QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE

	RTMENT/AGENCY_	Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality			
DIVIS		Solid Waste Management Division			
	ION DIRECTOR	Mike Robinson, Chief			
	ACT PERSON	Anne Weinstein			
ADDR		nore Drive, North Little Rock, AR 72218-5317			
	E NO. 501-682-0743	FAX NO. 501-682-0891			
E-WA	L_weinstein@adeq.stat	e.ar.us			
		INSTRUCTIONS			
A. B. C.	Please answer each quadditional sheets, if no If you have a method	of indexing your rules, please give the proposed			
D.	citation after "Short Title of this Rule" below. Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to:				
****	Arkansas Legi Bureau of Leg Room 315, Sta Little Rock, Al	on Administrative Rules and Regulations slative Council islative Research ate Capitol			
1.	What is the short title	of this rule? Regulation No. 11: Regulations for Solid Landfill Post-Closure Trust Fund; and Recycling Grants			
2.	What is the subject of t	he proposed rule? Landfill Post-Closure Trust Fund			
3.	Is this rule required to o	comply with federal statute or regulations? Yes_X			
	If yes, please provide the	he federal regulation and/or statute citation.			
	Section 47 Act 1281 of 2	2007			
4.	Was this rule filed Procedure Act? YesNo	under the emergency provisions of the Administrative			
	If yes, what is	the effective date of the emergency rule?			

When	does	the	emergency	rule	expire?
			nted under the perm	anent provisio	ons of the
	w rule? Yes_ the regulatio		If yes, please	e provide a brid	ef summary
repealed r replaced v	Does this repeal an existing rule? Yes No X If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your completed questionnaire. If it is being replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving an explanation of what the rule does.				
attach a m substantiv	ark-up showin e changes. No	g the change te: The sun	ule? Yes X s in the existing rul nmary should exp copy should be cl	le and a summ lain what the	ary of the
	ate law that gr e <u>Arkansa</u> s Co		ority for this propo	sed rule? If co	odified,
Section 47	of Act 1281 of	2007.			
What is th	ne purpose of	this propose	d rule? Why is it r	necessary?	The amendment
incorporat	es legislative	changes re	equiring the Arka	nsas Pollutio	n Control and
Ecology (Commission to	prioritize ar	nd authorize by Mi	nute Order ex	penditures from
the Landf	ill Post-closur	e Trust Fun	d, in excess of \$5	0,000 per site	e, for corrective
action to r	emedy enviror	nmental impa	acts closed disposal	sites previous	sly operated as a
landfill pu	rsuant to state	law.	•	·	
Will a pul	blic hearing be	held on this	proposed rule? Yes	s_XNo	0
Date: Au	If yes, please complete the following: Date: August 21, 2008 Time: 2:00 p.m.				
		ssion Room	5301 Northshore Dr	ive North Littl	e Rock AR

9.	When does the public comment period expire for permanent promulgation? September 3, 2008
10.	What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? October 24, 2008
11.	Do you expect this rule to be controversial? YesNo_XIf yes, please explain.
12.	Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expect to comment on these rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known.

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

	RTMENT_Arkansas Department of Environm	nental Quality		
	ION Solid Waste Management Division ON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENT	Anne Weinstein		
	PHONE NO. 682-0743 FAX NO. 682-089			
EMAI	L: weinstein@adeq.state.ar.us			
To com	aply with Act 1104 of 1995, please complete the ent and file two copies with the questionnaire	ne following Financial Impact and proposed rules.		
Dispos	T TITLE OF THIS RULE Regulation No. al Fees; Landfill Post-Closure Trust Fees; Illeg Program			
1.	Does this proposed, amended, or repealed rule or regulation have a financial impact? Yes NoX			
2.	If you believe that the development of a financial impact statement is so speculative as to be cost prohibitive, please explain.			
3.	If the purpose of this rule or regulation is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please give the incremental cost for implementing the regulation. Please indicate if the cost provided is the cost of the program. Not applicable.			
	Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year		
	General Revenue	General Revenue		
	Federal Funds	Federal Funds		
	Cash Funds	Cash FundsSpecial Revenue		
	Special Revenue	Special Revenue		
	Other (Identify)(Identify)	Other		
	Total	Total		
4.	What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year amended, or repealed rule or regulation? Ide proposed regulation, and explain how they are	ntify the party subject to the		
	Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year		
	None	None		

5.	What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to the agency to implement this regulation?		
	Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year	
	_\$0	\$_0	

MEMORANDUM

TO:

MIKE ROBINSON

CHIEF, SWM DIVISION

THROUGH: MARK FERGUSON !**

CHIEF, LEGAL DIVISION

FROM:

ANNE WEINSTEIN CHI

STAFF ATTORNEY, LEGAL DIVISION

DATE:

JUNE 11, 2008

RE:

ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED CHANGES

FOR REGULATION NO. 11

Pursuant to Act 143 of 2007, a determination must be made whether or not the proposed changes to Regulation No. 11 will affect small business.

The proposed amendment incorporates legislative changes requiring the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission to prioritize and authorize by Minute Order expenditures from the Landfill Post-closure Trust Fund, in excess of \$50,000 per site, for corrective action to remedy environmental impacts closed disposal sites previously operated as a landfill pursuant to state law.

This provision will not have an affect on small business. Further, Act 143 of 2007 exempts from the required analysis rules that "substantially codify existing state or federal law." Since the change proposed in this rulemaking codifies into the regulation new state law as outlined above, this rulemaking should be exempt from the analysis required in Act 143 of 2007.

ECONOMIC IMPACT/ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Answer to best of the proponent's ability, as required by APC&EC Regulation 8, Chapter 3.5

STEP 1: DETERMINATION OF ANALYSIS REQUIREMENT (to be included in petition to initiate rulemaking)

APC&EC REGULATION NO. 11 REGULATIONS FOR SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL FEES; LANDFILL POST-CLOSURE TRUST FEES; ILLEGAL DUMPS PROGRAM AND RECYCLING GRANTS PROGRAM JUNE 2008 UPDATE

1A. Is the proposal expressly addressed by a Federal requirement? No.

If yes, see 1B.

If no, an Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required.

1B. If 1A is YES, is proposed regulation equivalent, or more stringent, or less stringent than federal requirement? N/A

- If equivalent Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required
- If more stringent Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is required
- If less stringent Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required, but does require federal agency approval prior to adoption if the proposal is part of an authorized state program.

STEP 2: THE ANALYSIS

(to be included in petition to initiate rulemaking, if required)

2A. ECONOMIC IMPACT

Not Required.

2B. ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT

Not Required.