

**From:** [Teresa Turk](#)  
**To:** [ImpairedWaterbodies\\_Comments](#)  
**Subject:** Comments on the Impaired Water Bodies list for 2016  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 16, 2016 1:06:08 PM  
**Attachments:** [impaired\\_waterbodies\\_comments\\_turk.pdf](#)

---

Dear ADEQ,

Please find attached my comments as a private citizen regarding the 2016 listing of impaired streams. I have also pasted the comments below in the body of the email. I request that you confirm receipt of these comments.

Thank you,  
Teresa A. Turk

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality

5301 Northshore Drive

North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

[ImpairedWaterbodies\\_Comments@adeq.state.ar.us](mailto:ImpairedWaterbodies_Comments@adeq.state.ar.us)

March 16, 2016

Dear Director Keogh,

I am providing these comments as a private citizen and resident of the state of Arkansas in regard to the water quality of the Upper Buffalo River-Big Creek tributary of the Buffalo National River concerning the 2016 303(d) list of impaired streams. The Buffalo National River is considered an Extraordinary Resource Waters (ERW). The designation of an ERW includes the entire watershed of this waterbody (Regulation 2.302 (A)) and therefore includes the 5<sup>th</sup> largest tributary of the Buffalo National River called Upper Buffalo-Big Creek located in Newton County.

Last week I requested and obtained data from ADEQ through the Arkansas Freedom of

Information Act. The data I requested were copies of the same data set used by your staff to assess the Upper Buffalo-Big Creek for impairment. These data were collected by the UA Big Creek Research and Extension Team (BCRET), the water quality data collected by BCRET was analyzed by the Arkansas Water Resources Center Water Quality Lab (page 47, BCRET Report-October1-December 31, 2013), presumably lab certified by ADEQ, and funded by the Arkansas tax payer. I have reviewed the information and determined by referencing the standards noted in Regulation 2 and the Draft 2016 Integrated Water Assessment and Monitoring Report that Upper Buffalo River-Big Creek meets the criteria as an impaired stream for the following reasons.

1. Using the file "Big Creek Water Analysis":

**BC6-Upstream** (worksheet). The BC6 sampling station is located upstream of the C& H hog operation at approximately latitude 35.8923, longitude -93.0583.

From 5/13/14-6/9/14 during the primary contact season (May 1-September 30) for E. coli, 5 samples were collected during a 30 day period (Regulation 2.507) and the Geometric mean for E. coli of 126 colonies/100ml was exceeded:

|         |       |                                   |
|---------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| 5/13/14 | 920.8 |                                   |
| 5/19/14 | 133.3 |                                   |
| 5/28/14 | 290.9 |                                   |
| 6/5/14  | 307.6 |                                   |
| 6/9/14  | 410.6 | Geometric Mean=339 colonies/100ml |

2. From 6/19/14-7/15/14 during the primary contact season for E. coli, 5 samples were collected during a 30 day period that exceeded the Geometric mean for E. coli of 126 colonies/100ml:

|         |         |                                   |
|---------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| 6/19/14 | 36.4    |                                   |
| 6/24/14 | 28150.0 |                                   |
| 7/1/14  | 238.2   |                                   |
| 7/7/14  | 1732.9  |                                   |
| 7/15/14 | 686.7   | Geometric Mean=783 colonies/100ml |

3. There were 22 samples of E coli collected during the "primary contact" season

May 1-September 30, 2014. Of the 22 samples, 8 samples (**36% of the samples**) exceeded the single grab limit of 298 colonies/100ml. According to Regulation 2.507, there must be at least 8 samples collected during the primary contact season with no more than 25% of the samples exceeding the single grab limit (p. 41-Draft 2016 AR Integrated and Monitoring Plan).

4. Using the file "Big Creek Water Analysis":

**BC7-Downstream** (worksheet) is located downstream from C&H hog operation approximately at latitude 35.9393, longitude -93.0728.

From 5/13/14-6/9/14 during the primary contact season for E. coli, 5 samples were collected during a 30 day period that exceeded the Geometric mean for E. coli of 126 colonies/100ml:

|         |        |
|---------|--------|
| 5/13/14 | 1553.1 |
| 5/19/14 | 53.7   |
| 5/28/14 | 209.8  |

6/5/14 201.4

6/9/14 517.2

Geometric Mean=283 colonies/100 ml

5. From 6/24/14-7/23/14 during the primary contact season for E. coli, 5 samples were collected during a 30 day period that exceeded the Geometric mean for E. coli of 126 colonies/100ml:

