

January 17, 2012

Darren Baker, Owner Stephens / Baker Development Hamilton Park Addition 12913 I-30 Benton, AR 72015

AFIN: 26-00980

NPDES Permit No.: ARR152120

Dear Mr. Baker:

On, January 11, 2012, I performed a routine compliance inspection of the above referenced facility. The inspection was conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed the following violations:

- 1. The most current NOC is not posted at the entrance to the site. The old NOC is posted and barely legible due to the weathered conditions.
- 2. The SWPPP is not being kept updated as required. Some pages were noted as being voided and refer to the update revisions, however; no updated revisions could be located in the onsite documents.
- 3. Inadequate site maps. Currently there are two maps covering the same project area. When specific changes are made to the site some are being documented on one map and not on the other. One map covering either the entire project area or more than one map with each covering a portion of the project area is suitable, however, in order to eliminate conflicting information, when a change is documented it should be done on one map.
- 4. The direction of stormwater flows was not found in the maps.
- 5. Not all structural controls are included on the maps. This includes the storm drain inlet protection devices. Additionally, these should be included as an item that gets evaluated during the weekly inspections.
- 6. Locations on the map where stormwater is discharged off site were not found and / or accurate. Stormwater from a pit dewatering project that was taking place during the inspection was found to be discharging offsite. No controls were located in this area and this discharge location was not indicated on the map.

Hamilton Park Addition January 17, 2012 Page - 2 -

- 7. Areas were final stabilization has been accomplished was not indicated on the map. One map showed BMP's (silt fences) to still be in place around all lots on Phase I, however, most of Phase I has been completed. All but a few homes have been finished and the yards have been sodded.
- 8. Maintenance was found to be needed to the silt fencing in some areas. Some fencing was down and undercutting was noted in some places.
- 9. The sediment pond for Phase II appears to be incorrectly utilized. The storm water drainage system has been piped so that this pond only receives runoff from the stormwater inlets located along the paved streets. Although this pond will provide some benefit by reducing the amount of sediment that leaves the site, it can only remove sediment that may enter the curbside storm drains.
- 10. Sediment and mostly fine shale particles has gotten off site and were found in the roadside ditch on the south side of the project, just above an inlet to Lake Hamilton. This material has not been removed as required.
- 11. The facility is using the old inspection forms and lack a beginning and duration of a storm event, description of any discharges during an inspection, locations of discharges of sediment, locations of BMP's in need of maintenance or where maintenance was performed, locations in need of additional controls and locations and dates of when major construction activities begin, occur or cease.
- 12. The most recent facility inspection report evaluated, dated 1-11-2012, indicated that no maintenance was needed. However, during this inspection, maintenance was indeed noted to be required. For instance, the inspection report stated that all silt fences were buried, however, several areas of unburied silt fence were found.
- 13. There is no indication in the SWPPP stating the frequency that inspections will be conducted.
- 14. Site inspections are not being done every seven days as stated by onsite personnel to be the inspection frequency. A stormwater site inspection was performed on 1-11-2012 and the previous stormwater site inspection was performed on 12-20-2011.
- 15. A high amount of solid waste was found to be scattered around the few construction sites on Phase I and also in a few locations of Phase II.
- 16. Sediment from one particular lot in the south west corner of Phase I is entering a tributary of Lake Hamilton. This is due to dirt work being done to the edge of this tributary. This was evidenced by the turbidity in this creek. Also, during the inspection, a pit was being dewatered with the use of a trackhoe. This runoff was flowing offsite and into a neighboring pond. No stormwater controls were located in this area.

Hamilton Park Addition January 17, 2012 Page - 3 -

17. Unsatisfactory concrete washout area. Currently concrete is being washed out in an uncontrolled area. NO BMP's are in place to prevent runoff.

Additionally, some maintenance activities are being recorded by onsite personnel, however, these are not tied to any maintenance activities found to be needed and documented in an inspection report.

The diesel fuel storage area may be unsuitable for containing any fuel spills. The fuel tank is stored in a pit lined with plastic. The inspection was performed after a rain event that left several large puddles around the site. No stormwater was found to be in the containment area. It is possible that this plastic may be compromised.

