

From: Robert Walker [<mailto:therobertwalker@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, November 24, 2014 1:34 PM
To: Szenher, Doug
Subject: RE: public comment on Turk plant modification

I have now studied the proposal submitted by SWEPCO/AEP

I now have additional comments.

They want to use the river and streams draining their outflow as a natural pipe because it is cheaper than lengthening their outflow pipe.

The increased temperature may create a dead zone altering the drainage streams and reducing the value of the streams for enjoyment by lovers of the outdoors and fishermen.

As mitigation for this destruction SWEPCO/AEP should fund a wildlife biologist to study the effect of their effluent and pay for access improvements to waterways in the area so that the net effect of this proposal will result in a positive benefit for Arkansans.

Thank you for your comments. They will be included in the official record for this rulemaking issue, and will be forwarded to appropriate Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality personnel for their review and response.

From: Robert Walker [<mailto:therobertwalker@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Sunday, November 23, 2014 10:19 AM
To: Reg-Comment
Subject: public comment on Turk plant modification

I have received word that SWEPCO /AEP are applying to increase solid waste and temperature of waste they dump into Little River.

1. This is a modification of the original application.

If this modification is approved what other modifications will they apply for?

Assurances were made that there would be only one plant on this site. If modifications can be approved as requested, whenever requested, the next application for modification may be for Turk 2, or Turk 2 and 3.

Most of the power produced by this plant does not benefit Arkansans. We are just the dumping site for the waste. They now want to increase the waste dumped into our river.

They want to change the designation of the River as a drinking water source. They knew it was designated as a drinking water source when they came. Now that they are here they want this changed. This should be denied

They want to alter the temperature of the Little River.

If they want to alter the temperature of the river to increase the crayfish population so game fish will increase in size and become more plentiful I am in favor of it as a beneficial byproduct.

Otherwise I am opposed to raising the ambient temperature of the river because in general this will alter the environment for fishes unfavorably.

Thank you for considering my comments.

from

Robert Charles Walker

3224 West Capitol

Little Rock, Ar. 72205

telephone 501-666-6111

therobertwalker@hotmail.com