ANALYSIS OF BROWNFIELD CLEANUP ALTERNATIVES PLAN REVISION 0

CARLISLE COMMERCIAL PROPERTY 216 & 218 WEST MAIN STREET CARLISLE, ARKANSAS 72024

> EnSafe Project Number 0888840689

> > Prepared for:



Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment Division of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118

May 9, 2025

1603 Lyndon B Johnson Freeway, Suite 700 Farmers Branch, Texas 75234 972-791-3222 | 800-588-7962 www.ensafe.com



creative thinking. custom solutions.®

ANALYSIS OF BROWNFIELD CLEANUP ALTERNATIVES PLAN REVISION 0

CARLISLE COMMERCIAL PROPERTY 216 & 218 WEST MAIN STREET CARLISLE, ARKANSAS 72024

> EnSafe Project Number 0888840689

> > Prepared for:



Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment Division of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118

May 9, 2025

Prepared by:

Jasmine Estrada Environmental Geologist

1603 Lyndon B Johnson Freeway, Suite 700 Farmers Branch, Texas 75234 972-791-3222 | 800-588-7962 www.ensafe.com



creative thinking. custom solutions.®

Reviewed by:

Emily Brickum

Emily J. Brickman, PG Senior Project Manager/Geologist

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRO	DUCTION	1
	1.1	Distribution List	1
2.0	SITE B	ACKGROUND	3
	2.1	Site Location and Description	3
	2.2	Source of Contamination	3
3.0	SITE A	SSESSMENT	4
	3.1	Asbestos Inspection	4
4.0	REGUL	ATORY CONSIDERATIONS	5
	4.1	Asbestos-containing Materials Abatement	5
5.0	BROW 5.1 5.2	NSFIELD CLEANUP ALTERNATIVES Alternative 1 — In-place Management of Asbestos-containing Materials 5.1.1 Feasibility 5.1.2 Effectiveness 5.1.3 Cost Alternative 2 — Encapsulation of Asbestos-containing Materials 5.2.1 Feasibility 5.2.2 Effectiveness 5.2.3 Cost	7 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9
	5.3	 Alternative 3 — Removal of Asbestos-containing Materials	9 9 9 9
	5.4	Alternative 4 — No Action Related to Asbestos-containing Material Remediation 1 5.4.1 Feasibility	0 0 0 0
	5.5	Selected Remedial Alternative	0

TABLES

	1
--	---

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Figures	
	Figure 1	Site Location Map
	Figure 2	Site Map
Appendix B	Historical De	ocumentation



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Distribution List

This Analysis of Brownfield Cleanup Alternatives (ABCA) Plan has been prepared for the Carlisle Commercial Property properties located at 216 & 218 West Main Street in Carlisle, Lonoke County, Arkansas (Site). This ABCA Plan was prepared to evaluate commercial cleanup alternatives. Table 1 contains the distribution list of recipients for the approved ABCA Plan. The approved ABCA Plan can be provided in hard copy or electronic (PDF format) versions, as requested.

Table 1 Analysis of Brownfield Cleanup Alternatives Plan Distribution List									
Name	Title	Organization	Phone	Email					
Addie McClain	Brownfield and Site Assessment Program Supervisor	ADEE-DEQ	501-682-0616	addie.mcclain@arkansas.gov					
Emily Brickman, PG	Senior Project Manager/ Contract Manager/ Project Manager	EnSafe Inc.	214-529-5600	ebrickman@ensafe.com					
Dean Stoker Site Safety Office Asbestos Inspect		EnSafe Inc.	501-517-4751	dstoker@ensafe.com					
Bryan Brister	Senior Environmental Technician/ Field Team Member/ Asbestos Inspector	EnSafe Inc.	901-937-4343	bbrister@ensafe.com					
Frank O'Connell	Senior Environmental Technician/ Field Team Member/ Asbestos Inspector	EnSafe Inc.	901-937-4445	joconnell@ensafe.com					
Dana Miller	Project Chemist/ Data Validator/ Quality Assurance Officer	EnSafe Inc.	972-865-4857	dmiller@ensafe.com					
Gary Nooner	Project Manager	Environmental Protection Associates of Russellville, Inc.	501-516-3959	gnooner@epaonline.biz					

Note:

ADEE-DEQ

= Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment, Division of Environmental Quality

The Site formerly housed a commercial building; however, the property and building were in disrepair and the building and onsite structures collapsed and have been demolished. Information provided by the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment, Division of Environmental Quality (ADEE-DEQ) indicates the onsite building debris pile is scheduled for repurposing with participation and assistance being provided through the Arkansas Brownfield Program. The project will require remediation and/or management of identified asbestos-containing materials (ACM). This project will



help facilitate renovation of the building, as well as support the goals of the ADEE-DEQ and United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Brownfield Programs. This ABCA Plan includes information regarding:

- The characteristics of the building and the environmental issues that have been documented, including identification of contaminants, potential exposure pathways, sources of contamination, applicable or relevant and appropriate laws, and regulations and standards;
- Analysis of potential cleanup alternatives, including *no action* as an alternative;
- A discussion of the proposed scope of cleanup activities to be considered in evaluating and recommending the cleanup plan; and
- A determination of what controls will be required to implement the cleanup.

ENSAFE

2.0 SITE BACKGROUND

EnSafe has been contracted by the ADEE-DEQ to complete an ABCA Plan to cover the remediation and/or management of ACM identified at the Site.

2.1 Site Location and Description

The Site property consists of multiple parcels totaling a 0.26-acre area¹. Figure 1 (Appendix A) is a topographic map showing the location of the Site.

EnSafe understands the Site formerly housed a commercial building; however, the building and onsite structures collapsed and have been demolished. The original one-story building was constructed prior to 1955, with several additions through the years. Based on review of aerial imagery, the Site is adjoined by vacant commercial buildings to the east and west; grass-covered landscaped areas to the north and a concrete sidewalk and asphalt-paved parking areas to the south. West Main Street borders the subject property to the south. Figure 2 (Appendix A) is an aerial image showing the Site and its relationship to surrounding properties.

The Central Arkansas Planning and Development District, Inc. applied to be part of the Arkansas Brownfield Program, with the application completed by Mr. Rodney Larsen, Executive Director, dated September 19, 2024.² Historical application information and property access documents are in Appendix B.

2.2 Source of Contamination

The Site formerly housed a commercial building functioning as the Old Chamber of Commerce. The Site has been scheduled for repurposing and the existing structure has been demolished and remains in place. ACM were identified in a previous asbestos survey conducted by Environmental Protection Associates (EPA) in April 2023. Previous inspections and surveys have identified the presence of ACM as summarized in Section 3 of this report.

¹ Parcel data retrieved from Arkansas GIS Office Tax Parcel website

⁽https://agio.maps.arcgis.com/home/webmap/viewer.html?webmap=81960b350dc04284b35046e6a54ed5b2). 2025, April 3.

² Arkansas Brownfield Program Application Form; submitted by Mr. Rodney Larsen (rodney.larsen@capdd.org), Central Arkansas Planning and Development District, Inc. City of West Memphis Community Development Specialist. 2024, September 19.



3.0 SITE ASSESSMENT

This section presents previous assessment activities conducted in preparation for future planned building renovations. The following summary was prepared based on findings presented in the EPA *Asbestos Survey Report* dated April 5, 2023, and email communication from the ADEE-DEQ.

