

ECONOMIC IMPACT/ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Answer to best of the proponent's ability, as required by ADPCEC Regulation 8, Chapter 3.5

STEP 1: DETERMINATION OF ANALYSIS REQUIREMENT **(to be included in petition to initiate rulemaking)**

ARKANSAS POLLUTION CONTROL AND ECOLOGY COMMISSION REGULATION NUMBER 19 REGULATIONS OF THE ARKANSAS PLAN OF IMPLEMENTATION FOR AIR POLLUTION CONTROL

1A. Is the proposal expressly addressed by a Federal requirement?

► *No. Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required. The proposed amendment of Regulation Number 19 will repeal Chapter 12 of the regulation. The provisions of Chapter 12 as well as the federal requirements contained in 40 CFR Part 51.160-165 associated with permitting requirements for major sources in nonattainment areas will be incorporated into Regulation Number 31 a new Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission regulation if the Commission initiates rulemaking to promulgate the new regulation.*

1B. If 1A is YES, is proposed regulation equivalent, or more stringent, or less stringent than federal requirement?

- If equivalent – Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required
- If more stringent - Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is required
- If less stringent - Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required, but does require federal agency approval prior to adoption if the proposal is part of an authorized state program.

STEP 2: THE ANALYSIS **(to be included in petition to initiate rulemaking, if required)**

2A. ECONOMIC IMPACT

Not required.

2B. ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT

Not required.