FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY.

DEPA	ARTMENT Department of Energy and Environment
BOAF	RD/COMMISSION Division of Environmental Quality
PERS	ON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENT Lauren Ballard
TELE	PHONE NO. (501) 682-0581 EMAIL lauren.ballard@arkansas.gov
email i	it with the questionnaire, summary, markup and clean copy of the rule, and other documents. attach additional pages, if necessary.
TITL	E OF THIS RULE Rule No. 1: Prevention of Pollution by Oil Field Waste
1.	Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule have a financial impact? Yes No
2.	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes No No
3.	In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered? Yes V
	If no, please explain:
	(a) how the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost;
	(b) the reason for adoption of the more costly rule;
	(c) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, how; and
	(d) whether the reason for adoption of the more costly rule is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority, and if so, how.
4.	If the purpose of this rule is to implement a <i>federal</i> rule or regulation, please state the following:

(a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?

	Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year		
	General Revenue	General Revenue		
	Federal Funds	Federal Funds		
	Cash Funds	Cash Funds		
	Special Revenue	Special Revenue		
	Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)		
	Total \$0.00	Total \$0.00		
	(b) What is the additional cost of the	state rule?		
	Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year		
	General Revenue	General Revenue		
	Federal Funds	Federal Funds		
	Cash Funds	Cash Funds		
	Special Revenue	Special Revenue		
	Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)		
	Total \$0.00	Total \$0.00		
5.		cal year to any private individual, private entity, or private ended, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the ed.		
	Current Fiscal Year \$	<u>Next Fiscal Year</u> \$		
	Oil and Gas Commission rules H-1 and B-2 wobtain a bond to ensure proper clean-up of and the sites are abandoned. The rules wi size and characteristics of the surface facility	will require approximately 30-35 commercial disposal well operators to f the surface facilities in the event that the company becomes insolvent II require bond amounts ranging from \$50 - \$400K depending on the ty. DEQ is unable to quantify the exact cost that the disposal well ancial assurances, but letters of credit, surety bonds and CD's maybe		
5.	What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to a state, county, or municipal government to implement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant? Please explain how the government is affected.			
	Current Fiscal Year § 0.00	Next Fiscal Year \$ 0.00		

7.	With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased
	cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private
	individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal
	government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined?



If yes, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

- (1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;
- (2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;
- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs:
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.