6/24/14 24950

7/1/14 129.6

7/7/14 649.8

7/15/14 816.4

7/23/14 94.9

Geometric Mean=697 colonies/100 ml

6. From 9/3/14-9/30/14 during the primary contact season for E. coli, 5 samples were collected during a 30 day period that exceeded the Geometric mean for E. coli of 126 colonies/100ml:

9/3/14 65.7

9/11/14 980.4

9/18/14 579.4

9/23/14 47.1

9/30/14 85.7

Geometric Mean=172 colonies/100 ml

7. In addition, there were 22 samples of E coli collected during the "primary contact" season May 1-September 30, 2014. According to Regulation 2.507, there must be at least 8 samples collected during the primary contact season with no more than 25% of the samples can exceeding the single grab limit (p. 41-Draft 2016 AR Integrated and Monitoring Plan). Of the 22 samples, 7 samples (**31% of the samples**) exceeded the single grab limit of 298 colonies/100 ml.

8. Big Creek at Carver Analysis:

The Big Creek USGS gaging station (#07055814) is located at the bridge adjacent to the Carver Cemetery at latitude 35°58'44"N, longitude 93°02'36" W, NAD27.

Using the "2014 Data" spreadsheet, Dissolved Oxygen (DO) below 6.0 mg/L , the primary critical limit (Regulation 2.505), **occurred 14% of the time exceeding the 10% threshold** (Page 39, Draft 2016 Integrated Water Quality and Monitoring Assessment Report) during the period of record provided 6/18/14-10/21/14.

As a result of the analyses listed above based on ADEQ's criteria and standards, I am requesting that ADEQ place Upper Buffalo River-Big Creek on the 2016 list of impaired streams. There is ample evidence to list this tributary of the Buffalo National River as impaired.

ADEQ has delegated authority from EPA to implement provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA), yet arbitrarily and capriciously chose to ignore information provided by the University of Arkansas BCRET and the US Geological Survey in assessing Upper Buffalo Big Creek during their assessment and evaluation process. As an Arkansas tax payer, I am greatly concerned about the ADEQ's scientific integrity and ability to comply with the legal and scientific requirements of the CWA. I ask that an extensive outside review process be initiated and conducted by the Environmental Protection Agency to identify where the ADEQ review and evaluation process failed and draft a remediation plan to ensure consistent.

sound scientific practices and adherence to the regulations of the CWA are implemented by ADEQ.

Sincerely,

Teresa A. Turk

1408 W. Cleveland St.

Fayetteville, AR 72701

Teresa\_turk@hotmail.com

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality  
5301 Northshore Drive  
North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317  
[ImpairedWaterbodies\\_Comments@adeq.state.ar.us](mailto:ImpairedWaterbodies_Comments@adeq.state.ar.us)

March 16, 2016

Dear Director Keogh,

I am providing these comments as a private citizen and resident of the state of Arkansas in regard to the water quality of the Upper Buffalo River-Big Creek tributary of the Buffalo National River concerning the 2016 303(d) list of impaired streams. The Buffalo National River is considered an Extraordinary Resource Waters (ERW). The designation of an ERW includes the entire watershed of this waterbody (Regulation 2.302 (A)) and therefore includes the 5<sup>th</sup> largest tributary of the Buffalo National River called Upper Buffalo-Big Creek located in Newton County.

Last week I requested and obtained data from ADEQ through the Arkansas Freedom of Information Act. The data I requested were copies of the same data set used by your staff to assess the Upper Buffalo-Big Creek for impairment. These data were collected by the UA Big Creek Research and Extension Team (BCRET), the water quality data collected by BCRET was analyzed by the Arkansas Water Resources Center Water Quality Lab (page 47, BCRET Report-October1-December 31, 2013), presumably lab certified by ADEQ, and funded by the Arkansas tax payer. I have reviewed the information and determined by referencing the standards noted in Regulation 2 and the Draft 2016 Integrated Water Assessment and Monitoring Report that Upper Buffalo River-Big Creek meets the criteria as an impaired stream for the following reasons.

1. Using the file "Big Creek Water Analysis":

**BC6-Upstream** (worksheet). The BC6 sampling station is located upstream of the C& H hog operation at approximately latitude 35.8923, longitude -93.0583.