3.1 Asbestos Inspection

An asbestos survey conducted by EPA in April to May 2023 included the collection of 19 bulk samples collected from suspect asbestos-containing building materials, which were submitted to Crisp Analytical, LLC and CA Labs, LLC in Carrollton, Texas, for analysis using polarized light microscopy. The survey identified ACM in floor tile and mastic and roofing systems throughout the structure.

In total, three homogeneous areas of ACM were identified, including 9-inch-by-9-inch floor tile and mastic, as well as roofing materials. The following approximate quantities of ACM were identified:

- 1,530 square feet of asbestos-containing 9-inch-by-9-inch floor tile and mastic (non-friable)
- 880 square feet of assumed asbestos-containing 9-inch-by-9-inch floor tile and mastic in collapsed/unsafe areas (non-friable)
- 1,760 square feet of asbestos-containing roofing materials (non-friable)

Additionally, sheetrock and joint compound materials were sampled and determined to contain less than 1% chrysotile asbestos. These materials are not considered ACMs under Federal and state regulations; however, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations still apply if the materials are disturbed during renovation or demolition. It was noted in the report that some areas of the building had collapsed and were unsafe to enter. The building has since been demolished and now all the remaining debris is considered asbestos-contaminated waste requiring disposal by a licensed asbestos contractor at an approved landfill. Photographs of the Site provided by the ADEE-DEQ are in Appendix B. The Arkansas Brownfield Program has been approved to facilitate remediation of the ACM from the Site.

ENSAFE

4.0 **REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS**

The ADEE-DEQ, U.S. EPA National Emissions Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants, Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act, OSHA, and Arkansas Department of Health laws and regulations are applicable to the proposed remedies and are outlined herein. Below is a summary of applicable regulatory requirements considered pertinent to the proposed remedies.

4.1 Asbestos-containing Materials Abatement

- The presence of ACM (including Category I and II non-friable materials) must be determined prior to any renovation or demolition activities in regulated structures, as mandated in 40 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 61, Subpart M, Section 61.145, Paragraph (a).
- Category I and II friable and non-friable ACM in poor condition and Category I and II non-friable ACM that becomes friable during renovation or demolition activities that is present in quantities greater than 160 square feet, 260 linear feet, or 35 cubic feet are considered to be regulated asbestos-containing material (RACM); Federal, state, and local regulations are applicable to removal, containerization, and disposal of RACM.
- Additionally, ACM that will be removed by sanding, grinding, cutting, or abrading shall be considered RACM per ADEE-DEQ Rule 21 and shall be removed prior to any renovation or demolition activities.
- Removal of RACM from regulated structures will follow ADEE-DEQ, U.S. EPA, and OSHA regulations. The removal of these materials must be performed by an asbestos abatement contractor licensed by the ADEE-DEQ who employs properly trained and certified workers and personnel.
- A written asbestos abatement design is required by the ADEE-DEQ prior to renovation, demolition, or response action that is not a small-scale short-duration activity or minor release episode that involves RACM. The project design must be a written document, specific to the job in question. A copy of the design must be maintained at the job site and be made available to regulatory agency representatives upon request.
- The abatement design for this project will be prepared by an Arkansas-licensed Asbestos Abatement Designer; for this project, EPA will provide these services.



- Final clearance air sampling is required by the ADEE-DEQ for all contained work areas when regulated materials are removed. Final clearance air monitoring for this project will be performed by an Arkansas-licensed Air Monitor.
- As required by ADEE-DEQ Regulation 21, an appropriate 10-day notification for the project will be filed with the ADEE-DEQ.
- The disposal of RACM is regulated by the ADEE-DEQ Solid Waste Division and must be transported and disposed of as an asbestos-containing waste at a Class I licensed and permitted landfill. Disposal of Category I and II non-friable ACMs in good condition can be disposed of at either a Class I or Class III licensed and permitted landfill.

ENSAFE

5.0 BROWNSFIELD CLEANUP ALTERNATIVES

ACM has been identified in the floor tile and mastic and roofing through the building prior to its demolition, which is now a debris pile, that will require remediation and/or management prior to redevelopment. Four remedial alternatives were identified for this project:

- Alternative 1: In-place management of the ACM
- Alternative 2: Encapsulation of the ACM
- Alternative 3: Removal of ACM
- Alternative 4: No action

The remedial alternatives were evaluated with consideration of the following:

- Feasibility
- Effectiveness
- Cost

The feasibility of an alternative involves a determination whether the alternative is a practical solution for addressing the cleanup objective. The factors associated with the feasibility of the alternatives considered included:

- Technical feasibility
- Administrative feasibility
- Community and regulatory acceptance

The effectiveness of an alternative involves its ability to meet the objectives of the overall project. The criteria considered in evaluating the effectiveness of the alternatives included:

- Protection of public health and the environment
- Compliance with applicable or relevant and appropriate regulatory requirements
- Long-term effectiveness and permanence
- Reduction of the hazard
- Short-term effectiveness



5.1 Alternative 1 — In-place Management of Asbestos-containing Materials

Alternative 1 consists of in-place management of ACM. This option does not include removal of any of the ACM.

5.1.1 Feasibility

The proposed work at the Site involves removal of the ACM debris pile and clearing of the Site for future repurposing; in-place management of ACM in the current state and condition is not considered technically and/or administratively feasible. Regulatory constraints designed to protect workers who may disturb these materials, along with the general public, prevent building renovation where RACM and non-friable ACM that may become friable are present. Therefore, in-place management is not a feasible alternative for addressing the cleanup objectives for the Site.

5.1.2 Effectiveness

While the in-place management alternative could be in compliance with regulatory requirements, the in-place management of ACM would not reduce the associated hazard and would not be protective of human health for the long term. This cleanup alternative would be ineffective in preventing exposure to the identified ACM, would not provide long-term effectiveness, and would hinder planned renovation and future use of the Site.

5.1.3 Cost

The in-place management of ACM was not considered as a viable remedy, and estimated costs for this remedy were not evaluated due to the fact that this remedy would not include consideration of costs associated with the possibility of future abatement needs relating to ongoing building/debris pile maintenance activities.

5.2 Alternative 2 — Encapsulation of Asbestos-containing Materials

Alternative 2 consists of encapsulation of the ACM confirmed in the Site building. This option does not include removal of identified ACM. Encapsulation of the ACM debris pile is not considered a viable option to provide long-term management and stabilization of identified ACM material.

5.2.1 Feasibility

The encapsulation to cleanup to prevent exposure to the ACM in the demolition debris pile is not considered technically and/or administratively feasible. This encapsulation alternative would be ineffective and hinder planned reuse of the Site.



5.2.2 Effectiveness

While this alternative would comply with regulatory requirements, the encapsulation of ACM would not completely reduce the associated hazard and would not be protective of human health for the long-term management of the Site. This alternative would be ineffective and hinder planned reuse of the Site.

5.2.3 Cost

The encapsulation of ACM was not considered as a viable option for cleanup, and estimated costs were not evaluated.

5.3 Alternative 3 — Removal of Asbestos-containing Materials

Alternative 3 consists of removal of all ACM.

5.3.1 Feasibility

The removal of all ACM would provide a long-term solution to prevent exposure to the ACM and would be completed in accordance with state and Federal requirements. Removal is technically and administratively feasible and has community and regulatory acceptance.

