## **Melody Walker**

**To:** Greg Banic (adpce.ad); OLRAdmin

**Subject:** RE: Eco-Vista Class 4 2022 AEIR Questions

From: Brad Fureigh [mailto:bfureigh@promusengineering.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 30, 2023 12:24 PM

To: Greg Banic (adpce.ad) < <a href="mailto:Greg.Banic@adeq.state.ar.us">Greg.Banic@adeq.state.ar.us</a>>

Cc: David Conrad <a href="mailto:com"><a href="mailto:dconrad@wm.com"><a href="mailto:com">dconrad@wm.com</a>; Blake Small <a href="mailto:bsmall@wm.com">bsmall@wm.com</a>; Tennison, Don <a href="mailto:dconrad@wm.com">dconrad@wm.com</a>; Blake Small <a href="mailto:bsmall@wm.com">bsmall@wm.com</a>; Tennison, Don <a href="mailto:dconrad@wm.com">dconrad@wm.com</a>; Tennison <a href="mailto:dconrad@wm.com">dconrad@wm.com</a>; Tennison <a href="mailto:dconrad@w

Reynolds, Jodi <<u>ireyno10@wm.com</u>>; Jonathan King <<u>jking@promusengineering.com</u>>

Subject: FW: Eco-Vista Class 4 2022 AEIR Questions

Hi Greg,

Please see responses to your questions below in red. If you need any other information or if I need to send revised

pages reflecting the correct document ID number just let me know. That is a quick fix.

Thank you for double checking with us on these items. We appreciate it.

Brad N. Fureigh, PE

Principal Engineer | Promus Engineering, LLC

M: (501) 554-4547

<u>bfureigh@promusengineering.com</u> www.promusengineering.com

From: Greg Banic (adpce.ad) < Greg.Banic@adeq.state.ar.us>

Sent: Monday, November 13, 2023 8:42 AM

To: Jonathan King < iking@promusengineering.com > Cc: Brad Fureigh < bfureigh@promusengineering.com > Subject: Eco-Vista Class 4 2022 AEIR Questions

Good morning Mr. King,

As we discussed on a call October 31<sup>st</sup>, the Eco-Vista Class 4 Landfill appears to have an overfill condition based on the documents submitted by Promus Engineering, LLC in the 2022 AEIR (Doc ID <u>83870</u>).

Page 13 shows current elevations of 1354.5 and 1352.6 in Cells 5 and 6. Page 14 shows maximum permitted final grades for these cells of 1350. Please explain the overage or error in the survey drawing. The two spot elevations shown on the topographic survey were located on top of soil stockpiles located on the top deck of the landfill.

Page 4, Section 5(d) is listed as "N" (No) to indicate there is not an overfill condition. Again, please explain why there is an apparent overfill condition based on the submitted drawings or revise the status of 5(d). As stated in the response to the question above, the areas shown on the top deck of the landfill were soil stockpiles and not waste overfill.

Also, Page 7, Section 12(m) list Drawing 42907 as the currently approved permit drawings. Please verify that the drawing number is correct as Drawing 42901 appears to be the correct drawing. This error may also apply to Sections 12(l) and 12(n). Yes, the document ID number was a typo. The reference should be for DIN 42901.

Best regards,

PMT#: 0290-S4-R2

Received

By Haley Griffith at 3:29 pm, Feb 16, 2024

DOC ID#: 85128

TO: GB>FILE <HG

AFIN: 72-00144

**Greg Banic** ∞ | Engineer

## Division of Environmental Quality | Office of Land Resources

5301 Northshore Drive | North Little Rock, AR 72118 t: 501.682.0040 | e: <a href="mailto:greg.banic@adeq.state.ar.us">greg.banic@adeq.state.ar.us</a>

