

Exhibit F

Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis

Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis

The proposal to delete the term “carbon dioxide” from the definition of “Air contaminant” will bring the Arkansas definition in line with the federal definition of “air pollutant,” as articulated by the U.S. Supreme Court in Massachusetts v. EPA, 127 S.Ct. 1438, 1460; 167 L.Ed.2d 248 (2007).

The proposed change would make the Arkansas definition conform to federal law, and would therefore be equivalent (neither more nor less stringent) than a federal requirement. Therefore, an economic impact/environmental benefit analysis is not required.