The removal of ACM would be achieved by isolation of the work area with asbestos barrier tape and "Danger Asbestos" signs, ACM abatement via removal, and proper transportation and disposal of generated waste material. Negative exposure air monitoring will be performed to ensure the safety of the equipment operators and ground crew. Debris will be kept wet during the loading for offsite transportation via a lined dumpster and or tailers. After the debris has been removed, the remaining asbestos floor tile and mastic will be wet and scraped from the floor using spud hoes and/or a tile machine. As needed, ACM floor tile mastic will be removed using application of solvents. When the debris and flooring is removed, the work area will be wet wiped down. A visual inspection of the area will be conducted to ensure the ACM have been remediated and removed from the Site.

5.3.2 Effectiveness

The removal of all ACM would be the most effective remedial option as it provides a long-term solution to protect public health. Additionally, the removal of all ACM would eliminate the potential for future exposure to the hazard if additional renovations of the Site's building are completed in the future.

5.3.3 Cost

The removal of identified ACM is considered the most cost-effective remedy. The total cost to remove the ACM is estimated at \$60,000 to \$70,000.



5.4 Alternative 4 — No Action Related to Asbestos-containing Material Remediation

Alternative 4 consists of no action related to remediation of ACM. This option does not include removal or encapsulation of any of the ACM, but instead would leave the confirmed ACM in place.

5.4.1 Feasibility

This option is not suggested as it would leave the confirmed ACM in place, would not eliminate the potential exposure scenario, would prevent additional renovation of the building, and limit future use of the structure. No action does not achieve community and regulatory acceptance.

5.4.2 Effectiveness

This alternative would be ineffective as it does not adequately protect human health, does not achieve compliance with applicable or relevant requirements, or provide reduction of the hazard.

5.4.3 Cost

The total cost for no action is estimated to be \$0. The estimate does not include costs associated with future building maintenance or future renovation activities.

5.5 Selected Remedial Alternative

The selected remedial alternative for the confirmed ACM is Alternative 3 (Removal of ACM).

Specific tasks involved in the selected remedies include:

- Preparation of cost estimations and planning documents such as this ABCA Plan, a Quality Assurance Project Plan, and ACM Project Designs;
- Removal, containerization, and disposal of ACM; and
- Project summary reporting.

The total estimated costs developed by EnSafe Inc. for the ADEE-DEQ, as presented in the *Scope of Services and Fee Estimate* dated March 6, 2025, for the selected ACM remedial alternatives is approximately \$68,398.36 to implement these tasks.³

³ EnSafe Inc. Scope of Services and Fee Estimate — Asbestos Abatement, Revision 0, Carlisle Commercial Property, 216 & 218 West Main Street, Carlisle, Arkansas 72024. Contract No.: 4600054169. Memphis, Tennessee. 2025, March 6.

Appendix A Figures





Appendix B Historical Documentation



#9 Remington Cove Little Rock, Arkansas 72204 Phone: 501-562-3818 Fax: 501-562-5701 Toll Free: 1-800-530-7706

Asbestos Survey

То:	Trudy Drye City of Carlisle	From:	Gary Nooner
Email: Fax:		Date:	April 5, 2023
Phone:		Pages: 17	Including cover sheet
Re:	Vacant Business 218-220 W. Main St	cc:	

Comments

#9 Remington Cove Little Rock, Arkansas 72204 501-562-3818 Fax 501-562-5701

April 5, 2023

City of Carlisle

RE: Asbestos Survey

Vacant Business 218-220 W. Main St Carlisle, Ar

Mrs. Trudy Drye

On March 21, 2023 at your request I collected samples from the above referenced location to determine if asbestos was present. Nineteen (19) samples were collected for laboratory analysis.

Laboratory analysis of these samples have determined the following:

Asbestos Detected in the following Materials

	Description	Location	
Sample # 01	9"X9" Floor tile and mastic (Under Carpet)	See Drawing Area # 5	Approx. 315 Sft.
Sample # 02	9"X9" Floor tile and mastic	See Drawing Area # 4	Approx. 30 Sft.
Sample # 03	9"X9" Floor tile and mastic (Under Carpet)	See Drawing Area # 3	Approx. 70 Sft.
Sample # 04	9"X9" Floor tile and mastic	See Drawing Area # 2	Approx. 235 Sft.
Sample # 05 & 06	Sheetrock & Joint Compound	-See Drawing Areas # 1-5-	Approx. 1,800 Sft.
Sample # 11 & 12	Sheetrock & Joint Compound	See Drawing Area # 6	-Unknown-
Sample # 15 & 16	Roofing	Roof Area # 6	Approx. 880 Sft.
Sample # 19	Roofing	Roof Area # 7	Approx. 880 Sft.
Assumed	9"X9" Floor tile and mastic Note that Areas 6 & 7 are mostly	Areas # 6 & 7 collapsed and unsafe to enter.	Approx. 880 Sft.

I had the Laboratory perform a composite sample of the sheetrock and joint compound. This additional sampling has determined that the sheetrock and joint compound contains less than 1% (<1%) Chrysotile asbestos.

Federal and state regulations with the exception of OSHA, determine a material to be asbestos containing if it contains 1% or more asbestos. OSHA states that any amount is an asbestos material.

Therefore the following materials must be removed by a licensed asbestos contractor if disturbed by renovation or demolition.

Areas # 1-7 floor tile and mastic, Areas # 6 & 7 roofing

However the sheetrock and joint compound may now be considered an Non-Asbestos material and left in place for renovation/demolition. The Renovation / Demolition contractor will be required to follow OSHA regulations concerning asbestos, if this material is left in place.

For further clarification of the Arkansas asbestos regulation 21. You may contact the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Phone - 501-682-0718 or visit their website at - <u>www.adeq.state.ar.us</u>

I have attached my chain of custody and laboratory findings. Please contact me with any Questions you may have.

