

From: Diane Mitchell [mailto:dianemitchell367@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2014 7:39 PM
To: Szenher, Doug
Subject: Re: Ban CAFO

I support the change to Reg 5 and Reg 6 because the huge amount of hogs produce a huge amount of sewage to be spread on porous ground in a fragile watershed. This huge number of hogs is beyond any hog farm that has ever been successfully ran and tested before. This huge number of hogs and their sewage is not a farm but a factory. Factory is completely different from the farms that have previously been around for years. This is all new stuff to Arkansas. The results are all new to Arkansas. The testing from previous farms around Arkansas cannot be compared to this new factory.

Diane Mitchell

On Mon, Jun 16, 2014 at 4:15 PM, Szenher, Doug <DOUG@adeq.state.ar.us> wrote:

Thank you for your comments. They will be included in the official record for the rulemaking dockets for both Regulations 5 and 6 of the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission dealing with proposed bans on the permitting of any new large and medium hog farms in the Buffalo River Watershed, and will be forwarded to appropriate Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality personnel for their review and response.

From: Diane Mitchell [mailto:dianemitchell367@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2014 4:03 PM
To: Reg-Comment
Subject: Ban CAFO

ADEQ,

Concerning the proposed law to ban any future medium and large hog CAFOs in the Buffalo River Watershed, I want to state I support this law. It is not an emotional response to these CAFOs. It is in response to all the scientific statistics proving how fragile this watershed area in Arkansas is. And, the dye testing has proven how quickly liquids will move into the water causing pollution of the Big Creek and ultimately the Buffalo National River.

--

Thank you so much.

Diane Mitchell

--

Thank you so much.

Diane

Century 21