Exhibit D:

Financial Impact Statement

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT	Arkansas Depa Arkansas Pollu	WER ALL QUE rtment of Environ tion Control and I	STIONS COMPLET mental Quality (ADEC Ecology Commission (` ELY)) by and thro APC&EC)	ough the	
DIVISION	Office of Water	er Quality (OWQ)				
PERSON COMPL		E A CERTA CERTAGE	aleb Osborne, Associa	ate Director, C)WQ	
TELEPHONE (50	1) 682-0655	FAX (501) 682-0	EMAIL: osb	ornec@adeq.s	state.ar.us	
To comply with Ar Statement and file t			se complete the follow nd proposed rules.	ing Financial	Impact	
SHORT TITLE O	F THIS RULE		ation No. 1: Regulation t Water and Other Oil ds or Pools			
1. Does this propo	sed, amended, or	repealed rule hav	ve a financial impact?	Yes 🔀	No 🗌	
2. Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes ☑ No ☐						
		es to this rule, wa ly rule considered	s this rule determined 1?	Yes 🔀	No 🗌	
If an agency is 1	proposing a more	costly rule, pleas	e state the following:			
(a) How the a $\frac{N}{A}$	dditional benefits	s of the more cost	ly rule justify its addit	ional cost;		
(b) The reaso N/A	n for adoption of	the more costly ru	ıle;			
	he more costly ruse explain; and;	le is based on the	interests of public hea	ılth, safety, or	welfare, and	
(d) Whether t explain. N/A	he reason is with	in the scope of the	e agency's statutory au	thority; and it	So, please	
4. If the purpose of	`this rule is to imp	lement a federal ru	lle or regulation, please	state the follow	ving:	
(a) What is the	e cost to impleme	ent the federal rul	e or regulation?			
Current Fiscal Ye	a <u>r</u>		Next Fiscal Year			
General Revenue Federal Funds	\$ 0.00 \$ 0.00		General Revenue Federal Funds	\$ 0.00 \$ 0.00		

\$ 0.00

\$ 0.00

Cash Funds

Special Revenue Other (Identify)

\$ 0.00 \$ 0.00

\$ 0.00

Cash Funds

Special Revenue Other (Identify)

Total	\$0	0.00	Total	\$0.00		
((b) What is the	e additional cost of the state rule?				
<u>C</u>	Current Fiscal Year		Next Fiscal Year			
F C S C	General Revenue dederal Funds Cash Funds pecial Revenue Other (Identify)	\$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00	General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify) Total	\$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00		
the	e proposed, amen	timated cost by fiscal year to any ded, or repealed rule? Identify the affected.	private individual, enti e entity(ies) subject to	the proposed rule and		
	Current Fiscal Year \$ 000000		Next Fiscal Year \$ 000000			
fo oj co	or oil field waste. perating a dispos	et to the proposed amendment to a The proposed amendment will not al system. Implementation of the minates duplicative permitting and	ot impose an additional amended regulation is	l cost on any entity estimated to decrease the		
ir		stimated cost by fiscal year to starter? Is this the cost of the program				
Curr	ent Fiscal Year		Next Fiscal Yea	ır		
\$ <u>\$</u>	0.00	ndment will not impose an addition mit fees.	\$ \$ 0.00	_		
ir p m	With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined? Yes No					
at si	t the time of filing	y is required by Ark. Code Ann. § g the financial impact statement. th the financial impact statement	The written findings sl	nall be filed		
(1	l) a statement of	the rule's basis and purpose;				

- (2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;
- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.