Sincerely,

1100

Gary Nooner Inspector License No. 005065

Enclosures

Vacant Business 218-220 West Main Street Carlisle, AR

Asbestos Containing Floor tile and mastic

Asbestos containing Roofing and ASSUMED floor tile and mastic

	Ē	Environmental Protection Associates	Asbestos Sampling Chain of Custody Field Data Sheet Fax 501-56 CAL 23032423 #9 Remingto Little Rock, Arkansas 501-56 CAL 23032423								#9 Remington Cove Little Rock, Arkansas 72204 501-562-3818 Fax 501-562-5701	
Client Trudy Dry	'e		Property Vacant Business			_			Inspector Building ID		Old	Gary Nooner
City of Ca	riisie		218-220 W. Main St Carlisle, Ar	-		-			Date Turnaroun	d Time		3/21/2023 Normal
SAMPLE		Sample Description		1		-	Class	Friability	Condition	Damage	POT. DAM	
ID	НА	Example: FT1- 12 x 12 white Floor tile	Sample Location	A	с	•	(S,T,M)	(F, NF)	(G, D, SD)	(%)	(L, M, H)	Quantity
01		9"x9" Floor tile and mastic (under carpet)	See Drawing Area # 5	X			м	NF	G	15%	н	315 Sft
02		9"x9" Floor tile and mastic	See Drawing Area # 4	X			м	NF	G	15%	н	30 Sft
03		9"x9" Floor tile and mastic (under carpet)	See Drawing Area # 3	x			м	NF	G	15%	н	70 Sft
04		9"x9" Floor tile and mastic	See Drawing Area # 2	X			м	NF	G	15%	Н	235 Sft
05		Sheetrock & Joint Compound	See Drawing Areas #1 - # 5	X			м	F	D	40%	н	1,800 Sft
06		Sheetrock & Joint Compound	See Drawing Areas #1 - # 5			X	м	F	D	40%	Н	See Above
07		Coating / Plaster	See Drawing Areas #1 - # 5	x			S	F	D	40%	н	Unknown
08		Coating / Plaster	See Drawing Areas #1 - # 5	2		x	S	F	D	40%	н	See Above
09		Roofing	Roof Areas #1 - #5	x			м	NF	D	30%	н	1,050 Sft
10		Roofing	Roof Areas #1 - #5	\$		x	м	NF	D	30%	н	See Above
11		Sheetrock & Joint Compound	See Drawing Area # 6	x			м	F	D	40%	н	Unknown
12		Sheetrock & Joint Compound	See Drawing Area # 6	1		x	М	F	D	40%	н	See Above
13		Coating / Plaster	See Drawing Area # 6	x			S	F	D	40%	н	Unknown
14		Coating / Plaster	See Drawing Area # 6			x	S	F	D	40%	н	See Above
15		Roofing	Roof Area #6	x			М	NF	D	30%	н	880 Sft
16		Roofing	Roof Area #6			x	м	NF	D	30%	H y	See Above
17		Peg Board	See Drawing Area #	X			M	NF	D	30%	н	Unknown
18		Roof Shingle	Front Awning	x			M	NF	D	30%	н	400 Sft
19		Roofing	Roof Area #7	X			м	NF	D	30%	н	880 Sft
		-		şi.								
				1								

HA - Homogeneous Area A - Analyze C - Catalogue 🔶 - Analyze only if the previous sample was found to be negative.

10:30AM

Class: S-surfacing	, T-thermal, M-	miscellaneous.	Friability: F-friable, NF-ne	on-friable. C	ondition: G-go	od, D-damaged, SD-severely damaged. P	OT. DAM (Potential Damage): L-lov	, M-modera	ite, H-high
wished Buy James Black		Thereas	Time 12:10	Dete	2 21 22	Deline wished Du	T i	Dete	MAR 27 2022

Comments: Composite Sample all positive Sheetrock and Joint Compound Samples							and the second second	
Received By)	Time	Date	Received By	Time	Date	A ANI. h
Relinquished B	y Jeremy Blaylock	ten bull	Time <u>13:10</u>	Date <u>3-21-23</u>	Relinquished By	Time	Date	MAN Z Z ZUZS

CA Labs Dedicated to Quality Crisp Analytical, L.L.C.

1929 Old Denton Road Carrollton, TX 75006 Phone 972-242-2754 Fax 972-242-2798

CA Labs. L.L.C. 12232 Industriplex, Suite 32

Baton Rouge, LA 70809 Phone 225-751-5632 Fax 225-751-5634

Materials Characterization - Bulk Asbestos Analysis

Laboratory Analysis Report - Polarized Light

Environmental Protection Associates

#9 Reminaton Cove Little Rock, AR 72204

Attn: Gary Nooner

Reference #:

Customer Project: Vacant Business 218-220 W Main St CAL23032423RL Date: 03/24/23

Analysis and Method

Summary of polarized light microscopy (PLM / Stereomicroscopy bulk asbestos analysis) using the methods described in 40CFR Part 763 Appendix E to Subpart E (Interim and EPA 600 / R-93 / 116 (Improved). The sample is first viewed with the aid of a stereomicroscope. Numerous liquid slide preparations are created for analysis under the polarized microscope where identifications and quantifications are preformed. Calibrated liquid refractive oils are used as liquid mouting medium. These oils are used for identification (dispersion staining). A calibrated visual estimation is reported, should any asbestiform mineral be present. Other techniques such as acid washing are used in conjugation with refractive oils for detection of smaller quantities of asbestos. All asbestos percentages are based on calibrated visual estimation traceable to NIST standards for regulated asbestos. Traceability to measurement and calibration is achieved by using known amounts and types of asbestos from standards where analyst and laboratory accuracy are measured. As little as 0.001% asbestos can be detected in favorable samples, while detection in unfavorable samples may approach the detection limit of 0.50% (well above the laboratory definition of trace).

Discussion

Vermiculite containing samples may contain trace amounts of actinolite/tremolite. When not detected by PLM, these samples should be analyzed using TEM methods and / or water separation techniques. Suspected actinolite/vermiculite presence will be indicated through the sample comment section of this report.

Fibrous talc containing samples may contain a regulated asbestos fiber known as anthophyllite. Under certain conditions the same fiber may actually contain both talc and anthophyllite (a phenomenon called intergrowth). Again, TEM detection methods are recommended. CA Labs PLM report comments will denote suspected amounts of asbestiform anthophyllite with talc, where further analysis is recommended.

Some samples (floor tiles, surfacings, etc.) may contain fibers too small to be detectable by PLM analysis and should be analyzed by TEM bulk protocols.

A "trace asbestos" will be reported if the analyst observes far less than 1% asbestos. CA Labs defines "trace asbestos" as a few fibers detected by the analyst in several preparations and will indicate as such under these circumstances.

Since allowable variation in quantification of samples close to 1% is high, <1% may be reported. Such results are ideal for point counting, and the technique is mandatory for friable samples (NESHAP, Nov. 1990 and clarification letter 8 May 1991) under 1% percent asbestos or "trace asbestos". In order to make all initial PLM reports issued from CA Labs NESHAP compliant, all <1% asbestos results (except floor tiles) will be point counted at no additional charge.

Qualifications

CA Labs is accredited by the National Voluntary Accreditation Program (NVLAP) for selected test methods for airborne fiber analysis (TEM), and for bulk asbestos fiber analysis (PLM). CA Labs is also accredited by AIHA LAP, LLC. in the PLM asbestos field of testing for Industrial Hygiene. All analysts have completed college courses or hold a degree in a natural science (geology, biology, or environmental science). Recognition by a state professional board in one these disciplines is preferred, but not required. Extensive in-house training programs are used to augment the educational background of the analyst. The Laboratory Director and Quality Manager have received supplemental McCrone Research training for asbestos identification. Analysis performed at Crisp Analytical Labs, LLC 1929 Old Denton Road Carrollton, TX 75006

TCEQ# T104704513-15-3 Dallas NVLAP Lab Code 200349-0 TEM/PLM TDH 30-0235 AIHA LAP, LLC Laboratory #102929

Crisp Analytical, L.L.C.