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to the Water Division Enforcement Branch of this Department. This response should be mailed to the address at the bottom of the first page of the letter or e-mailed to <u>Water-Enforcement-Report@adeq.state.ar.us</u>. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct each item noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response with all necessary documentation (i.e. photos) is due by January 27, 2012.

For additional information you may contact the Enforcement Branch by telephone at 501-682-0639 or by fax at 501-682-0910.

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at lynch@adeq.state.ar.us or 870 389-6970.

Sincerely,

Shan Synch

District Field Inspector Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch Water Division Permits Branch

\$	-PA				Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003				
	UN								
1			0 11 12 1						
	A F I N		Remarks						
		· · · · ·	1 1						
		G	Section B: Facilit	y Data					
incl	de POTW name and NPDES permit num		to POTW, also						
		R							
Kev	in Rash / Project Manager / 501 249-8	902		(Other Facility Data				
				1	Construction Stormwater				
Dar	ren Baker / Owner / 501 317-0730 or 5				PDS #063337				
Ben	ton, AR 72015			Yes No					
	Permit	Flow Measurement	0	perations & Maintenance	Sampling				
	Records/Reports	Self-Monitoring Program	ı S	ludge Handling/Disposal	Pollution Prevention				
	Facility Site Review	Compliance Schedules		retreatment	Multimedia				
	0		~ 5		Other:				
		section D: Summary of Findin	ngs/Comments (A	(tracil additional sneets if necessary)					
		* S	ee Attached	Sheets					
				Sheets					
Nai	ne(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s)	Agency	y/Office/Telephon	e/Fax	Date				
	Than Synch) / Dist. 7 / 870 38		January 13, 2012				
					• •				
Sig	nature of Reviewer	Agenc	cy/Office/Phone an	nd Fax Numbers	Date				

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection

AFIN: 26-00980

Permit #: ARR152120

SECTION I: SITE POSTING AND SITE INFORMATION	
SITE NAME: Hamilton Park Addition TOTAL ACREAGE 52.37 ACREAGE DIS	TURBED 52.37
LATITUDE 34 ⁰ 26' 40.08" LONGITUDE -93 ⁰ 8' 9.52" STARTING DATE ~ 5-15-2007	
A. Is site is posted with Notice Of Coverage (NOC) (Part II, A.2.D)	
B. Is posting at construction entrance and visible to the public (Part II, A.2.D)	Øy 🛛 n 🖓 na 🖓 ne
C. If project is linear, is posting at area actively under construction? (Part I,B.8.C)	DY DN ØNA DNE
D. If project is part of larger common plan of development, what is development name and permit numb Development Name: Development Permit No:	er NA
SECTION II: STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN EVALUATION AND IMPLE	MENTATION
A. Is the SWPPP being maintained on site from 08:00 am to 5:00 pm (Part II,A.2.A)	
B. Is the SWPPP properly signed as required by Part II,A.2.A of the permit?	Øy On Ona One
C. Is the SWPPP being updated as required by Part II,A.3 of the permit?	
D. Site map in SWPPP (Part II,A.4.F)	
1) Pre-construction topographic view (Part II,A.4.F.1);	DY DN DNA ØNE
2) Direction of stormwater flow (i.e., use arrows to show which direction stormwater will flow) and approximate slopes anticipated after major grading activities (<i>Part II</i> , <i>A</i> .4.F.2);	□y Øn □na □ne
3) Delineate on the site map areas of soil disturbance and areas that will not be disturbed under the coverage of this permit (<i>Part II,A.4.F.3</i>)	□y □n □na Øne
4) Location of major structural and nonstructural controls identified in the plan (Part II, A.4.F.4)	
5) Location of main construction entrance and exit (Part II,A.4.F.5)	Øy On Ona One
6) Location where stabilization practices are expected to occur (Part II, A.4.F.6)	□y □n □na Øne
7) Locations of off-site materials, waste, borrow area, or equipment storage area (Part II,A.4.F.7)	Dy On Øna One
8) Location of areas used for concrete wash-out (Part II,A.4.F.8)	Øy 🗆n 🗆na 🗇ne
9) Location of all surface water bodies (including wetlands) (Part II,A.4.F.9)	□y □n Øna □ne
10) Locations where stormwater is discharged to a surface water and/or municipal separate storm sewer system if applicable (<i>Part II,A.4.F.10</i>)	
11) Locations where stormwater is discharged off-site (should be continuously updated) (Part II,A.4.F.11)	
12) Areas where final stabilization has been accomplished and no further construction phase permit requirements apply(<i>Part II,A.4.F.12</i>)	
E. Stormwater Controls	
1) Are all controls installed as described in the SWPPP (Part II, A.4.G)	Øy On Ona One
2) Silt fences properly installed and maintained (Part II, B. 17.A)	
3) Check dams and velocity dissipation devices properly installed and maintained (Part II, B. 17. A)	
4) Sedimentation basins and sediment traps properly installed and maintained (sediment removed when 50% capacity) (<i>Part II,B.17.A</i>) and (<i>Part II,A.4.G.1.e</i>)	
5) Has sediment that has gotten offsite been removed? (Part II,A.4.G.1.d)	
6) Is construction site entrance sufficiently stabilized to prevent off site tracking? (Part II,A.4.H.2)	
7) Are stabilization methods described in SWPPP being implemented in a timely manner? (Part II,A.4.G.2)	

