## QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE

DEPARTMEN	NT/AGENCY	Arkansas Depai	tment of Environ	mental Quality ("ADEQ")					
DIVISION		Air Division							
DIVISION DIRECTOR		Mike Bates							
CONTACT PERSON		Mike Bates							
<b>ADDRESS</b> 501-682- <b>PHONE NO.</b> 0744		5301 Northshor	e Drive, North Li	ttle Rock, AR 72118-5317					
		FAX NO. 501-682-0753 E-MAIL bates@adeq.state.ar.us							
THONE NO.	0744	FAX NO.	301-082-0733	J. Ryan Benefield, P.E., Interim					
NAME OF PRESENTER AT COMMITTEE MEETING Director									
PRESENTER E-MAIL		benefield@adeq.state.ar.us							
		<u>1N3</u>	<u>STRUCTIONS</u>						
<ul> <li>A. Please make copies of this form for future use.</li> <li>B. Please answer each question completely using layman terms. You may use additional sheets, if necessary.</li> <li>C. If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after "Short Title of this Rule" below.</li> <li>D. Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to:  Donna K. Davis  Administrative Rules Review Section  Arkansas Legislative Council  Bureau of Legislative Research  Room 315, State Capitol  Little Rock, AR 72201</li> </ul>									
				**********					
1. What is the	short title of the	nis rule? Reg	gulation No. 18						
2. What is the	subject of the	proposed rule?	Arkansas Air	Pollution Control Code					
3. Is this rule	required to con	nply with a feder	al statute, rule, or	regulation? Yes No No					
Revisions to th NAAQS revision July 16, 2007 (NAAQS and Formal 1996 (61 Fed. In 16436); Novem (75 Fed. Reg. 3 January 15, 202 reference methor Fed. Reg. 2946 17, 2000 (65 Fed. 1997).	is state regulationJanuary 15 Fed. Reg. 3886 ederal Register Reg. 52852); Onber 12, 2008 ( 85520); August 13); providing 1 ods, and data re (7); April 2, 20 ed. Reg. 62161	on propose to ad, 2013 (78 Fed. If 54); January 10, 2 citations listed (actober 17, 2006, 73 Fed. Reg. 669, 31, 2011 (76 Fed. Federences to fed equirements Od 14 (79 Fed. Reg.); December 29,	Reg. 3086); exemy 2008 (73 Fed. Reg. 2008 (73 Fed. Reg. 61 (71 Fed. Reg. 61 Ped.); February 9, d. Reg. 54294), A peral law within extra tober 17, 2006 (718452); February 1992 (57 Fed. Reg. 2008 (74 Fed. Reg. 2008 (75 Fed.	cute citation. Sective federal rules, as listed: PM2.5 ptions to the special applicability list72 g. 1916); table of the current federal p73 (38 Fed. Reg. 25678); October 8, 144); March 27, 2008 (73 Fed. Reg. 2010 (75 Fed. Reg. 6474); June 22, 2010 august 31, 2011; 78 Fed. Reg. 3085, sisting Regulation No. 18 test methods, p1 Fed. Reg. 61226); August 7, 1987 (52 p2 27, 2014 (79 Fed. Reg. 11257); October pg. 62002); February 27, 2014 (79 Fed. pember 7, 1986 (51 Fed. Reg. 40675).					

4.	Was this rule filed under the emergency provisions of the A Procedure Act?	Yes 🗌	No 🖂				
	If yes, what is the effective date of the emergency rule?	Not applicable		_			
	When does the emergency rule expire?	Not applicable		_			
	Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permane the Administrative Procedure Act?	ent provisions of	Yes 🗌	No 🖂			
5.	Is this a new rule?		Yes 🗌	No 🖂			
	If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regula	ation.					
No	t applicable						
	Does this repeal an existing rule? If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with you replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule does.						
No	t applicable						
6	Is this an amendment to an existing rule? If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the esubstantive changes. Note: The summary should explain mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."  Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed	n what the amen					
0.	If codified, please give Arkansas Code citation.	ruie:					
Ar	k.Code. Ann § 8-4-201, § 8-4-202, § 8-4-304, and § 8-4-311						
7. What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary? This proposed rule revises the State air code (non-federally enforceable regulations) to be consistent with federal rule changes made after EPA's periodic reevaluation and revisions of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards and other federal air pollution control regulations. The proposed revisions will streamline permitting for changes at facilities with no net increases in emissions. These changes will also clarify Regulation No. 18 and eliminate typographical and formatting errors. All other changes were made as updates, clarifications, and error corrections, and are non-substantive.							
This proposed rule is necessary to ensure that the Arkansas regulation is not in conflict with federal rules that have been federally adopted. By revising Regulation No. 18 to be in line with federal rules, the goal is for sources to find it less difficult to understand permitting requirements. Additionally, ADEQ will be able to issue state-enforceable permits addressing fine particulate matter which will help protect Arkansas from falling into nonattainment (NA) status for the pollutant. NA designation is currently a real concern for the state because of monitored and modeled pollutant values, and because the status brings with it long-lasting economic sanctions and environmental obstacles, it is in the best interest of the State and the public to prevent NA designation. Because the primary NAAQS are health-based standards and secondary NAAQS standards are set to protect public welfare, this rule change will also allow ADEQ to protect Arkansans' health and the environment from detrimental effects caused by NAAQS pollutants.							
8. Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic form via the Internet as required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b).							
htt	http://www.adeq.state.ar.us/regs/drafts/draft_regs.htm						

				_	_				
9.	Will a public hearing	be held on this proposed rule?		Yes 🔀	No 🔛				
	If yes, please complet								
	January 12	•							
	Date: 2015								
	Time: 2:00 p.m.								
	Place: ADEQ Commission Room, 5301 Northshore Drive, North Little Rock, AR, 72118								
10	When does the mublic	comment period expire for							
10.	015								
permanent promulgation? (Must provide a date.)  January 27, 20					<del></del>				
11.	What is the proposed	effective date of this proposed rule?							
	(Must provide a date.)		May 2015		<del></del>				
12.	Do you expect this rul	le to be controversial?		Yes 🗌	No 🖂				
	Do you expect this rul	Stakeholders were consulted prior	to initiation of th						
		ADEQ collaborated with industry							
		interested parties. Additionally, de							
		will take comments on when an an							
		impacts on the National Ambient			he				
T.C		permitting process. Additionally,		comment on					
If y	es, please explain.	requirements for such an analysis,	when required.						
13	Please give the names	s of persons, groups, or organizations	s that you expect	to comment a	on these				
13.		their position (for or against) if know		.o comment	m these				
Or		cally comment on proposed revision		pollution con	ntrol				
regulations include:									
Arkansas Environmental Federation									
Arkansas Forest and Paper Council									
Arkansas Independent Producers and Royalty Owners									
Audubon Arkansas									
The Energy and Environmental Alliance of Arkansas									
The Sierra Club									
The United States Environmental Protection Agency									
In general, it is thought that the facilities subject to air permitting requirements will not object to this									
rulemaking, as several of the representative entities listed above were involved in the drafting process for									
unis	this rulemaking. However, the overall consensus towards this proposed rule is unknown at this time.								