

Email address	whywaste17@gmail.com
Full Name	Taylor Lauren Bridges
Mailing Address	16199 Holcombe School Road West Fork, AR 72774
Has nuisance algae affected your recreation experiences?	Yes
How are you affected by Arkansas Water Quality	I am an Arkansas resident. I recreate on or near a river, lake, or stream.
Nuisance Algae	
In the examples you described above, would you consider observed algal densities to be "objectionable?"	Yes, all were objectionable in my opinion.
Habitat Degradation	
Have declines to physical habitat impacted your recreation experiences?	Yes
Please describe your observations of water quality degradation due to changes in habitat.	It was not safe to swim in the water during the summer.
How are you impacted by water quality degradation attributed to habitat declines?	Recreation
Categorical Determinations	
Do you believe in state-led local approaches?	Yes
Do you think it is important to ensure federal regulations are met when proposing a plan to restore	Yes

significant state and federal natural resources, such as the Buffalo National River?

Do you believe it is important for any plan to include both point and nonpoint sources of pollution?

Yes

At this time, do you believe ADEQ should follow the Clean Water Act and federal regulations to prioritize impaired waterbodies for a TMDL until they have provided adequate recommended documentation (2016 IRG) and met all legal requirements (40 CFR 130.7)?

Yes

Federal Requirements

Do you believe ADEQ should consider peer-reviewed literature, taxpayer funded research, expert reports, and agency recommendations to identify and report water quality impairments?

Yes

35% of variable 106 Grant Funding received by the state each year is dependent on impairment listings. When assessment methodologies are lacking or absent, how should the state proceed with assessment decisions?

Even though I understand I would have the opportunity to review justifications and provide public comments on any 303(d) listings utilizing best professional judgement and a weight-of-evidence approach, I do not support ADEQ making any case-by-case decisions when methodologies were not predetermined.

How strongly do you feel that designated Outstanding National Resource Waters (e.g., Buffalo, Strawberry,

Spring, Eleven Point, and Mulberry Rivers) should be allowed to violate water quality standards LESS frequently than channelized streams (aka ditches)?

Indifferent. Water quality expectations should not vary depending on designation or state and federal significance.

When numeric criteria do not exist, and narrative descriptions of water quality standards are in place, how do you think the state should proceed with assessments?

Consider all relevant data and information and take a weight-of-evidence approach to developing a determination. The state must provide a rationale and supporting documentation with assessment decisions. As long as the state is forthcoming and transparent, I believe best professional judgement, supported with scientific evidence, has an appropriate place in this regulatory process.

States are required to develop their lists based on EPA approved Water Quality Standards. Although states may anticipate changes, states are not allowed to incorporate revised criteria until EPA has approved them for Clean Water Act purposes (e.g., development of list of impaired waters). Do you think this federal requirement is important to follow?

No. I do not think this federal requirement is important to follow.

Do you believe pictures should be considered for determining if water quality criteria are being met, such as determining whether algae have reached "objectionable" densities?

No

Do you think waterbodies should be listed as impaired when scientifically defensible research confirms population declines to federally threatened and endangered species?

Yes. Of course. Properly identifying waters is important to the recovery of imperiled species.

Additional Comments

Will you be submitting pictures to ADEQ in a seperate email or have you already?

No

Do you wish to grant White River Waterkeeper permission to post your comments on our website?

Yes

Do you think ADEQ should post comments on their website as they come in, and as is standard protocol for other administrative procedures carried out by the Department?

Yes. This is important to public transparency, allows commenters to ensure their comments were received, and serves as a valuable resource to the public and press.

Do you have any scientific reports or studies that you wish to submit to ADEQ to supplement your comment record?

No