

ECONOMIC IMPACT/ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Answer to best of the proponent's ability, as required by ADPCEC Regulation 8, Chapter 3.5

STEP 1: DETERMINATION OF ANALYSIS REQUIREMENT (to be included in petition to initiate rulemaking)

APC&EC REGULATION NO. 19 Regulations of the Arkansas Plan of Implementation for Air Quality Control June 2008

1A. Is the proposal expressly addressed by a Federal requirement?

Yes. See 1B; and

No. Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required.

Some portions of the proposed changes are expressly addressed by a Federal requirement while others are not. Those that are addressed by Federal requirements are equivalent to those requirements.

1B. If 1A is YES, is proposed regulation equivalent, or more stringent, or less stringent than federal requirement?

The proposed changes that are addressed by Federal requirements are equivalent to those requirements.

- If equivalent – Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required
- If more stringent - Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is required
- If less stringent - Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required, but does require federal agency approval prior to adoption if the proposal is part of an authorized state program.

STEP 2: THE ANALYSIS (to be included in petition to initiate rulemaking, if required)

2A. ECONOMIC IMPACT

Not Required.

2B. ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT

Not Required.