QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE

| DE | EPARTMENT/AGENCY | Arkansas I | Departm | ent of Enviro | nmental Quality | ("ADEQ") | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--|---------------|-----------|--|--|
| DI | VISION | Air Divisio | n | | | | | | |
| DIVISION DIRECTOR | | Mike Bates | | | | | | | |
| CONTACT PERSON | | Mike Bates | | | | | | | |
| ΑĽ | DDRESS | 5301 North | shore D | rive, North L | ittle Rock, AR 7 | 2118-5317 | | | |
| PH | 501-682- 0744 | FAX NO | O. <u>50</u> | 01-682-0753 | E-MAIL bat J. Ryan Bene | tes@adeq.sta | | | |
| NA | AME OF PRESENTER A | T COMMI | TTEE N | MEETING | Director | | | | |
| PRESENTER E-MAIL | | benefield@ | | ate.ar.us RUCTIONS | | | | | |
| В. С. | A. Please make copies of this form for future use. B. Please answer each question completely using layman terms. You may use additional sheets, if necessary. C. If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after "Short Title of this Rule" below. D. Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to: Donna K. Davis Administrative Rules Review Section Arkansas Legislative Council Bureau of Legislative Research Room 315, State Capitol Little Rock, AR 72201 | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | What is the short title of the | nis rule? | Regula | ation No. 26 | | | | | |
| 2. | What is the subject of the | proposed ru | le? | Regulations Program | of the Arkansas | Operating A | ir Permit | | |
| Re NA No | Is this rule required to cor If yes, please provide the visions to this state regulat AQS revisionJanuary 15 vember 29, 2013 (78 Fed. 31607). | federal rule, ion propose , 2013 (78 F | regulati to adopt ed. Reg | on, and/or sta currently eff . 3086); upda | ntute citation. Tective federal ru ted Global Warr | ning Potentia | als | | |
| 4. | Was this rule filed under the Procedure Act? | | • 1 | | | Yes 🗌 | No 🖂 | | |
| | If yes, what is the effective | e date of the | emerge | incy fule! | Not applicable | | | | |
| | When does the emergency | rule expire | ? | | Not applicable | | | | |

| | Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanent provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act? | Yes | No 🔀 | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| 5. | Is this a new rule? | Yes 🗌 | No 🖂 | | |
| | If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regulation. | | | | |
| No | ot applicable | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Does this repeal an existing rule? If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your completed que replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule giving an ex rule does. | | | | |
| No | ot applicable | | | | |
| | Is this an amendment to an existing rule? If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing rule and substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain what the amen mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up." | Yes 🔀 a summary or adment does, | No | | |
| 6. | Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule? If codified, please give Arkansas Code citation. | | | | |
| Ar | k. Code Ann. §§ 8-4-201, 8-4-202, 8-4-304, and 8-4-311. | | | | |
| 7. What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessary? This proposed rule revises the state operating air permit program to be consistent with federal rule changes made after EPA's periodic reevaluation and revisions of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards and other federal air pollution control regulations. These changes will also clarify Regulation No. 26 and eliminate typographical and formatting errors. All other changes were made as updates, clarifications, and error corrections, and are non-substantive. This proposed rule is necessary to ensure that the Arkansas regulation is not in conflict with federal rules that have been federally adopted. By revising Regulation No. 26 to be in line with federal rules, the goal is for sources to find it less difficult to understand permitting requirements. Additionally, ADEQ will be able to issue federally compliant permits addressing fine particulate matter which will help protect Arkansas from falling into nonattainment (NA) status for the pollutant. NA designation is currently a real concern for the state because of monitored and modeled pollutant values, and because the status brings with it long-lasting economic sanctions and environmental obstacles, it is in the best interest of the State and the public to prevent NA designation. Because the primary NAAQS are health-based standards and secondary NAAQS standards are set to protect public welfare, this rule change will also allow ADEQ to protect Arkansans' health and the environment from detrimental effects caused by NAAQS pollutants. | | | | | |
| | Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible in electronic required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b). | c form via the | Internet as | | |
| ntt | p://www.adeq.state.ar.us/regs/drafts/draft_regs.htm | | | | |
| 9. | Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? | Yes 🖂 | No 🗌 | | |
| | If yes, please complete the following: January 12, Date: 2015 Time: 2:00 p.m. Place: ADEO Commission Room, 5301 Northshore Drive, North Little | Rock AR 72 | 2118 | | |

| | comment period expire for on? (Must provide a date.) | 4:30 p.m., January 27, 2015 | |
|---|---|---|------------------|
| 11. What is the proposed (Must provide a date.) | effective date of this proposed rule? | May 2015 | |
| 12. Do you expect this rul If yes, please explain. | e to be controversial? Stakeholders were consulted prior to ADEQ collaborated with industry grinterested parties. Additionally, dur will take comments on when an ana impacts on the National Ambient Ambient Ambient and permitting process. Additionally, A requirements for such an analysis, we | o initiation of the proposed chang roups to craft language acceptable ring the public comment period, A lysis would be required to evalua ir Quality Standards during the DEQ will take comment on | e to all ADEQ |
| | of persons, groups, or organizations t | | ese |

rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known.

Organizations which typically comment on proposed revision to Arkansas's air pollution control regulations include:

Arkansas Environmental Federation

Arkansas Forest and Paper Council

Arkansas Independent Producers and Royalty Owners

Audubon Arkansas

The Energy and Environmental Alliance of Arkansas

The Sierra Club

The United States Environmental Protection Agency

In general, it is thought that the facilities subject to air permitting requirements will not object to this rulemaking, as several of the representative entities listed above were involved in the drafting process for this rulemaking. However, the overall consensus towards this proposed rule is unknown at this time.