1929 Old Denton Road Carrollton, TX 75006 Phone 972-242-2754 Fax 972-242-2798

Overview of Project Sample Material Containing Asbestos

Customer Project:			Vacant Business 218-220 W Ma	CA Labs Project #: CAL23032423RL		
Laboratory Sample ID	Sample #	Layer #	Analysts Physical Description of Subsample	Asbestos type / calibrated visual estimate percent	List of Affected Building Material Types	
27111	01	01-2	tan floor tile	3% Chrysotile	tan floor tile _black mastic with debris	
27111		01-3	black mastic with debris	2% Chrysotile	white surfaced off-white compound composite of layers 1 and 2 _white surfaced tan compound	
27112	02	02-1	<i>Floor tile and mastic</i> / tan floor tile	2% Chrysotile	various black tar and black felt layers	
27113	03	03-2	tan floor tile	2% Chrysotile	_	
27114	04	04-1	<i>Floor tile and mastic/ tan floor tile</i>	2% Chrysotile	_	
27115	05	05-1	Sheetrock and joint compound/ white surfaced off- white compound	2% Chrysotile	_	
27115		05-3	composite of layers 1 and 2	<1% Chrysotile	_	
27121	11	11-1	Sheetrock and joint compound/ white surfaced tan compound	2% Chrysotile	_	

AIHA LAP, LLC Laboratory #102929

Glossary of abbreviations (non-asbestos fibers and non-fibrous minerals):

ca - carbonate gypsum - gypsum bi - binder or - organic ma - matrix mi - mica ve - vermiculite	pe - perlite qu - quartz	fg - fiberglass mw - mineral wool wo - wollastinite ta - talc sy - synthetic ce - cellulose br - brucite	pa - palygorskite (clay)
ot - other		ka - kaolin (clay)	

This report relates to the items tested. This report is not to be used by the customer to claim product certification, approval or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, AIHA LAP, LLC, or any other agency of the federal government. This report may not be reproduced except in full without written permission from CA Labs. These results are submitted pursuant to CA Labs' current terms and sale, condition of sale, including the company's standard warranty and limitations of liability provisions and no responsibility or liability is assumed for the manner in which the results are used or interpreted. Unless notified in writing to return the samples covered by this report, CA Labs will store the samples for a period of ninety (90) days before discarding. A shipping or handling fee may be assessed for the return of any samples.

CA Labs Dedicated to Quality

Crisp Analytical, L.L.C.

1929 Old Denton Road Carrollton, TX 75006 Phone 972-242-2754 Fax 972-242-2798

CA Labs, L.L.C. 12232 Industriplex, Suite 32 Baton Rouge, LA 70809 Phone 225-751-5632 Fax 225-751-5634

Overview of Project Sample Material Containing Asbestos

Customer I	Project:		Vacant Business 218-220 W Ma	ain St	CA Labs Project #: CAL23032423RL
Laboratory Sample ID	Sample #	Layer #	Analysts Physical Description of Subsample	Asbestos type / calibrated visual estimate percent	List of Affected Building Material Types

27121		11-3	composite of layers 1 and 2	<1% Chrysotile
27125	15	15-1	Roofing / various black tar and black felt layers	13% Chrysotile
27129	19	19-1	Roofing / various black tar and black felt lavers	3% Chrvsotile

Dallas NVLAP Lab Code 200349-0 TEM/PLM TCEQ# T104704513-15-3 TDH 30-0235 AIHA LAP, LLC Laboratory #102929

Glossary of abbreviations (non-asbestos fibers and non-fibrous minerals):

ca - carbonate gypsum - gypsum bi - binder or - organic ma - matrix mi - mica ve - vermiculite ot - other

pe - perlite qu - quartz

fg - fiberglass mw - mineral wool wo - wollastinite ta - talc sy - synthetic ce - cellulose br - brucite ka - kaolin (clay)

pa - palygorskite (clay)

This report relates to the items tested. This report is not to be used by the customer to claim product certification, approval or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, AIHA LAP, LLC, or any other agency of the federal government. This report may not be reproduced except in full without written permission from CA Labs. These results are submitted pursuant to CA Labs' current terms and sale, condition of sale, including the company's standard warranty and limitations of liability provisions and no responsibility or liability or liability is assumed for the manner in which the results are used or interpreted. Unless notified in writing to return the samples covered by this report, CA Labs will store the samples for a period of ninety (90) days before discarding. A shipping or handling fee may be assessed for the return of any samples.

Dedicated to Quality

Crisp Analytical, L.L.C. 1929 Old Denton Road Carrollton, TX 75006 Phone 972-242-2754 Fax 972-242-2798 CA Labs, L.L.C.

12232 Industriplex, Suite 32 Baton Rouge, LA 70809 Phone 225-751-5632 Fax 225-751-5634

Polarized Light Asbestiform Materials Characterization

Customer Info:Attn: Gary NoonerEnvironmental Protection Associates#9 Remington Cove						Custor Vacant Main St	ner Project: Business 218-220	CA Labs P W CAL230324	abs Project #: 3032423RL		
Little Rock,	AR 72204					Turnaro	und Time:	Date: 3/2	24/2023		
						3 Days		Samples Rec'd: 3/2	22/23 10:30am		
Phone #		501-562	2-381	8			D	ate Of Sampling:	3/21/2023		
Laboratory	Sample #	Com I	aver	Analysts Physic	al Description of	Homo	- Asbestos type /	Non-asbes	stos Non-		
Sample ID	campio "	ment	#	Subsample		geneo us (Y/N)	calibrated visual estimate percent	fiber type / percent	fibrous type / percent		
				Floor tile and n	nastic/ tan				100%		
27111	01		01-1	mastic		у	None Detected		qu,gy,bi		
27111			01-2	tan floor tile		у	3% Chrysotile		97% qu,ca		
27111			01-3	black mastic wit	h debris	у	2% Chrysotile		98% gy,bi		
27112	02		02-1	Floor tile and n	nastic/ tan floo	r	2% Chrysotile		98% au ca		
2/112			02-2	black mastic		у	None Detected		100% gy,bi		
				Floor tile and n	nastic/ tan				100%		
27113	03	(03-1	mastic		у	None Detected		qu,gy,bi		
27113			03-2	tan floor tile		у	2% Chrysotile		98% qu,ca		
		Dallas N	VLAP	Lab Code 200349	-0 TEM/PLM T	CEQ# T10	4704513-15-3 TDF	1 30-0235			
	Analysis Meth Prepar	od: Interim (40 ation Method:	CFR Pa HCL ac	AIHA La rt 763 Appendix E to Sub id washing for carbonate identification of asbe ca - carbonate gy - gypsum bi - binder or - organic ma - matrix	AP, LLC Labor ppart E) / Improved (EP based samples, chemi- stots types by dispersic mi - mica ve - vermiculite ot - other pe - perlite qu - quartz	A-600 / R-93/1 cal reduction fo n attaining / b fg - fiberglas mw - minera wo - wollast ta - talc sy - syntheti	12929 16). All samples received in por organically bound compone ecke line method. ss ce - cellu al wool br - bruch onite ka - kaoli pa - palyg ic	n good condition unless n nents, oil immersion for lose re n (clay) gorskite (clay)	^{oted.} proved Signatories:		
Jon Mate	t	ng					C.T.R	en_			
Jose Matute		Robert C	Dlivare	Z			Technical Manag	ler s	Senior Analyst		
Analyst 1. Fire Damage signif 2. Fire Damage no signif 3. Actinolite in associ 4. Layer not analyzed 5. Not enough sample	ficant fiber damage - r gnificant fiber damage ation with Vermiculite I - attached to previou e to analyze	Analy reported percenta s effecting fibrous s positive layer an	/St ges refle s percent nd contar	ct unaltered fibers ages nination is suspected			Tanner Rasmuss 6. Anthophyllite in association w 7. Contamination suspected fror 8. Favorable scenario for water method 9. < 1% Result point counted p	en , th Fibrous Talc n other building materials separation on vermiculite for p ositive	Julio Robles		