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection

AFIN: 26-00980

F. Inspections	
1) Inspection Frequency in SWPPP – 🗹 Once every 7 days	ı event
1a) If inspection frequency is every 14 days, do they have a rain gauge on site? (Part II,A.4.L.1.b)	
1b) Is rain gauge properly installed? (nothing obstructing rain gauge) (Part II,B.17.A)	
2) Are inspection records being maintained on site? (Part II,A.4.L.3)	
3) Are ADEQ inspection forms being used or do the forms contain the elements required by the permit? (<i>Part II,A.4.L.2</i>)	
3a) Inspector Name and Title (Part II,A.4.L.2.a)	
3b) Date of Inspection (Part II,A.4.L.2.b)	
3c) Amount of Rainfall and Days Since Last Rain Event (only if inspection frequency is 14 days) (<i>Part II,A.4.L.2.c</i>)	
3d) Approximate beginning and duration of the storm event (Part II,A.4.L.2.d)	
3e) Description of any discharges during inspection (Part II,A.4.L.2.e)	□y Øn □na □ne
3f) Locations of discharges of sediment/other pollutants (Part II,A.4.L.2.f)	□y Øn □na □ne
3g) Locations of BMPs in need of maintenance or where maintenance was performed (Part II,A.4.L.2.g)	
3h) If the BMPs are in working order and if maintenance is required (when scheduled and completed) (<i>Part II</i> ,A.4.L.2.h)	
3i) Locations that are in need of additional controls (Part II,A.4.L.2.i)	
3j) Location and Dates When Major Construction Activities Begin, Occur or Cease (Part II,A.4.L.2.j)	
3k) Report Signature of Inspector (Part II,A.4.L.2.k)	
4) Are inspections conducted in accordance with the requirements of the SWPPP? (Part II, A.4.L)	□y Øn □na □ne
5) Are corrective actions being implemented within 3 business days? (Part II,A.4.M)	
G. Stormwater management	
1) Is solid waste being controlled as described in SWPPP? (Part II, A.4.H.1)	
2) Are stabilized buffer zones being maintained? (25 feet from stream, 50 feet if 303 d, TMDL, ERW, ESW or NSW) (Part II, A.4.G.2.b)	
3) Have velocity dissipation devices been installed at discharge points, within concentrated flow areas serving two or more acres and along drainage courses where required to prevent erosive flows (<i>Part II, A.4.G.3.b</i>)	
4) Has silt or sediment gotten off the construction site? A.C.A.§ 8-4-217(a)(2)	
5) Has silt and sediment been discharged to the waters of the state? A.C.A.§ 8-4-217(a)(2)	
If yes, give the name of the stream or water body: <u>Lake Hamilton</u>	
6) Is the washout of concrete being done at the designated location? (Part II, A.4.G.8)	
7) Does the liquid waste from concrete washout reach the waters of the state? (Part II, A.4.H.5)	
8) Are fuel storage areas protected as described by SWPPP? (Part II, A.4.H.6)	
9) Have temporary erosion controls been removed from areas finally stabilized? (Part II, A.4.G)	
10) Are there any allowable non-stormwater discharges that have not been addressed in the SWPPP (<i>Part II, A.4.I</i>)	
11) Are there any process waters or non-stormwater discharges that are not allowed under the permit being discharged? A.C.A.§ 8-4-217(b)(1)(E)	
12) Does the SWPPP include the required information about employee training (dates of training, records of training, etc.) (Part II,A.4.N)	

AFIN: 26-00980

E. Comments and Observations:

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report Further Explanation

Sec. I, A - The most current NOC is not posted at the entrance to the site. The old NOC is posted and barely legible due to the weathered conditions.

