

# **EXHIBIT E**

## **ECONOMIC IMPACT/ ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT ANALYSIS**

**ARKANSAS POLLUTION CONTROL & ECOLOGY COMMISSION  
ECONOMIC IMPACT/ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT ANALYSIS**

**Rule Number & Title:** Regulation No. 29 – Arkansas Brownfields Redevelopment

**Petitioner:** Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality, Hazardous Waste Division

**Contact/Phone/Electronic mail:** Tammie J. Hynum, Chief  
(501) 682-0831  
[hynum@adeq.state.ar.us](mailto:hynum@adeq.state.ar.us)

**2A. ECONOMIC IMPACT**

*1. Who will be affected economically by this proposed rule? State: a) the specific public and/or private entities affected by this rulemaking, indicating for each category if it is a positive or negative economic effect; and b) provide the estimated number of entities affected by this proposed rule.*

No public or private entities will be affected by this rulemaking.

*Sources and Assumptions:* N/A

*2. What are the economic effects of the proposed rule? State: 1) the estimated increased or decreased cost for an average facility to implement the proposed rule; and 2) the estimated total cost to implement the rule.*

There is no estimated increase or decrease in cost associated with this rule.

*Sources and Assumptions:* N/A

*3. List any fee changes imposed by this proposal and justification for each.* None

*4. What is the probable cost to ADEQ in manpower and associated resources to implement and enforce this proposed change, and what is the source of revenue supporting this proposed rule?*

None.

*Sources and Assumptions:* N/A

*5. Is there a known beneficial or adverse impact to any other relevant state agency to implement or enforce this proposed rule? Is there any other relevant state agency's rule that could adequately address this issue, or is this proposed rulemaking in conflict with or have any nexus to any other relevant state agency's rule? Identify state agency and/or rule.*

None.

*Sources and Assumptions:* N/A

**6. Are there any less costly, non-regulatory, or less intrusive methods that would achieve the same purpose of this proposed rule?**

No.

*Sources and Assumptions:* N/A

## **2B. ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

**1. What issues affecting the environment are addressed by this proposal?**

None.

**2. How does this proposed rule protect, enhance, or restore the natural environment for the well being of all Arkansans?**

By changing the language of the Regulation to conform to the statute, eligibility determinations will become less stringent for participants wishing to take part in the Arkansas Brownfield Program.

*Sources and Assumptions:* N/A

**3. What detrimental effect will there be to the environment or to the public health and safety if this proposed rule is not implemented?**

None

*Sources and Assumptions:* N/A

**4. What risks are addressed by the proposal and to what extent are the risks anticipated to be reduced?**

None

*Sources and assumptions:* N/A