Dedicated to Quality

Crisp Analytical, L.L.C. 1929 Old Denton Road Carrollton, TX 75006 Phone 972-242-2754 Fax 972-242-2798 CA Labs, L.L.C. 12232 Industriplex, Suite 32 Baton Bouge LA 70809

Baton Rouge, LA 70809 Phone 225-751-5632 Fax 225-751-5634

Polarized Light Asbestiform Materials Characterization

Customer I Environm #9 Remingt	nfo : <i>ental Prote</i> on Cove	Att ction Ass	n: Gary Nooner ociates		Custom Vacant Main St	ner Project: Business 218-220	CA Labs W CAL2303	CA Labs Project #: V CAL23032423RL		
Little Rock,	AR 72204				Turnaro	und Time:	Date:	3/24/2023		
					3 Days		Samples Rec'd:	3/22/23 10:30am		
Phone #		501-562-3	818			I	Date Of Sampling:	3/21/2023		
Fax #							Purchase Order #:			
Laboratory Sample ID	Sample #	Com Lay ment #	er Analysts Phys Subsample	sical Description of	Homo- geneo us (Y/N)	 Asbestos type / calibrated visual estimate percent 	Non-as fiber typ percent	bestos Non- pe / fibrous type / percent		
27113		03	3 black mastic		у	None Detected	2% ce	98% gy,bi		
			Floor tile and	mastic / tan floor						
27114	04	04	1 tile		v	2% Chrvsotile		98% qu ca		
	01				<u> </u>			0070 qu,ou		
27114		04	2 black mastic		у	None Detected	2% ce	98% gy,bi		
27115	05	05	Sheetrock an compound/ v 1 white compou	d joint vhite surfaced off- nd	n	2% Chrysotile		98% qu,bi,ca		
27115		05	2 white drywall	with brown paper	п	None Detected	21% се	79% qu,gy		
27115		05	3 composite of l	ayers 1 and 2	п	<1% Chrysotile	12% ce	88% qu,bi,gy		
			Sheetrock an	d joint						
			compound/ v	white surfaced off-						
27116	06	06	1 white compou	nd		Positive Stop				
		Dallas NVL	AP Lab Code 20034	49-0 TEM/PLM TO	CEQ# T10	4704513-15-3 TD	H 30-0235			
	Analysis Metho Prepara	od: Interim (40CFI ation Method: HC	AIHA R Part 763 Appendix E to S acid washing for carbona identification of a ca - carbonate gy - gypsum bi - binder or - organic ma - matrix	LAP, LLC Labora Subpart E) / Improved (EPA te based samples, chemic sbestos types by dispersion mi - mica ve - vermiculite ot - other pe - perlite qu - quartz	atory #10 -600 / R-93/1 al reduction for fg - fiberglas mw - minera wo - wollaste ta - talc sy - syntheti	16). All samples received or organically bound complecke line method. ss ce - cell I wool br - bruc onite ka - kao pa - palic	<i>in good condition unle</i> : onents, oil immersion fe ulose cite lin (clay) ygorskite (clay)	ss noted. or Approved Signatories:		
Jos Mate	t	nop				C.T.R	e-			
Jose Matute	-	Robert Oliv	arez			Technical Mana	lger	Senior Analyst		
Analyst		Analyst				Tanner Rasmus	sen	Julio Robles		
 Fire Damage signif Fire Damage no signif Actinolite in associ Layer not analyzed Not enough sample 	icant fiber damage - r gnificant fiber damages ation with Vermiculite I - attached to previous e to analyze	eported percentages s effecting fibrous pe s positive layer and c	reflect unaltered fibers centages ontamination is suspected			 Anthophyllite in association Contamination suspected from Favorable scenario for water method <1% Result point counted TEM analysis suggested 	with Fibrous Talc om other building materials r separation on vermiculite positive	for possible analysis by another		

Dedicated to Quality

Crisp Analytical, L.L.C. 1929 Old Denton Road Carrollton, TX 75006 Phone 972-242-2754 Fax 972-242-2798

CA Labs, L.L.C.

12232 Industriplex, Suite 32 Baton Rouge, LA 70809 Phone 225-751-5632 Fax 225-751-5634

Polarized Light Asbestiform Materials Characterization

Customer Info: Attn: Gary Nooner			Gary Nooner	Customer Project:			CA Labs Project #:		
Environm #9 Reming	tental Prot et ton Cove	ection	Assoc	ciates	Vacant Main St	Business 218-220) W CAL23032423RL		
Little Rock,	AR 72204				Turnarou	und Time:	Date: 3/24/20)23	
					3 Days		Samples Rec'd: 3/22/23	3 10:30am	
Phone #		501-5	62-38	18	-	D	ate Of Sampling:	3/21/2023	
Fax #						Pi	urchase Order #:		
Laboratory Sample ID	Sample #	Com ment	Layer #	Analysts Physical Description of Subsample	Homo- geneo us (Y/N)	Asbestos type / calibrated visual estimate percent	Non-asbestos fiber type / percent	Non- fibrous type / percent	
27116			06-2	white drywall with brown paper		Not Analyzed			
27117	07		07-1	Coating / plaster / off-white surfaced tan plaster	n	None Detected		100% qu,bi,ca	
27118	08		08-1	Coating / plaster / off-white surfaced tan plaster	n	None Detected		100% qu,bi,ca	
27119	09		09-1	Roofing / black tar and black felt layers	n	None Detected	21% се	79% qu,bi	
27120	10		10-1	Roofing / black tar and black felt layers	n	None Detected	23% ce	77% qu,bi	
27121	11		11-1	Sheetrock and joint compound/ white surfaced tan compound	n	2% Chrysotile		98% qu,bi,ca	
27121			11-2	white drywall with brown paper	n	None Detected	20% ce	80% qu,gy	

Dallas NVLAP Lab Code 200349-0 TEM/PLM TCEQ# T104704513-15-3 TDH 30-0235

AIHA LAP, LLC Laboratory #102929

Analysis Method: Interim (40CFR Part 763 Appendix E to Subpart E) / Improved (EPA-600 / R-93/116). All samples received in good condition unless noted. Preparation Method: HCL acid washing for carbonate based samples, chemical reduction for organically bound components, oil immersion for

identification of asbestos types by dispersion attaining / becke line method. ca - carbonate gy - gypsum bi - binder or - organic ma - matrix

mi - mica ve - vermiculite ot - other pe - perlite qu - quartz

fg - fiberglass ta - talc sy - synthetic

ce - cellulose br - brucite ka - kaolin (clay) pa - palygorskite (clay)

Approved Signatories:

Senior Analyst

Julio Robles

TRe

Technical Manager

Tanner Rasmussen

Anthophyllite in association with Fibrous Talc
 Contamination suspected from other building materials

8. Favorable scenario for water separation on vermiculite for possible analysis by another method

9. < 1% Result point counted positive

Jose Matute

Analyst

a Matita

3. Actinolite in association with Vermiculite

4. Layer not analyzed - attached to previous positive layer and contamination is suspected

5. Not enough sample to analyze

10. TEM analysis suggested

Analyst 1. Fire Damage significant fiber damage - reported percentages reflect unaltered fibers 2. Fire Damage no significant fiber damages effecting fibrous percentages

Robert Olivarez

mw - mineral wool wo - wollastonite

Dedicated to Quality

Crisp Analytical, L.L.C. 1929 Old Denton Road Carrollton, TX 75006 Phone 972-242-2754 Fax 972-242-2798 CA Labs, L.L.C.

