Page		of	5	-
------	--	----	---	---

Leak D	etection l	nspection (Checklist		
A. Ownership of Tank(s)	460		B. Locatio	n of Tank(s)	
Owner Name (Corporation, individual Public Agency, or other 1316 1650 - Beau Jule	ir entity):	1	ion 1, check here _ Company Site iden) tifier, as applicable	
Street Address ED WARDS		01 G	<u> </u>	<u>o</u>	
County	·	800		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	40
City Carta 7	ip Code	City (nearest)	fall	State Zi	2000 Cod
Area Code Phone Number	21,605	County		34. 27	1117
874			s at This Location:	-92. OS	2965
Contact Person At UST Location	Phone #		350000 3		3,~
C. Tank Information					
(1) Tank(s) presently in use		Tank _	Tank Z	Tank 3	Tank
(2) If not in use, date last used					
(3) If emptied, verify 1" or less of product in tank					
(4) Month and Year Tank Installed (E-estimate or K-k	nown)	K 1974	K 1974	१ । १७७३	
(5) Material of Construction (E-estimate or K-known)	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	K STEEL	IC STEEL	IC STEEL	
(6) Capacity of Tank (in gallons)(E-estimate or K-kno	wn)	K BOUD	K 3000	K 3000	
(7) Substance Stored (E-estimate or K-known)		K GAS	IS GAS	KGAS	
D. Release Detection For Tanks	Check the relea	345341		tank or N/A if none r	equired.
(1) Manual Tank Gauging (only for tanks under 1,00					**************************************
(2) Manual Tank Gauging and Tank Tightness Testin (only for tanks under 2,000 gal.)	9				
(3) Tank Tightness Testing and Inventory Control			- 	 	
(4) Automatic Tank Gauging					
23/4/1					<u></u>
(5) Vapor Monitoring			 		100
(6) Groundwater Monitoring				<u> </u>	
(7) Interstitial Monitoring					
(8) Other approved method (write in name of metho-					State and the state of
E. Release Detection For Piping c	heck the release	detection method(s) used for piping.		
(1) Check Type of Piping for each Tank	Pressure Pipe				
	Suction Pipe				
(2) FOR PRESSURE PIPING:					_
Automatic Line Leak Detectors, and (che	ock one)				
(a) Vapor Monitoring					
(b) Groundwater Monitoring		-		~	
(c) Secondary Containment With Monitoring					
(d) Line Tightness Testing					
F. Corrosion, Spill/Overfill Protection			G. Trust Fur	d Certification	1
	<u> 160000000 - 1000</u> 00000	(1) Certificati			A SAN SAN A SAN A SAN AS AS AS
(1) Corrosion protection installed tindicate date)		(t) Columbia		,,,,	(611-112-111-1)
STEEL LINES TANKS FRO A	115	if N/A, mech	anism for meeting i	financial responsibilit	y?
(2) Spill/Overfill protection installed (indicate date)					
SD, IL BUOKETS FLAPPOR	JALJJæc	(2) Can dedu	ctible be satisfied?	(Yes) No N	I/A (circle one)
	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	Information			
			(100 in 100 in 1		
General site observations and comments (vicinity observa-	tione around wate	ar level etc)			
The state of the second with second to the second s	Riveriu wolf				
Í Δ					
Karny Francisco	aartifu shas I harr	s inangeted the ch-	ove named facility o	5-4-04	7:00
(Print Name)	oerary that i nav	e mahacren me apo	A STREET STREET IN CO.	(Date/)	ime)
AD	Į.				4-04
Inspector's Signature:	<u> </u>			Date:	<u> </u>
1					

Tank	Tank Z	X	Tank
	X	X	
	*	X	
	*	×	
	*	X	
	*	×	
			ł
			
			<u></u> _
			<u> </u>
3)			
			
			20 20 20 20
	piping runs, tanks (piping runs, tanks (including size & subst	piping runs, tanks (including size & substances stored) and loc

Groundwa	ater Mon	itoring				
3500024		·				
Facility ID#: 35 000034						
Date GWM System Installed: 1974	Number of '	Wells: 6				
Distance of well from tank(s): (1) 31 (2) 3	f (3)	<u>2'</u> (4)				
Distance of well from piping: (1)(2)	 ▶ (3)	6 " (4)	6 4			
Site assessment was conducted by:						
Location of Site Assessment Documentation:						
Please answer each question for each well	there are more tha	n 4 wells, please pho	otocopy this	page an	d complete ti	he
	Well [Well Z	Well 3		Well 4,5,6	
(1) Well is clearly marked & secured to avoid	7	Υ	V V		Ууу	
unauthorized access or tampering? (2) Well was opened & presence of water was observed	61	<u> </u>	6		16 16	1
in well at depth of feet? Please check 'YES' or 'NO' for each question					<u> </u>	
(3) Wells are used to monitor piping?		<u>:</u>	YES	$\vec{\Gamma}$	NO	r
4) Site assessment was performed prior to installation of wells?			YES	1	NO	/
(5) Documentation of monthly readings is available?			YES		NO	<u> </u>
(6) Specific gravity of product is less than one?			YES		NO	
(7) Hydraulic conductivity of soil between UST system & monitoring wells is not less than			YES		NO	
0.01 cm/sec. According to:			YES		NO	
(9) Wells are sealed from the ground surface to top of filter pack?			YES		NO	
(10) Continuous monitoring device or manual bailing method used can detect the presence of at least one-eighth inch of free product on top of groundwater in well?			-	NO		
(11) Groundwater is monitored:				11	<u> </u>	
Manually on a monthly basis?		-1- 101-11				
() Automatically (continuously, or		***************************************	VEC		<u> </u>	r —
(12) If groundwater is monitored manually: Bailer used is accessible & functional?			YES	\vdash	NO	
(13) If groundwater is monitored <u>automatically:</u> Monitoring box is operational?		YES	╟	NO		
(14) Checked for presence of sensor in monitoring well?	<u> </u>		YES		NO	<u> </u>
On the back of this sheet, please sketch the site, noting all p wells and their distance from tanks and piping.			suostances	stoteo)	and locatio	HT OT
				- 1		
Comments:						
						
	<u> </u>					
			····			
Inspector's Signature:		Date:	5-4-0	4		

UST\$MAIN:GROUNDWATER-MONITORING-FORM.WPC

INSPECTION SUMMARY

(An asteriek [*] denotes violation)

Che	eck (✔) the appropriate box:
	Facility non-compliant with SOC Release Detection.
	Facility non-compliant with SOC Release Prevention.
Z	Facility non-compliant with both SOC Release Detection and SOC Release Prevention.
	Facility has other non-SOC compliance issues.
	Facility in compliance at time of inspection.

* NEED CORROSION PROTECTION FOR TURPS

NEED MONIFORING WELL SITE ASSESSMENT

This inspection checklist and summary serve as your Notice of Noncompliance (if violations are indicated).

You have until Twe + 64 to provide evidence of compliance. Noncompliance issues could result in enforcement actions including, but not limited to, penalty assessments. Failure to resolve these noncompliance issues within the specified time frame could result in the escalation of enforcement actions.

Signature of Owner/Owner's Representative

5-4-04 Date