

ECONOMIC IMPACT/ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Answer to best of the proponent's ability, as required by ADPCEC Regulation 8, Chapter 3.5

STEP 1: DETERMINATION OF ANALYSIS REQUIREMENT (to be included in petition to initiate rulemaking)

*ARKANSAS POLLUTION CONTROL AND ECOLOGY COMMISSION (APC&EC) REGULATION NO.
31, NONATTAINMENT NEW SOURCE REVIEW REQUIREMENTS*

1A. Is the proposal expressly addressed by a Federal requirement?

► *Yes.*

1B. If 1A is YES, is proposed regulation equivalent, or more stringent, or less stringent than federal requirement?

- If equivalent – Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required
- If more stringent - Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is required
- If less stringent - Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required, but does require federal agency approval prior to adoption if the proposal is part of an authorized state program.

Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required because the proposed regulation is equivalent to the federal requirement. The provisions of Chapter 12 of Regulation Number 19 (Chapter 12 of Regulation Number 19 will be repealed) and the federal requirements contained in 40 CFR Part 51.160-165 associated with the permitting requirements for major sources in nonattainment areas will be incorporated into Regulation Number 31, a new APC&EC regulation.

STEP 2: THE ANALYSIS (to be included in petition to initiate rulemaking, if required)

2A. ECONOMIC IMPACT

Not required.

2B. ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT

Not required.

EXHIBIT E