Sec. II, C – The SWPPP is not being kept updated as required. Some pages were noted as being voided and refer to the update revisions, however; no updated revisions could be located in the onsite documents.

Sec. II, D – Inadequate site maps. Currently there are two maps covering the same project area. When specific changes are made to the site some are being documented on one map and not on the other. One map covering either the entire project area or more than one map with each covering a portion of the project area is suitable, however, in order to eliminate conflicting information, when a change is documented it should be done on one map.

Sec. II, D, 2 – The direction of stormwater flows was not found in the maps.

Sec. II, D, 4 – Not all structural controls are included on the maps. This includes the storm drain inlet protection devices.

Additionally, these should be included as an item that gets evaluated during the weekly inspections.

Sec. II, D, 11 - Locations on the map where stormwater is discharged off site was not found and / or accurate. Stormwater from a pit dewatering project that was taking place during the inspection was found to be discharging offsite. No controls were located in this area and this discharge location was not indicated on the map.

Sec. II, D, 12 -Areas were final stabilization has been accomplished was not indicated on the map. One map showed BMP's (silt fences) to still be in place around all lots on Phase I, however, most of Phase I has been completed. All but a few homes have been finished and the yards have been sodded.

Sec. II, E, 2 – Maintenance was found to be needed to the silt fencing in some areas. Some fencing was down and undercutting was noted in some places.

Sec. II, E, 4 - The sediment pond for Phase II appears to be incorrectly utilized. The storm water drainage system has been piped so that this pond only receives runoff from the stormwater inlets located along the paved streets. Although this pond will provide some benefit by reducing the amount of sediment that leaves the site, it can only remove sediment that may enter the curbside storm drains. Sec. II, E, 5 & Sec. II, G, 4 – Sediment and mostly fine shale particles has gotten off site and were found in the roadside ditch on the south side of the project, just above an inlet to Lake Hamilton. This material has not been removed as required.

Sec. II, F – Some maintenance activities are being recorded by onsite personnel, however, these are not tied to any maintenance activities found to be needed and documented in an inspection report.

Sec. II, F – The facility is using the old inspection forms and lack a beginning and duration of a storm event, description of any discharges during an inspection, locations of discharges of sediment, locations of BMP's in need of maintenance or where maintenance was performed, locations in need of additional controls and locations and dates of when major construction activities begin, occur or cease.

Sec. II, F – The most recent facility inspection report evaluated, dated 1-11-2012, indicated that no maintenance was needed. However, during this inspection, maintenance was indeed noted to be required. For instance, the inspection report stated that all silt fences were buried, however, several areas of unburied silt fence were found.

Sec. II, F, 1 – There is no indication in the SWPPP stating the frequency that inspections will be conducted.

Sec. II, F, 4 – Site inspections are not being done every seven days as stated by onsite personnel to be the inspection frequency. A stormwater site inspection was performed on 1-11-2012 and the previous stormwater site inspection was performed on 12-20-2011. Sec. II, G, 1 - A high amount of solid waste was found to be scattered around the few construction sites on Phase I and also in a few locations of Phase II.

Sec. II, G, 5 & Sec. II, F, 3i – Sediment from one particular lot in the south west corner of Phase I is entering a tributary of Lake Hamilton. This is due to dirt work being done to the edge of this tributary. This was evidenced by the turbidity in this creek. Also, during the inspection, a pit was being dewatered with the use of a trackhoe. This runoff was flowing offsite and into a neighboring pond. No stormwater controls were located in this area.

Sec. II, G, 6 – Unsatisfactory concrete washout area. Currently concrete is being washed out in an uncontrolled area. NO BMP's are in place to prevent runoff.