12232 Industriplex, Suite 32 Baton Rouge, LA 70809 Phone 225-751-5632 Fax 225-751-5634

Polarized Light Asbestiform Materials Characterization

Customer I Environm	nfo: ental Prote	A ection As	ttn: <i>soci</i>	Gary Nooner f ates	Custom Vacant	ner Project: Business 218-220 \	CA Labs Project #: V CAL23032423RL		
#9 Remingt					Main St	und Times	- 0	104/0000	
LILLE NOCK,	AN 72204				2 Dovo	una rime:	Date: 3	/24/2023	
Bhana #		501 562	2010	0	3 Days	-	Samples Rec 0: 3	2/21/20 10.30411	
FIIONE #		501-562	-3010	2		Da	te Of Sampling:	3/21/2023	
Laboratory	Sample #	Com La	avor	Analysts Physical Description of	Homo	Pu Ashestos type /	Non-ash	etos Non-	
Sample ID	Gampie #	ment	#	Subsample	geneo us (Y/N)	calibrated visual estimate percent	fiber type percent	/ fibrous type / percent	
27121		1	1-3	composite of layers 1 and 2	n	<1% Chrysotile	10% ce	90% qu,gy,bi,ca	
27122	12	1	2-1	Sheetrock and joint compound/ white surfaced tan compound	1	Positive Stop			
27122		1	2-2	white drywall with brown paper		Not Analyzed			
27123	13	1	3-1	Coating / plaster / white surfaced tan plaster	n	None Detected		100% qu,bi,ca	
27124	14	1	4-1	Coating / plaster / white surfaced tan plaster	п	None Detected		100% qu,bi,ca	
27125	15	1	5-1	Roofing / various black tar and black felt layers	п	13% Chrysotile	2% fg	85% qu,bi	
27126	16	1	6-1	Roofing / various black tar and black felt layers		Positive Stop			
		Dallas NV	LAP	Lab Code 200349-0 TEM/PLM T	CEQ# T10	4704513-15-3 TDH	30-0235		
	Analysis Meth Prepar	od: Interim (40C ation Method: H	FR Par ICL acid () () () () () () () () () (AIHA LAP, LLC Labor t 763 Appendix E to Subpart E) / Improved (EP, d washing for carbonate based samples, chemic identification of asbestos types by dispersion ca - carbonate mi - mica gy - gypsum ve - vermiculite bi - binder ot - other or - organic pe - perlite ma - matrix qu - quartz	A-600 / R-93/1 cal reduction for n attaining / bu fg - fiberglas mw - minera wo - wollast ta - talc sy - syntheti	16). All samples received in or organically bound compone ecke line method. ss ce - celluld il wool br - brucite onite ka - kaolin pa - palyge c	good condition unless ents, oil immersion for ose e (clay) orskite (clay) Δ	noted. .pproved Signatories:	
Ja Mate	t	nop				C.T.R	e-		
Jose Matute		Robert Ol	livarez	Z		Technical Manage	ər	Senior Analyst	
Analyst		Analys	st			Tanner Rasmusse	en	Julio Robles	
 Fire Damage signif Fire Damage no signif Actinolite in associ Layer not analyzed Not enough sample 	icant fiber damage - r gnificant fiber damage ation with Vermiculite - attached to previou e to analyze	eported percentage s effecting fibrous p s positive layer and	es reflect percenta d contam	i unaltered fibers ges ination is suspected		 Anthophyllite in association wit Contamination suspected from Favorable scenario for water so method < 1% Result point counted po 10. TEM analysis suggested 	h Fibrous Talc other building materials eparation on vermiculite for sitive	possible analysis by another	

Dedicated to Quality

Crisp Analytical, L.L.C. 1929 Old Denton Road Carrollton, TX 75006 Phone 972-242-2754 Fax 972-242-2798

CA Labs, L.L.C.

12232 Industriplex, Suite 32 Baton Rouge, LA 70809 Phone 225-751-5632 Fax 225-751-5634

Polarized Light Asbestiform Materials Characterization

Customer Environm #9 Reming	Info: nental Prote ton Cove	ection /	Attn: A <i>ssoc</i>	Gary Nooner S iates	Custom Vacant Main St	ner Project: Business 218-220 \	CA Labs Project #: N CAL23032423RL		
LILLIE ROCK,	AR 72204				Turnaro	und lime:	Date: 3/24/20)23	
Phone # Fax #		501-5	62-38 ⁻	8	3 Days	Da Pu	Samples Rec'd: 3/22/23 ite Of Sampling: irchase Order #:	3 10:30am 3/21/2023	
Laboratory Sample ID	Sample #	Com ment	Layer #	Analysts Physical Description of Subsample	Homo- geneo us (Y/N)	- Asbestos type / calibrated visual estimate percent	Non-asbestos fiber type / percent	Non- fibrous type / percent	
27127	17		17-1	Peg board/ white surfacing	У	None Detected		100% qu,bi	
27127			17-2	brown fibrous paneling	у	None Detected	100% ce		
27128	18		18-1	<i>Roof shingle</i> / black roofing shingle with tan gravel	п	None Detected	15% ce 10% fg	75% qu,bi	
27129	19		19-1	Roofing / various black tar and black felt layers	п	3% Chrysotile	20% ce	77% qu,bi	

Dallas NVLAP Lab Code 200349-0 TEM/PLM TCEQ# T104704513-15-3 TDH 30-0235

AIHA LAP, LLC Laboratory #102929

Analysis Method: Interim (40CFR Part 763 Appendix E to Subpart E) / Improved (EPA-600 / R-93/116). All samples received in good condition unless noted. Preparation Method: HCL acid washing for carbonate based samples, chemical reduction for organically bound components, oil immersion for f asbestos types by dispersion attaining / becke line method.

identification of
ca - carbonate
gy - gypsum
bi - binder
or - organic
ma - matrix

Robert Olivarez

Analyst

mi - mica ve - vermiculite ot - other pe - perlite qu - quartz

fg - fiberglass mw - mineral wool wo - wollastonite ta - talc sy - synthetic

ce - cellulose br - brucite ka - kaolin (clay) pa - palygorskite (clay)

Approved Signatories:

.T.Ren

Technical Manager Tanner Rasmussen

Anthophyllite in association with Fibrous Talc
 Contamination suspected from other building materials

8. Favorable scenario for water separation on vermiculite for possible analysis by another

9. < 1% Result point counted positive

Senior Analyst Julio Robles

1. Fire Damage significant fiber damage - reported percentages reflect unaltered fibers 2. Fire Damage no significant fiber damages effecting fibrous percentages

Jose Matute

Analyst

3. Actinolite in association with Vermiculite

4. Layer not analyzed - attached to previous positive layer and contamination is suspected

5. Not enough sample to analyze

method

10. TEM analysis suggested

stos/PLMReport.ds (Revision 4 2/25/2020) rdandspreadsheets/templates

STATE OF ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Division of Environmental Qualit

OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY, ASBESTOS PROGRAM

GARY NOONER

having satisfied the requirements necessary to meet the provisions of AHERAASHARA under TSCA Title II and the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission's Rule 21 pursuant to AC.A. § 20-27-1001, et seq., within the State of Arkansas is hereby certified to perform activities related to asbestos containing material in the following discipline(s)