From 5/13/14-6/9/14 during the primary contact season (May 1-September 30) for E. coli, 5 samples were collected during a 30 day period (Regulation 2.507) and the Geometric mean for E. coli of 126 colonies/100ml was exceeded:

|         |       |                                   |
|---------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| 5/13/14 | 920.8 |                                   |
| 5/19/14 | 133.3 |                                   |
| 5/28/14 | 290.9 |                                   |
| 6/5/14  | 307.6 |                                   |
| 6/9/14  | 410.6 | Geometric Mean=339 colonies/100ml |

2. From 6/19/14-7/15/14 during the primary contact season for E. coli, 5 samples were collected during a 30 day period that exceeded the Geometric mean for E. coli of 126 colonies/100ml:

|         |         |                                   |
|---------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| 6/19/14 | 36.4    |                                   |
| 6/24/14 | 28150.0 |                                   |
| 7/1/14  | 238.2   |                                   |
| 7/7/14  | 1732.9  |                                   |
| 7/15/14 | 686.7   | Geometric Mean=783 colonies/100ml |

3. There were 22 samples of E coli collected during the "primary contact" season May 1-September 30, 2014. Of the 22 samples, 8 samples (**36% of the samples**) exceeded the single grab limit of 298 colonies/100ml. According to Regulation 2.507, there must be at least 8 samples collected during the primary contact season with no more than 25% of the samples exceeding the single grab limit (p. 41-Draft 2016 AR Integrated and Monitoring Plan).

4. Using the file "Big Creek Water Analysis":  
**BC7-Downstream** (worksheet) is located downstream from C&H hog operation approximately at latitude 35.9393, longitude -93.0728.

From 5/13/14-6/9/14 during the primary contact season for E. coli, 5 samples were collected during a 30 day period that exceeded the Geometric mean for E. coli of 126 colonies/100ml:

|         |        |                                    |
|---------|--------|------------------------------------|
| 5/13/14 | 1553.1 |                                    |
| 5/19/14 | 53.7   |                                    |
| 5/28/14 | 209.8  |                                    |
| 6/5/14  | 201.4  |                                    |
| 6/9/14  | 517.2  | Geometric Mean=283 colonies/100 ml |

5. From 6/24/14-7/23/14 during the primary contact season for E. coli, 5 samples were collected during a 30 day period that exceeded the Geometric mean for E. coli of 126 colonies/100ml:

|         |       |                                    |
|---------|-------|------------------------------------|
| 6/24/14 | 24950 |                                    |
| 7/1/14  | 129.6 |                                    |
| 7/7/14  | 649.8 |                                    |
| 7/15/14 | 816.4 |                                    |
| 7/23/14 | 94.9  | Geometric Mean=697 colonies/100 ml |

6. From 9/3/14-9/30/14 during the primary contact season for E. coli, 5 samples were collected during a 30 day period that exceeded the Geometric mean for E. coli of 126 colonies/100ml:

|         |       |  |
|---------|-------|--|
| 9/3/14  | 65.7  |  |
| 9/11/14 | 980.4 |  |



9/18/14 579.4  
9/23/14 47.1  
9/30/14 85.7

Geometric Mean=172 colonies/100 ml

7. In addition, there were 22 samples of E coli collected during the "primary contact" season May 1-September 30, 2014. According to Regulation 2.507, there must be at least 8 samples collected during the primary contact season with no more than 25% of the samples can exceeding the single grab limit (p. 41-Draft 2016 AR Integrated and Monitoring Plan). Of the 22 samples, 7 samples (**31% of the samples**) exceeded the single grab limit of 298 colonies/100 ml.

8. Big Creek at Carver Analysis:

The Big Creek USGS gaging station (#07055814) is located at the bridge adjacent to the Carver Cemetery at latitude 35°58'44"N, longitude 93°02'36" W, NAD27.

Using the "2014 Data" spreadsheet, Dissolved Oxygen (DO) below 6.0 mg/L, the primary critical limit (Regulation 2.505), **occurred 14% of the time exceeding the 10% threshold** (Page 39, Draft 2016 Integrated Water Quality and Monitoring Assessment Report) during the period of record provided 6/18/14-10/21/14.

As a result of the analyses listed above based on ADEQ's criteria and standards, I am requesting that ADEQ place Upper Buffalo River-Big Creek on the 2016 list of impaired streams. There is ample evidence to list this tributary of the Buffalo National River as impaired.

ADEQ has delegated authority from EPA to implement provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA), yet arbitrarily and capriciously chose to ignore information provided by the University of Arkansas BCRET and the US Geological Survey in assessing Upper Buffalo Big Creek during their assessment and evaluation process. As an Arkansas tax payer, I am greatly concerned about the ADEQ's scientific integrity and ability to comply with the legal and scientific requirements of the CWA. I ask that an extensive outside review process be initiated and conducted by the Environmental Protection Agency to identify where the ADEQ review and evaluation process failed and draft a remediation plan to ensure consistent, sound scientific practices and adherence to the regulations of the CWA are implemented by ADEQ.

Sincerely,



Teresa A. Turk  
1408 W. Cleveland St.  
Fayetteville, AR 72701  
Teresa\_turk@hotmail.com