Sec. II, G, 7 - The diesel fuel storage area may be unsuitable for containing any fuel spills. The fuel tank is stored in a pit lined with plastic. The inspection was performed after a rain event that left several large puddles around the site. No stormwater was found to be in the containment area. It is possible that this plastic may be compromised.

			Wate	r Division N	PDES Phot	ographic E	vi	dence Sheet		
Location:	Ha	milton	Park Add	ition						
Photographe	r:	Tomm	y Kerr			Witness:		Shan Lynch		
Photo #	1	Of	14			Date:		1-11-2012	Time:	
Description:		Using a	a trackhoe	e to dewater a	a pit with off	-site runoff				
					KOBELO		「日本の一日の一日間」に		2012	
Photographe	r•	Tomm	v Kerr			Witness:		None		
Photo #	2	Of	14			Date:		1-11-2012	Time:	
Description:	-			watering. No	o controls. R		s r	ond at treelin		

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection

AFIN: 26-00980

1	Water L	Division NPDES Photo	ographic Ev	idence Sheet		
Location: H	amilton Park Additio	n				
Photographer:	Tommy Kerr		Witness:	Shan Lynch		
Photo # 3	Of 14		Date:	1-11-2012	Time:	
Description:	Pit dewatering rund	off offsite with no contr	rols			-
				01/11/2	012	
						and a
Photographer:	Tommy Kerr		Witness:	Shan Lynch		
Photographer: Photo # 4	-		Witness: Date:	Shan Lynch 1-11-2012	Time:	
	Of 14	er into offsite pond on	Date:	1-11-2012	Time:	

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection

			Wat	er Division NPDES P	hotographic Evi	idence Sheet		
Location:			Park Ad	dition				
Photographer		Tomm			Witness:	Shan Lynch		
Photo #	5	Of	14		Date:	1-11-2012	Time:	
Description:		Uncon	trolled c	oncrete washout area.	No offsite runoff	f.		
						01/11/2	2012	
Photographer Photo # Description:	6	Tomm Of	14		Witness: Date:	Shan Lynch 1-11-2012	Time:	
				age system				

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection

AFIN: 26-00980	

			Wat	er Divisio	n NPDES	Photogra	phic Ev	vidence	Sheet		
Location:	Ham	ilton l	Park Ade	lition							
Photographer	: Т	omm	y Kerr			Wi	tness:	Shan	Lynch		
Photo #	7	Of	14			Γ	Date:	1-11-	2012	Time:	
Description:	S	ilt fen	ce condi	tions							
										2012	
Photographe	u T	omm	v Kerr			Wi	tness:	Shar	Lynch		
Photo #											
Description:				tions; note	undercutti				-	1	

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection

AFIN: 26-00980

			Wate	er Division	n NPDES	Photo	graphic Ev	vidence Sheet		
Location: H	lamil	lton Pa	rk Add	ition						
Photographer:	To	ommy l	Kerr				Witness:	Shan Lynch		
Photo # 9		Of	14				Date:	1-11-2012	Time:	
Description:	Sil	lt fence	condit	tions; note	undercutt	ing an	d unburied			
Photographer:	To	ommy l	Kerr				Witness:	Shan Lynch		
Photo # 10) (Of	14				Date:	1-11-2012	Time:	
Description:	Ph Tv	ase II : vo low	sedime er pipe	nt pond. U s discharg	Upper pipe e to street	conne side di	cted to stor tch, then to	rm drain inlets. D Lake Hamilto	No other n	runoff to pond.
								01/11/2	2012	

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection

AFIN: 26-0098	30

Permit #: ARR152120

	Water Division NPDES P	hotographic Ev	vidence Sheet	
Location: H	Iamilton Park Addition			
Photographer:	Tommy Kerr	Witness:	Shan Lynch	
Photo # 1		Date:	1-11-2012	Time:
Description:	Solid waste on site.			
			01/11/2	
Photographer:	Tommy Kerr	Witness:	Shan Lynch	
Photo # 12		Date:	1-11-2012	Time:
Description:	Unstabilized lot with direct runoff into enters Lake Hamilton	5 sman tributary	. Nouce turbid	conditions of creek. This