Discipline	Issue Date
Air Monitor	12/06/2022
Contractor Supervisor	12/06/2022
Inspector	12/05/2022
Project Designer	12/07/2022

Effective Date Expiration Date 12/08/2022 12/08/2022 12/08/2022 12/08/2022

12/31/2023 12/31/2023 12/31/2023 12/31/2023

Certification Number: 005065

lie linck

Julie Linck Chief Administrator, Environment Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment STATE OF ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Division of Environmental Quali

OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY, ASBESTOS PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ASSOCIATES (EPA)

having qualified as required by law in accordance with the rules adopted by the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission's Rule 21 pursuant to AC.A 20-27-1001, et seq., relative to performing asbestos related work within the State of Arkansas is licensed as an

Asbestos Abatement Contractor

License Number: 000020

Issue Date: 11/28/2022 Expiration Date: 12/1/2023

liefinck Julie Linck

Chief Administrator, Environment Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment

License No. 0003060423

ID #1964

State of Arkansas Commercial Contractors Licensing Board

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ASSOCIATES OF RUSSELLVILLE, INC. 9 REMINGTON COVE LITTLE ROCK, AR 72204

This is to Certify That

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ASSOCIATES OF RUSSELLVILLE, INC.

is duly licensed under the provisions of Ark. Code Ann. § 17-25-101 et. seq. as amended and is entitled to practice Contracting in the State of Arkansas within the following classifications/specialties:

BUILDING - (COMMERCIAL & RESIDENTIAL) SPECIALTY Asbestos Environmental General

This contractor has an unlimited suggested bid limit.

from	May 20, 2022	until	April 30, 2023	when this Certificate expires.
ST TI	IE STATE		Witness our han	ds of the Board, dated at North Little Rock, Arkansas:
T SEAL	ARKA		D:	CHAIRMAN
(internet in the second		-	. /	SECRETARY
N.				May 20, 2022 - dsa

T	HIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMAT	MATTER	OF INFORMATION ONL'	Y AND CONFERS N EXTEND OR ALT	IO RIGHTS	UPON THE CERTIFICA	TE HOL BY THE	DER. THIS				
B	BELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE ISSUING INSURER(S), AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, AND THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.											
IN	IMPORTANT: If the certificate holder is an ADDITIONAL INSURED, the policy(ies) must have ADDITIONAL INSURED provisions or be endorsed. If SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on											
PRO	this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieu of such endorsement(s). PRODUCER PRODUCER PRODUCER											
Ste 46	Sterling Seacrest Pritchard, Inc. PHONE (A/C, No, Ext): 501-588-0857 FAX (A/C, No): 4601 East McCain Blvd EMAIL EMAIL ENDErec. FAX (A/C, No):											
Su No	nte B orth Little Rock AR 72117			ADDRESS:	URER(S) AFFOR	DING COVERAGE		NAIC #				
				INSURER A : Arch Spe	ecialty Insura	nce Company		21199				
INSU	URED	of Puscol	ENVIPRO-02	INSURER B : Lafayette	Insurance			18295				
9 F	Remington Cove		iville, inte.	INSURER C : America	n Interstate Ir	isurance Co		31895				
Litt	tle Ročk AR 72204			INSURER D :	_							
				INSURER E :								
	VERAGES	TIFICAT	E NUMBER: 1408450671	INSURER F.		REVISION NUMBER:						
	HIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE POLICIES NOICATED. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY RE CERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY	OF INSU	RANCE LISTED BELOW HA NT, TERM OR CONDITION THE INSURANCE AFFORE	VE BEEN ISSUED TO OF ANY CONTRACT DED BY THE POLICIE	OR OTHER	ED NAMED ABOVE FOR DOCUMENT WITH RESP D HEREIN IS SUBJECT	The Pol Ect to To all	ICY PERIOD WHICH THIS THE TERMS,				
E INSR	EXCLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH	ADDL SUBR		POLICY EFF	POLICY EXP	LIN	IITS					
A	X COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY	INSD WVD	12EMP2232803	12/31/2022	12/31/2023	EACH OCCURRENCE	s 1,000	0,000				
	CLAIMS-MADE X OCCUR					DAMAGE TO RENTED PREMISES (Ea occurrence)	\$ 100,0	000				
	X Bikt Contractual					MED EXP (Any one person)	\$ 5,000	1				
	X XCU Included				1	PERSONAL & ADV INJURY	\$ 1,000	1,000				
	GEN'L AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER:					GENERAL AGGREGATE	\$ 2,000	1,000				
	POLICY X JECT LOC					PRODUCTS - COMP/OP AGO	AGG \$ 2,000,000					
В		Y Y	60521561	12/31/2022	12/31/2023	COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT	\$ 1,000),000				
	X ANY AUTO		00021001			BODILY INJURY (Per person)	\$					
	OWNED SCHEDULED					BODILY INJURY (Per accider	ul) \$					
	X HIRED X NON-OWNED AUTOS ONLY					PROPERTY DAMAGE (Per accident)	\$					
							S					
A	X UMBRELLA LIAB X OCCUR	Y Y	12EMX2232903	12/31/2022	12/31/2023	EACH OCCURRENCE	\$ 5,000	1,000				
	EXCESS LIAB CLAIMS-MADE					AGGREGATE	\$ 5,000	1,000				
	DED X RETENTIONS 0	Y	AV/W/CAR3147012022	12/31/2022	12/31/2023	X PER OTH-						
ľ	AND EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY					E.L. EACH ACCIDENT	\$ 1,000	0,000				
	OFFICER/MEMBEREXCLUDED?	N/A	21			E.L. DISEASE - EA EMPLOY	EE \$ 1,000	000,0				
	If yes, describe under DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS below					E.L. DISEASE - POLICY LIMI	т \$1,000	000,000				
A	Pollution Incl Mold Professional Liability		12EMP2232803	12/31/2022	12/31/2023	Limit Per Incident Aggregate	1,000 2,000),000),000				
DES Th noi sul	DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHICLES (ACORD 101, Additional Remarks Schedule, may be attached if more space is required) The following applies when required in a written contract or agreement: Certificate holder and owner are included as additional insureds on a primary and non-contributory basis with respect to General Liability (including completed operations), Auto Liability, Professional Liability, and Umbrella. Waiver of subrogation is provided on General Liability, Auto Liability, Umbrella, Professional Liability, and Workers Compensation.											
CE				CANCELLATION								
	***Eer Didding Durpesse**	*		SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE THEREOF, NOTICE WILL BE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POLICY PROVISIONS.								
	For Blading Purposes			AUTHORIZED REPRESE	INTATIVE							
L				© 19	988-2015 AC	ORD CORPORATION	I, All rig	hts reserved				

The ACORD name and logo are registered marks of ACORD

DESCRIPTION:

contaminated waste requiring disposal by a licensed asbestos contractor at an approved landfill. Photo provided by Arkansas DEQ.

Attachment B — Photo Documentation Asbestos-containing Materials Remediation Carlisle Commercial Property 216 & 218 West Main Street, Carlisle, Arkansas 72024

Attachment B — Photo Documentation Asbestos-containing Materials Remediation Carlisle Commercial Property 216 & 218 West Main Street, Carlisle, Arkansas 72024

