## QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE

DF	EPARTMENT/AGE	NCY_Arkansas D	epartme	nt of Enviro	nmental Qua	lity			
DIVISION			Water Division						
<b>DIVISION DIRECTOR</b>			Steve Drown, Water Division Chief/Ryan Benefield, ADEQ Deputy Director						
CONTACT PERSON		Jamie Ewin	Jamie Ewing, Attorney Specialist						
ADDRESS			5301 Northshore Drive, North Little Rock, AR, 72118						
PF	(501) ( IONE NO. 0918	682- FAX NC		01) 682- 91	E-MAIL	ewing@adeq.s	state.ar.us		
	AME OF PRESENTI				-	larks, ADEQ D			
PRESENTER E-MAIL		marks@ade	marks@adeq.state.ar.us						
		<u></u>		UCTIONS					
D.	<ul> <li>necessary.</li> <li>C. If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after "Short Title of this Rule" below.</li> <li>D. Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to: <ul> <li>Donna K. Davis</li> <li>Administrative Rules Review Section</li> <li>Arkansas Legislative Council</li> <li>Bureau of Legislative Research</li> <li>Room 315, State Capitol</li> <li>Little Rock, AR 72201</li> </ul> </li> </ul>								
**	*****	*****	Regula	tion No. 6, R	legulations f	or State Admini	istration of		
1.	What is the short title	the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)				ystem			
2.	What is the subject o	of the proposed rul	e? _	Creating a p to waters of		e for discharges	s of pesticides		
3.	Is this rule required t	to comply with a f	ederal st	atute, rule, o	r regulation	? Yes 🖂	No 🗌		
	If yes, please provide regulation must com omulgated thereunder.	nply with the feder					regulations		
4.	Was this rule filed un Procedure Act?	C C			Administrativ	Yes	No 🖂		
	If yes, what is the eff	fective date of the	emergei	ncy rule?					
	When does the emer	gency rule expire?					EXHIBIT		

	Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act?	Yes 🗌	No
5.	Is this a new rule?	Yes 🗌	No 🖂
	If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regulation.		
	Does this repeal an existing rule? If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your completed que replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving an ex rule does.		
	Is this an amendment to an existing rule? If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing rule and substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amen mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."	Yes X a summary of adment does,	No f the and the
Th	Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give Arkansas Code citation. e Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, Ark. Code. Ann. 8-4-101 et pited to Ark. Code Ann. 8-4-203.	seq., includii	ng but not

7. What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary?

The proposed changes establish permit-by-rule coverage for discharges of pesticides to Waters of the State. On March 1, 2012, the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality issued a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") general permit for pesticide discharges (Permit No. ARG870000). Issuance of a permit to cover pesticide discharges was required by federal law, based on a decision of the Federal Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit in National Cotton Council of America v. EPA, 553 F.3d 927 (6th Cir. 2009). ADEQ's general permit incorporated narrative effluent limitation based on the Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA") draft general permit. ADEQ's general permit does not require any additional conditions beyond those already in place under the authority of the Arkansas State Plant Board.

Currently, operators that are required to seek coverage under the general permit are required to pay a \$200 permit fee and file a Notice of Intent ("NOI") for coverage under the general permit. With the proposed changes, the operator will no longer be required to submit the permit fee and NOI to the Department. The operator would only be required to post a Notice of Coverage at their site and follow the terms of the general permit in order to be deemed to have permit coverage for discharge of pesticides to Waters of the State.

Additionally, ADEQ proposes to remove Reg.6.204(B) from the regulation. This provision required industrial users that discharged into a publicly owned treatment works ("POTW") to obtain a permit from ADEQ before discharging to the POTW. The Department has determined that this requirement is not necessary because the industrial users are discharging into a treatment system that is already permitted, not into waters of the State. In most cases, the POTW will issue a permit to industrial user for their discharge to the treatment system.

8. Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic form via the Internet as required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b).

After initiation of the rulemaking process by the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission, the proposed rule and all related documents, including this form, will be available here: http://www.adeq.state.ar.us/regs/drafts/draft\_regs.htm

9.	Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule?	Yes 🖂	No 🗌				
	If yes, please complete the following:						
	Date: Oct. 16, 2012						
	Time: 2:00 pm						
Place: ADEQ Headquarters, Commission Room, North Little Rock, AR							
10.	When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.)	October 30, 2012					
11.	What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.)	March 2013					
	Do you expect this rule to be controversial? yes, please explain.	Yes	No 🔀				
13. Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expect to comment on these							

rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known. Municipalities and utilities may express support for the proposed rule because it reduces the processing requirements for permits that cover discharges of pesticides to waters of the State.

.

Summary of Proposed Changes to Regulation No. 6:

- ADEQ proposes to add several defined terms to Reg.6.103, Definitions. The new definitions are necessary to understand the requirements found in Reg.6.206 for discharges of pesticides into waters of the State.
- 2. ADEQ proposes to add Reg.6.206 to provide a permit-by-rule for entities discharging pesticides into waters of the State.
- 3. ADEQ proposes to delete Reg.6.204(B). This section is not necessary under the regulations governing NPDES permits. NPDES permits are only required for discharges of pollutants to waters of the State. Industrial users discharging to a publicly-owned treatment works are not discharging to waters of the State and are not required to obtain a permit from ADEQ prior to discharge.
- 4. ADEQ also proposes several minor changes to bring the regulation into compliance with the Commission's Regulation Drafting Guidelines.