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection

AFIN: 26-00980

		Wat	er Division NPDES Photo	ographic Ev	vidence Sheet		
Location: H	amilto	n Park Ado	lition				
Photographer:	Tom	my Kerr		Witness:	Shan Lynch		
Photo # 13				Date:	1-11-2012	Time:	
Description:	When	re tributary	from subdivision enters I	ake Hamilto	on. Slight turbi	dity.	• •
						012	
Photographer:	Tom	my Kerr		Witness:	Shan Lynch		
Photo # 14	l Of	f 14		Date:	1-11-2012	Time:	
Description:	Sedir	ment and fi	ne shale in roadside ditch	from constr	uction project.		
						T	



February 8, 2012

CERTIFIED MAIL: 91 7199 9991 7030 4904 5109

Mr. Darren Baker, Owner Stephens/Baker Development Hamilton Park Edition 12913 I-30 Benton, AR 72015

RE: NPDES General Permit No. ARR152120, AFIN 26-00980 Failure to Respond to Inspection #063337

Dear Mr. Baker:

The Department has <u>not</u> received your response to the January 11, 2012 inspection of your facility by our District Field Inspector, Shan Lynch. The inspection noted several violations at your construction site. Please review the inspection report that was sent to you on January 11, 2012 and submit a response containing detailed documentation describing the course of action taken to correct each item noted. Please provide a written response with photo documentation by <u>February 20, 2012</u>.

Please be advised that a continuing lack of cooperation in this matter may result in formal enforcement action. Such action would include but would not be limited to the assessment of a monetary penalty.

Should you have any questions, feel free to contact me at 501-682-0632 or you may email me at <u>bolenbaugh@adeq.state.ar.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Jason Bolenbaugh Enforcement Analyst Water Division Enforcement Branch

Bolenbaugh, Jason

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Bolenbaugh, Jason Tuesday, February 14, 2012 1:12 PM 'mdarrenbaker@yahoo.com' Inspection Report 063337-insp.pdf

Mr. Baker,

Here is a copy of the inspection report that was initially mailed to you on January 17, 2012. Per our conversation I have extended your response date to February 29, 2012. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Jason Bolenbaugh Enforcement Analyst Water Division – Enforcement Branch Phone: 501-682-0632 Email: bolenbaugh@adeq.state.ar.us

Bolenbaugh, Jason

From:SBDI Benton <sbdibenton@yahoo.com>Sent:Wednesday, February 29, 2012 5:46 PMTo:Bolenbaugh, JasonSubject:RE: InspectionAttachments:SBDI - ADEQ Letter.PDF

Please see the attached letter.

Thank you,

Brittney Bearden

Stephens-Baker Development, Inc. Executive Assistant 12913 Interstate 30 Benton, AR 72015 Phone: 501-317-0730 E-Fax: 501-708-2709

From: Bolenbaugh, Jason [mailto:BOLENBAUGH@adeq.state.ar.us]
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 2:37 PM
To: 'sbdibenton@yahoo.com'
Cc: mdarrenbaker@yahoo.com; Lynch, Shan
Subject: Inspection

Brittany,

Here is the January 11, 2012 inspection report that you requested. Also attached to the inspection report is the certified letter I sent Mr. Baker on February 8, 2012, and an email that I sent him on February 14, 2012, granting him an extension to February 29, 2012. If you have any questions please let me know.

Thank you,

Jason Bolenbaugh Enforcement Analyst Water Division – Enforcement Branch Phone: 501-682-0632 Email: <u>bolenbaugh@adeq.state.ar.us</u>

No virus found in this message. Checked by AVG - <u>www.avg.com</u> Version: 2012.0.1913 / Virus Database: 2114/4840 - Release Date: 02/28/12



February 29, 2012

Jason Bolenbaugh Enforcement Analyst Water Division – Enforcement Branch 5301 Northshore Dr. North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

RE: AFIN: 26-00980

NPDES Permit #: ARR152120

Dear Mr. Bolenbaugh,

In response to our recent Notice of Violations letter that was received on January 17, 2012, Stephens-Baker Development, Inc. has made the following corrections to be in compliance with ADEQ:

- 1. The old NOC has been removed. The current NOC has been posted at the entrance site.
- 2. The SWPPP has been updated to be in compliance with ADEQ requirements. The updated revisions have been made and placed in onsite documents.
- 3. We have updated the current site map to reflect any and all changes. Duplicated maps has been removed from site to ensure no conflicting information.
- 4. The flow of storm water as been added to site map to show the direction of flow.

