only to the review conducted by the Arkansas Historic Preservation Program for cultural resources. A project review for significant natural resources was not conducted by the Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission, which is another agency of the Department of Arkansas Heritage. The Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission does not review projects under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

If you have any questions, please contact George McCluskey of my staff at (501) 324-9880.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Martha Miller
State Historic Preservation Officer

cc: Dr. Richard Allen, Cherokee Nation of Oklahoma
    Ms. Lisa Larue Baker, United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians
    Dr. Andrea Hunter, Osage Nation
    Mr. Kevin Cherri, Buffalo National River
    Mr. Chris Colcasure, Arkansas Natural Heritage Commission
    Ms. Theresa Marks, Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
    Dr. Ann Early, Arkansas Archeological Survey
Amy,

Please add this to zylab and the web. ARG590001.

Thanks,

Stephen

From: Shafii, Mo
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 10:57 AM
To: Hogan, Stephen
Subject: FW: C&H Hog Farm

Please save on web

From: Drown, Steve
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 10:40 AM
To: Shafii, Mo; Bailey, John
Subject: FW: C&H Hog Farm

FYI

From: Goff, Pat (Director Off)
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 10:38 AM
To: Benefield, Ryan; Drown, Steve; Benenati, Katherine
Subject: C&H Hog Farm