# EXHIBIT F:

# ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT/ECONOMIC BENEFIT ANALYSIS

# ECONOMIC IMPACT/ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Answer to best of the proponent's ability, as required by ADPCEC Regulation 8, Chapter 3.5

#### APC&EC Regulation No. 30 (Hazardous Substance Remedial Action Trust Fund Priority List) July, 2008 Update

#### RULE SUMMARY:

This revision to Regulation No. 30 accomplishes the annual update to the priority lists for hazardous substance sites where the Pollution Control & Ecology Commission has authorized expenditures from the Remedial Action Trust Fund for investigation, cleanup, and/or long term maintenance in order to eliminate or mitigate unacceptable risks to human health or the environment from hazardous substance contamination at the listed sites. This revision does not have a corresponding federal rule or requirement.

#### STEP 1: DETERMINATION OF ANALYSIS REQUIREMENT (to be included in petition to initiate rulemaking)

1A. Is the proposal expressly addressed by a Federal requirement?

Yes. See 1B. No. Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required.

#### <u>No</u>.

**1B.** If 1A is YES, is proposed regulation equivalent, or more stringent, or less stringent than federal requirement?

- If equivalent Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required
- If more stringent Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is required
- If less stringent Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required, but does require federal agency approval prior to adoption if the proposal is part of an authorized state program.

# STEP 2: THE ANALYSIS (to be included in petition to initiate rulemaking, if required)

### 2A. ECONOMIC IMPACT

Not Required.

#### **2B. ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

Not Required.