FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY.

	ARTMENT						
	ARD/COMMISS						
PERS	SON COMPLET	TING THIS S	TATEMENT				
ILL	EPHONE NO		ENIAIL _				
email	omply with Ark. On it with the question attach additional	ionnaire, sumn	nary, markup a				
TITL	LE OF THIS RU	LE					
1.	Does this propo	osed, amended No	l, or repealed r See Attachme		ancial impact	?	
2.	Is the rule base evidence and in the rule? Yes						
3.	In consideration least costly rule			ule, was this r No	ule determine	ed by the ager	ncy to be the
	If no, please ex	plain:					
	(a) how the add	litional benefit	ts of the more	costly rule jus	stify its additi	onal cost;	
	(b) the reason f	or adoption of	the more cost	ily rule;			
	(c) whether the health, safe	reason for ado ty, or welfare,	-	•	le is based on	the interests	of public
	(d) whether the statutory au	reason for add thority, and if	-	nore costly ru	le is within th	ne scope of th	e agency's
4.	If the purpose	of this rule is t	o implement a	a <i>federal</i> rule o	or regulation,	please state t	the following:
	(a) What is the	cost to impler	ment the feder	al rule or regu	ılation?		

General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
Total	Total
(b) What is the additional cost of the stat	e rule?
Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year
General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)
Total	T-4-1
	Total
What is the total estimated cost by fiscal	year to any private individual, private entity, or private ed, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the Next Fiscal Year \$
What is the total estimated cost by fiscal business subject to the proposed, amenderule, and explain how they are affected. Current Fiscal Year \$ What is the total estimated cost by fiscal	year to any private individual, private entity, or private ed, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the
What is the total estimated cost by fiscal business subject to the proposed, amenderule, and explain how they are affected. Current Fiscal Year \$ What is the total estimated cost by fiscal implement this rule? Is this the cost of the proposed, amenderule	year to any private individual, private entity, or private ed, or repealed rule? Please identify those subject to the Next Fiscal Year \$

7. With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined?

Yes No See Attachment.

If yes, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

- (1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;
- (2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;
- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs:
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

Attachment to Financial Impact Statement

Note for Question 1, 5 and 6:

DEQ believes that the proposed amendments to Rule 2 may have financial impact on some entities, but DEQ has no way to quantify that impact or verify that the cost is different from the current cost of compliance. Rule 2 does not have fees associated with it, making the cost of compliance with permits issued in compliance with this rule the source of any financial impact.

The revisions in this rule will result in changes to the limits in NPDES permits for certain pollutants. As a result, some entities may incur additional costs to achieve compliance with more stringent limits. Other entities will incur no additional cost because their current treatment systems can achieve compliance with the more stringent limits. Multiple factors can influence what action or actions each entity can take to achieve compliance with a more stringent effluent limit. In some instances, an entity will have more than one treatment option available. The cost depends in part on the treatment technology used and on how the treatment system is operated. Each entity can choose its technology and how its system is operated.

Note for Question 4: Clean Water Act Implementation and Federal Funds

EPA provides federal funds for Arkansas to implement its delegated authority under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act"), 33 U.S.C. §1251 *et seq*. Pursuant to the Clean Water Act, Arkansas is required review its water quality standards on a triennial basis and to amend those standards as necessary. This amended rule is a result of that review and will not increase the cost for Arkansas to implement its delegated authority under the Clean Water Act.

Note for Question 7: Financial Impact Analysis - Cost Unknown

For the reasons stated in response to Question #5 and #6, DEQ is unable to quantify the cost of compliance for any particular entity or facility. DEQ has determined that these proposed revisions are likely to result in permit changes for over 500 permitted facilities. However, DEQ does not have any reliable way to determine if a facility's cost of compliance will actually be increased by these revisions because the facilities can choose from a variety of technologies to comply.

Many factors will contribute to a facility's cost of compliance, including the volume of discharge, the type of wastewater treated, the treatment processes, current operations at the facility, the age of the facility, the condition of the treatment works, and others. For facilities that are not currently in compliance with permitted effluent limits for these pollutants, it may not be possible to determine if there is a cost difference between complying with the new limits versus the current limits.

During preliminary meetings, when DEQ presented these proposed revisions, the stakeholders did not raise concerns about the cost to comply with new permit limits resulting from the proposed revisions.

DEQ will receive public comments on these proposed revisions and reserves the right to amend this analysis based on those comments.