QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY	EPARTMENT/AGENCY Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality				
DIVISION	Water Division				
DIVISION DIRECTOR	Ryan Benefield, ADEQ Deputy Director				
CONTACT PERSON	Ryan Benefield, ADEQ Deputy Director				
ADDRESS	5301 Northshore Drive, North Little Rock, AR 72118				
PHONE NO. (501) 682-0 NAME OF PRESENTER AT MEETING					
	rks@adeq.state.ar.us				
	INSTRUCTIONS				
 A. Please make copies of this form for future use. B. Please answer each question completely using layman terms. You may use additional sheets, if necessary. C. If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after "Short Title of this Rule" below. D. Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to: Donna K. Davis Administrative Rules Review Section Arkansas Legislative Council Bureau of Legislative Research One Capitol Mall, 5th Floor Little Rock, AR 72201 					
1. What is the short title of th rule?	Regulation No. 2, Regulation Establishing Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of Arkansas				
2. What is the subject of the prule?	Triennial review and revision of the State's water quality standards, as required by the federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act")				
-	ply with a federal statute, rule, or regulation? Yes No The regulation must comply with the federal Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq. and regulations promulgated thereunder.				
4. Was this rule filed under the Procedure Act? If yes, what is the effective rule?	e emergency provisions of the Administrative Yes No No date of the emergency				

ex	When does the emergency rule price when does the emergency rule price?
	Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act? Yes No
5.	Is this a new rule? Yes No No If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regulation.
	Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes No No If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your completed questionnaire. If it is being replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving an explanation of what the rule does.
rul	Is this an amendment to an existing le? Yes No No Substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amendment does, and the mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."
6.	Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give the Arkansas Code citation. The Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, Ark. Code. Ann. § 8-4-101 et seq., including but not limited to Ark. Code Ann. § 8-4-202(b)(3).
Pu Ar qu Ar tric	What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary? Insuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq., where the sequence of the authority to establish and administer water quality standard. The water ality standards are administered through the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, Ark. Code on. § 8-4-101 et seq. The Clean Water Act requires states to review their water quality standards on a cennial basis and to amend those standards as necessary. This proposed rule is the result of that process. The proposed changes are necessary to ensure that waters of the State are maintained and protected, in cordance with the Clean Water Act and the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act.
cla pro	ease see Attachment 1 to this form. Many of the changes proposed in this rulemaking are intended to arify the regulation through formatting changes or grammatical revisions. Also, several revisions are oposed to comply with the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission's Regulation Drafting nidelines.
8.	Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic form via the Internet as required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b). After initiation of the rulemaking process by the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission, the proposed rule and all related documents, including this form, will be available here: http://www.adeq.state.ar.us/regs/drafts/draft_regs.htm
9.	Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? Yes No Date: (multiple dates - see below) Time:

Jonesboro - Allen Park Community Center; April 15, 2013; 6:00 p.m.; Favetteville - Favetteville City Adminstration Building, Room 219; April 18; 6:00 p.m.; El Dorado - South Arkansas Community College, East Campus, Workforce Development Building; April 22; 6:00 p.m.; North Little Rock - ADEQ Headquarters, Commission Room;

Place: April 24; 2:00 p.m.

10. When does the public comment period expire for permanent promutgation? (Must provide a date.)	
May 8, 2013 (or ten days after last public hearing)	
11. What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.) February 2014	
12. Do you expect this rule to be controversial? Yes No Changes to the water quality standards have often been controversial. Even	

though ADEQ engaged in an extensive stakeholder process, not every participant may agree with the outcome. The list of interested parties provided

in response to question 13 below includes the list of parties invited to

participate in the stakeholder process. explain.

13. Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expect to comment on these rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known.

Arkansas Environmental Federation (support in part and oppose in part)

Environmental organizations, such as the Arkanas Canoe Club, Ozark Society, The Nature Conservancy, etc. (support majority of changes, possibly oppose in part)

Arkansas Department of Health

Arkansas Farm Bureau

If yes, please

Arkansas Municipal League

University of Arkansas Extension Service

Arkansas Natural Resources Commission

Arkanas Natural Heritage Commission

Arkansas Game and Fish Commission

United States Fish and Wildlife Service

United States Environmental Protection Agency

United States Forest Service

Beaver Water District

United States Army Corps of Engineers

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DEPARTMENT		TMENT	Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality					
DIVISION		N	Water Division					
PE	RSON	COMPLE	TING THIS	STATEMENT	Ryan Benefield, ADEQ	Deputy Direct	or	
TE	LEPH	IONE NO.	(501) 682- 0960	FAX NO. (50	01) 682-0891 EMAIL: <u>b</u>	enefield@adeq	.state.ar.us	
					ease complete the follow e and proposed rules.	ing Financial I	mpact	
SE	IORT	TITLE OF	THIS RULE		To. 2, Regulation Establish Surface Waters of the S			
1.	Does	this propose	ed, amended, o	or repealed rule h	nave a financial impact?	Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
2.	Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes No						No 🗌	
3.				ves to this rule, v rule considered	was this rule determined?	by Yes 🖂	No 🗌	
	If an	If an agency is proposing a more costly rule, please state the following:						
	(a)	How the ad-	ditional benef	its of the more co	ostly rule justify its addit	ional cost;		
	(b)	The reason	for adoption o	of the more costly	rule;			
	(c)	Whether the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, please explain; and;						
	(d)	Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority; and if so, please explain.						
4.	If the	If the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:						
	(a)	(a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?						
	<u>Cur</u>	Current Fiscal Year			Next Fiscal Year			
		eral Revenueral Funds	\$212,058. federal gra to implem	97 - based on ant application ent this portion an Water Act	General Revenue Federal Funds	\$212,058.9 estimated to same as pre		

	program during FY13		vary much from year to year, but ADEQ has not submitted grant
Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)		Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)	application for FY14

Total	\$212,058.97	Total	\$212,058.97
(b) Wh	at is the additional cost of the state rule	?	
Curre	nt Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year	
Federa Cash F Special	I Revenue I Funds Tunds I Revenue I Gldentify)	Federal Funds Cash Funds	
Total		Total	
the prop	the total estimated cost by fiscal year to losed, amended, or repealed rule? Ident how they are affected. iscal Year		he proposed rule and
See pages	14-23 of Attachment 2 to this form. The alysis prepared in accordance with Arka	is document is the Economic	Impact/Environmental Ecology Commission
			n how the government is
\$	iscai I cai	\$	<u>.a1</u>
	on 4 above and Attachment 2. The cost ation of the federal Clean Water Act.	will covered by federal gran	t monies for state
or oblig private	espect to the agency's answers to Questi gation of at least one hundred thousand entity, private business, state governme or more of those entities combined?	dollars (\$100,000) per year to	a private individual,
		Yes 🗌 No 🖂	
If YES, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneous with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:			
(1) a sta	atement of the rule's basis and purpose;		
	problem the agency seeks to address wile is required by statute;	th the proposed rule, including	ng a statement of whether

- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.