- 5. The site map has been updated. This includes the storm drain inlet protection devices, and has been added to be evaluated on weekly inspections.
- 6. Controls have been place at pit site and updated on site map.
- 7. Site map has been updated showing current BMPs.
- 8. All silt fences that were inadequate have been corrected and all silt fences have been maintained to meet ADEQ requirement.
- 9. Pond is in place to catch run off from two storm drains. Pond has been approved for such purpose. Pond is not intended to catch water from anywhere else other than the two drains specified. Final grading has not occurred for surrounding lots.
- 10. The sediment and other fine shale particles has been removed that was found in road side ditch.
- 11. Old forms have been replaced with new forms that show when maintenance be occurs and when maintenance ceases.
- 12. The current inspections reports have been updated. The reports show that the unburied silt fences have been corrected.
- 13. SWPPP has been updated stating that inspections will be conducted weekly or after a half an inch rain or greater.
- 14. Onsite personnel have been instructed to do site inspections every seven days.
- 15. All solid waste has been removed from construction site and will be maintained as construction persists.
- 16. Sediment is being removed and disposed of properly from specified lot in the southwest corner of Phase 1. Storm water controls are being added to control sited runoff.
- 17. Concrete washout area has been established in a controlled area and BMP's are in place to prevent runoff.

All violations have been correct to be in compliance with ADEQ requirements. All personnel have been directed to perform maintenance that is required and not to falsify any information on an inspection report.

Diesel fuel tank has been completely removed offsite.

Photos have been taken and will be sent to your attention ASAP. We have currently have technical issues loading pictures from camera. Thank you for your understanding in this matter.

If you should have any further question or need further information please feel free to contact Kevin Rash at 501-249-9991 or e-mail at kevinwrash@gmail.com or Travis Baker by telephone at 501-249-1993 or e-mail at sbdibaker@yahoo.com.

Sincerely,

Travis Baker Project Manager Stephens-Baker Development, Inc.

Bolenbaugh, Jason

From: Sent: To: Subject: Bolenbaugh, Jason Thursday, March 01, 2012 3:22 PM 'SBDI Benton' RE: Inspection

Ms. Bearden,

I have reviewed the inspection response as well as visited the site; however, the Department requires that all documentation be received before rendering a decision as to the completeness of the response. Please submit the photo documentation you referenced in the response close of business on Friday, March 2. If you have any questions please contact me.

Thank you,

Jason Bolenbaugh

Enforcement Analyst Water Division – Enforcement Branch Phone: 501-682-0632 Email: <u>bolenbaugh@adeq.state.ar.us</u>

From: SBDI Benton [mailto:sbdibenton@yahoo.com] Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 5:46 PM To: Bolenbaugh, Jason Subject: RE: Inspection

Please see the attached letter.

Thank you,

Brittney Bearden

Stephens-Baker Development, Inc. Executive Assistant 12913 Interstate 30 Benton, AR 72015 Phone: 501-317-0730 E-Fax: 501-708-2709

From: Bolenbaugh, Jason [mailto:BOLENBAUGH@adeq.state.ar.us]
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 2:37 PM
To: 'sbdibenton@yahoo.com'
Cc: mdarrenbaker@yahoo.com; Lynch, Shan
Subject: Inspection

Brittany,

Here is the January 11, 2012 inspection report that you requested. Also attached to the inspection report is the certified letter I sent Mr. Baker on February 8, 2012, and an email that I sent him on February 14, 2012, granting him an extension to February 29, 2012. If you have any questions please let me know.

Thank you,

Jason Bolenbaugh Enforcement Analyst Water Division – Enforcement Branch Phone: 501-682-0632 Email: bolenbaugh@adeq.state.ar.us

No virus found in this message. Checked by AVG - <u>www.avg.com</u> Version: 2012.0.1913 / Virus Database: 2114/4840 - Release Date: 02/28/12