

## Allison, Becky

---

**From:** Jan Schaper <janschaper@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 07, 2016 8:55 PM  
**To:** Help-DrillProject  
**Subject:** Investigatng C&H Pond Problems

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Dear ADEQ, Thank you for moving forward with the investigation of swine waste leakage from the C&H lagoons.

Discovering the truth about the existence/extent of leakage is essential in terms of affirming and protecting public health and our Arkansas tourist economy.

In that spirit I raise the following concerns about the plan.:

- 1) Why is the proposed drilling depth limited to 100 feet, when 120 feet was recommended?
- 2) Why is only one boring being planned when 3 is what has been recommended by experts? Common sense dictates that the most significant cost is incurred in getting the proper equipment to the site, so there is no reason to not to go for 3 core borings.
- 3) Why would BCRET, which failed in its duty to report the initial alarming EIN results, be permitted to have two observers on site? Surely their presence seriously erodes any sense of the drilling being an impartial undertaking. A proper solution would be to exclude the BCRET representatives or to allow one BCRET representative and Dr. Bert Fisher of the Buffalo River Watershed Alliance to be present.
- 4) Dye tracing research in the area has indicated that groundwater moves at 2700 feet per day, indicating that dye tracking needs to be included in the plan to get accurate results. Thus please add dye tracking to the plan.
- 5) What is ADEQ planning to do if waste is found in the soil or bedrock?

I look forward to your answer and actions on these concerns.

Sincerely,

Jan Schaper  
Eureka Springs, AR